

RUBRICS:

PROBATIONARY (Tenure Track) Faculty RSCA Agenda Evaluation Rubric College of Health and Human Sciences									
PROB Agenda Author (Last name, first name)	Dept	Prob Year	Clarity of the proposal (20 points) RSCA agenda and proposed goals/activities are clearly stated. Specific goals/ proposed accomplishments are clear and aligned with agenda. Scope is appropriate for time frame. (16-20 = very strong/no or few issues; 11- 15=very good, only minor issues; 6-10=needs improvement; issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)	Contribution to knowledge and scholarship in the discipline (20 points) Provides a clear and compelling statement of need/description of how the agenda contributes to the field /discipline) (16-20 = very strong/no or few issues; 11- 15=very good, only minor issues; 6- 10=needs improvement; issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)	Strength of rationale for agenda (20 points) How proposal advances RSCA trajectory OR justifies new area (16-20 = very strong/no or few issues; 11-15=very good, only minor issues; 6-10=needs improvement; issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)	Proposed outcomes (20 points) Proposed outcomes align with agenda and, CHHS metric; where relevant to agenda, includes how outcomes may have other impacts (16-20 = very strong/no or few issues; 11-15=very good, only minor issues; 6- 10=needs improvement; issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)	Feasibility and scope (20 points) Proposed outcomes are both meaningful and feasible and in the timeline. (16-20 = very strong/no or few issues; 11- 15=very good, only minor issues; 6-10=needs improvement; issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)	Total	Comments

TENURED Faculty RSCA Reassigned Time Program Application Evaluation Rubric – College of Health and Human Sciences

<p>TENURED Agenda Author (Last name, first name)</p>	<p>Rank</p>	<p>Dept</p>	<p>Clarity of the proposal (15 points) RSCA agenda and proposed goals/activities are clearly stated. Specific goals/ proposed accomplishments are clear and aligned with agenda. The scope is appropriate for the time frame (15-11 = very strong/no or minor issues; 6-10=good, but moderate issues and/or some issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)</p>	<p>Contribution to knowledge and scholarship in the discipline (15 points) Provides a clear, well developed statement of need/description of how this agenda contributes to the field/discipline. (15-11 = very strong/no or minor issues; 6-10=good, but moderate issues and/or some issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)</p>	<p>Strength of rationale for agenda (15 point) Clearly describes how agenda advances RSCA trajectory OR justifies new area. (15-11 = very strong/no or minor issues; 6- 10=good, but moderate issues and/or some issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)</p>	<p>Proposed outcomes (15 points) Clearly describes how proposed outcomes align with agenda, CHHS metric; outcomes are both meaningful and feasible in the timeline. Where relevant to agenda, how outcomes may have other impacts. (15-11 = very strong/no or minor issues; 6-10=good, but moderate issues and/or some issues with clarity or focus; 1-5 = lacks clear evidence)</p>	<p>Evidence of RSCA activity (40 points) weighted college metric, summary statement, and CV</p>	<p>TOTAL</p>	<p>Comments</p>
---	--------------------	--------------------	--	---	--	--	--	---------------------	------------------------