We can now understand why there should be so many common features in the indictments drawn up against woman, from the Greeks to our times. Her condition has remained the same through superficial changes, and it is this condition that determines what is called the 'character' of woman: she 'revels in immanence,' she is contrary, she is prudent and petty, she has no sense of fact or accuracy, she lacks morality, she is contemptibly utilitarian, she is false, theatrical, self-seeking, and so on. There is an element of truth in all this. But we must only note that the varieties of behavior reported are not dictated to woman by her hormones nor predetermined in the structure of the female brain: they are shaped as in a mold by her situation. In this perspective we shall endeavor to make a comprehensive survey of woman's situation. This will involve a certain amount of repetition, but it will enable us to apprehend the eternal feminine in the totality of her economic, social, and historical conditioning.

Sometimes the 'feminine world' is contrasted with the masculine universe, but we must insist again that women have never constituted a closed and independent society; they form an integral part of the group, which is governed by males and in which they have a subordinate place. They are united only in a mechanical solidarity from the mere fact of their similarity, but they lack that organic solidarity on which every unified community is based; they are always compelled — at the time of the mysteries of Eleusis as today in clubs, salons, social-service institutes — to band together in order to establish a counter-universe, but they always set it up within the frame of the masculine universe. Hence the paradox of their situation: they belong at one and the same time to the male world and to a sphere in which that world is challenged; shut up in their world, surrounded by the other, they can settle down nowhere in peace. Their docility must always be matched by a refusal, their refusal by an acceptance. In this respect their attitude approaches that of the young girl, but it is more difficult to maintain, because for the adult woman it is not merely a matter of dreaming her life through symbols, but of living it out in actuality.

Woman herself recognizes that the world is masculine on the whole; those who fashioned it, ruled it, and still dominate it today are men. As for her, she does not consider herself responsible for it; it is understood that she is inferior and dependent; she has not learned the lessons of violence, she has never stood forth as subject before the other members, of the group. Shut up in her flesh, her home, she sees herself as passive before these gods with human faces who set goals and establish values. In this sense there is truth in, the saying that makes her the 'eternal child.' Workers, black slaves, 'colonial natives, have also been called grown-up children — as long as they were not feared; that meant that they were to accept without argument the verities and the laws laid down for them by other men. The lot of woman is a respectful obedience. She has no grasp, even in thought, on the reality around her. It is opaque to her eyes.

And it is true that she lacks the technical training that would permit her to dominate matter. As for her, it is not matter she comes to grips with, but life; and life cannot be
mastered through the use of tools: one can only submit to its secret laws. The world does not seem to woman 'an assemblage of implements' intermediate between her will and her goals, as Heidegger defines it; it is on the contrary something obstinately resistant, unconquerable; it is dominated by fatality and shot through with mysterious caprices. This mystery of a bloody strawberry that inside the mother is transformed into a human being is one no mathematics can express in an equation no machine can hasten or delay; she feels the strength of a continuity that the most ingenious instruments are unable to divide or to multiply; she feels it in her body, swayed by the lunar rhythm and first ripened, then corrupted, by the years. Each day the kitchen also teacher of her patience and passivity; here is alchemy one must obey the fire, the water, wait for the sugar to molt, for the dough to rise, 'and also for the wash to dry, for the fruits to ripen on the shelf. Household activities come close to being technical operations, but they are too rudimentary, too monotonous, to prove to a woman the laws of mechanical causation. Besides, even here things are capricious; there are materials that will stand washing and others that will not, spots that can be removed and others that persist, objects that break all by themselves, dusts that spring up like plants.

Woman's mentality perpetuates that of agricultural civilizations which worshipped the magic powers of the land: she believes in magic. Her passive eroticism makes desire seem to her not will and aggression but an attraction akin to that which causes the divining rod to dip; the mere presence of her flesh swells and erects the male's sex; why should not hidden water make the hazel rod quiver? She feels that she is surrounded by waves, radiations, mystic fluids; she believes in telepathy, astrology, radiotherapy, mesmerism, theosophy, table-tipping, clairvoyants, faith healers; her religion is full of primitive superstition: wax candles, answered prayers; she believes the saints incarnate the ancient spirits of nature: this one protects travelers, that one women in labor, this other finds lost articles; and, of course, no prodigy can surprise her. Her attitude will be one of conjuration and prayer; to obtain a certain result, she will perform certain well-tested rites.

