**First Presentation**

**Group Leadership Summary**

**1 91 88 Focus on findings, not process of analysis;**

**Disjointed, integrate the readings; reflections?**

**2 83 90 Missing important elements of the readings;**

**needs more reflection**

**3 92 85 Disjointed; relevance of first article?; emphasis**

**on individual masks the readings’ findings**

**4 92 90 Reactions are not detailed; observations not**

**integrated; too long**

**5 93 94**

**Second Presentation**

**1 95 88 Little depth**

**2 90 88 Misses main points of articles; rushed; LGBT is**

**not a chosen lifestyle, and articles did not present it as such**

**3 97 97 Excellent summary (one voice, finds most**

**important issues, and sees themes across articles); lacks adequate reflection by group**

**4 94 90 Lengthy review; little attention to themes**

**across articles; grammar and spelling issues**

**5 94 96 Nice integration of articles, reflection**

**Third Presentation**

**1 94 78 Missing summary of readings**

**2 88 90 Poor writing; little reflection**

**3 93 98 Good summary and integration**

**4 89 97 Nice summary; needs more integration**

**5 95 88 poor writing; lacks integration, reflection**

**Make-up/Extra credit 97 95 Nice work**