Part A
1. **List of Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)**
   No change
2. **Map of PLOs to University Learning Goals (ULGs)**
   No change
3. **Alignment – Matrix of PLOs to Courses**
   No change
4. **Planning – Assessment Schedule**
   No change
5. **Student Experience**
   We have had difficulty with website access.

Part B
6. **Graduation Rates for Total, Non URM and URM students (per program and degree)**
   Reported data are not relevant for the department or the program.
7. **Headcounts of program majors and new students (per program and degree)**
   According to data supplied by the College of Humanities & the Arts, the number of majors matriculating in the MFA Art, Concentration in Spatial Art, has decreased from 18 (F11) to 12 (Sp15) as a result of our losing one of two permanent faculty. We anticipate an increased number of majors now that we have hired a new tenure-track faculty member in Spatial Art for F15.
8. **SFR and average section size (per program)**
   The provided data indicate that for courses with the ART prefix the subject SFR for graduate division courses is 19.1 and the subject headcount is 8.5.
9. **Percentage of tenured/tenure-track instructional faculty (per department)**
   38%

Part C
10. **Closing the Loop/Recommended Actions**
    We’re pleased with the effectiveness of the present system—adjustments to curriculum and course offerings are made as needed. Students are reviewed regularly in classes and, especially, in systematic and regular reviews outside of class. Most students move through the 60-unit program as a cohort in three years.
11. **Assessment Data**
    While some PLOs are addressed by student achievement in specific required courses (such as the ART 282A/B contemporary art/theory sequence), the MFA program as a whole (4 concentrations)
assesses PLOs through MFA student participation in a sequence of events/exhibitions which are attended by the full ART faculty: first-semester review; first-year review; ATC (advancement to candidacy) exhibitions and public presentations; final exhibition/culminating experience critique by thesis committee. These are public critiques, characteristic of our discipline, and effective in eliciting needed changes, and the students themselves maintain the formative data in the form of their developing professional portfolios.

12. **Analysis**
   Where we need to encourage change is in the quality of the written thesis project summaries maintained in the department archive; this issue will be addressed by the department’s Art Graduate Committee in 2015-16. Otherwise affecting the overall nature of the MFA program is the increasingly high cost of living in the Bay Area; as the result of prohibitive rents, we are able to attract and enroll fewer graduate students.

13. **Proposed changes and goals (if any)**