It is easy to see why woman clings to routine; time has for her no element of novelty, it is not a creative flow; because she is doomed to repetition, she sees in the future only a duplication of the past. If one knows the word and the formula, duration allies itself with the powers of fecundity — but this is itself subject to the rhythm of the months, the seasons; the cycle of each pregnancy, each flowering, exactly reproduces the one that preceded. In this play of cyclical phenomena the sole effect of time is a slow deterioration: it wears out furniture and clothes as it ruins the face; the reproductive powers are gradually destroyed by the passing of years. Thus woman puts no trust in this relentless force for destruction.

Not only is she ignorant of what constitutes a true action, capable of changing the face of the world, but she is lost in the midst of the world as if she were at the heart of an immense, vague nebula. She is not familiar with the use of masculine logic. Stendhal remarked that she could handle it as adroitly as a man if driven to' it by, necessity, but it is an instrument that she hardly has occasion to use. A syllogism is of no help in nuking a successful mayonnaise, nor in quieting a child in tears; masculine reasoning is quite inadequate to the reality with which she deals. And in the world of men; her: thought, not flowing into any project, since she does nothing, is indistinguishable from daydreaming. She has no sense of factual truth, for lack of effectiveness; she never comes to grips witty
anything but words and mental pictures, and that is why the most contradictory assertions
give her no uneasiness; she takes little, trouble to elucidate the mysteries of a sphere that
is in every way beyond her reach. She is, content, for her purposes, with extremely vague
conceptions, confusing parties, opinions, places, people; events; her head is, filled with a
strange jumble.

But, after all, to see things clearly is not her business, for she has been taught to
accept masculine authority. So she gives up criticizing, investigating, judging for herself,
and leaves all this to the superior caste. Therefore the masculine world seems to her a
transcendent reality, an absolute. 'Men make the gods,' says Frazer, 'women worship
them.' Men cannot kneel with complete conviction before the idols they have made; but
when women encounter these mighty statues along the roads, they think they are not
made with hands; and obediently bow down.\textsuperscript{1} In particular they like to have Order and
Right embodied in a leader. In every Olympus there is a supreme god; the magic male
essence must be concentrated in an archetype of which, father, husband, lovers, are only
faint reflections. It is rather satirical to say that their worship of this grand totem is of
sexual nature; but it is true that in this worship they will fully satisfy their childhood
dream of bowing the knee in resignation. In France generals like Boulanger, Main, and de
Gaulle\textsuperscript{2} have always had the support of the women; and one recalls, with what fluttering
pens the lady journalists on the Communist paper L'Humanité formerly celebrated Tito
and his splendid uniform. The general, the dictator — eagle-eyed, square-jawed'- is the
heavenly father demanded by all serious right-thinkers, the absolute guarantor of all
values. Women's ineffectiveness and ignorance are what give rise to the respect accorded
by them to heroes and to the laws of the masculine world; they accept them not through
sound judgment but by an act of faith — and faith gets its fanatical power from the fact
that it is not knowledge: it is blind, impassioned, obstinate, stupid; what it declares, it
declares unconditionally, against reason, against history, against all denial.

This obstinate reverence can take one of two forms according to circumstances: it
may be either the content of the law, or merely its empty form that woman passionately
adheres to. If she belongs to the privileged elite that benefits from the established social
order, she wants it to be unshakable and she is notably uncompromising in this desire.
Man knows that he can develop different institutions, another ethic, a new legal code;
aware of his ability to transcend what is, he regards history as a becoming. The most
conservative man knows that some evolution is inevitable and realizes that he must adapt
his action and his thinking to it; but as woman takes no part in history, she fails to
understand its necessities; she is suspiciously doubtful of the future and wants to arrest
the flow of time. If the idols set up by her father, her brothers, her husband, are being torn
down, she can offer no way of repopulating the heavens; she rushes wildly to the defense
of the old gods.

During the War of Secession no Southerners were more passionate in upholding
slavery than the women. In England during the Boer War, in France during the

\textsuperscript{1} See Sartre's play Les Mains sales. 'Hoederer: They need props, you understand they are given ready-made
ideas, then they believe in them as they do Ili God. We're the ones who make these ideas and we know how
they W cooked up; we are never quite sure of being right.' [An English translation, Dirty Hands; is in Jean-

\textsuperscript{2} 'When the general passed through, the public consisted largely of women and children.' (Newspaper
report, of his visit to Savoy.)
Commune, it was the women who were most belligerently inflamed. They seek to compensate for their inactivity by the intensity of the sentiments they exhibit. With victory won, they rush like hyenas upon the fallen foe; in defeat, they bitterly reject any efforts at conciliation. Their ideas being merely attitudes, they support quite unconcernedly the most outdated causes: they can be legitimists in 1914, czarists in 1933. A man will sometimes smilingly encourage them, for it amuses him to see their fanatical reflections of ideas he expresses in more measured terms; but he may also find it irritating to have his ideas take on such a stupid, stubborn, aspect.

Woman assumes this indomitable attitude only in strongly integrated, civilizations and social classes. More generally, she respects the law simply because it is the law, since her faith is blind; if the law changes, it retains its spell. In woman's eyes, might makes right because the rights she recognizes in men depend upon their power. Hence it is that when a society breaks down, women are the first to throw themselves at the feet of the conqueror. On the whole, they accept what is. One of their distinguishing traits is resignation. When the ruins of Pompeii were dug it was noticed that the incinerated bodies of the men were; fixed in 'attitudes of rebellion, defying the heavens or trying, to escape, while those of the women, bent double, were bowed down with their faces toward the earth. Women feel they are powerless against things: 'volcanoes, police, patrons, men. 'Women are born to suffer,' they say; 'it's life — nothing can be done about it.

This resignation inspires the patience often admired in women. They can stand physical pain much better than men; they are capable of stoical courage when circumstances demand it; lacking the male's, aggressive audacity; many women distinguish themselves by their calm tenacity in passive resistance. They face crises, poverty, misfortune, more energetically than their husbands; respecting duration, which no haste can overcome, they do not ration their time. When they apply their quiet persistence to an enterprise, they are sometimes startlingly successful. 'Never underestimate the power of a woman,' In a generous woman resignation takes the form of forbearance: she puts up with everything, she condemns no one, because she holds that neither people nor things can be other than they are. A proud woman can make a lofty virtue of resignation, as 'did the stoical Mme de Charrière. But it also engenders a sterile prudence; women are always trying to conserve, to adapt, to arrange, rather than to destroy and build arid: they prefer compromise and adjustment to revolution.

In the nineteenth century, women were one of the greatest obstacles in the way of the effort to free the workers: for one Flora Tristan, one Louise Michel, bow, many timid housewives begged their husbands not to take any chances! They were not only afraid of strikes, unemployment, and poverty: they feared that revolt might be a mistake. It is easy to understand that, if they must suffer, they preferred what was familiar to adventuring, for they could achieve a meager welfare more easily at home than in the streets.

Women's fate is bound up with that of perishable things; in losing them they lose all. Only a free subject, asserting himself as above and beyond the duration of things, can check all decay; this supreme recourse has been denied to woman. The real reason why she does not believe in a liberation is that she has never put the powers of liberty to a test; the world seems to her to be ruled by an obscure destiny against which it is presumptuous to rise in protest. She has not herself marked out those dangerous roads she is asked to follow, and so it is natural enough for her not to plunge into them with enthusiasm' Let the future be opened to her and she will no longer cling desperately to the past. When
women are called upon for concrete action, when they recognize their interest in the designated goals, they are as bold and courageous as men.'