POLS 122 – Judicial Politics
Section 01, Spring 2017 – Dr. James Brent

Office: Clark Hall 457
Phone: (408) 924-5572
Email: james.brent@sjsu.edu

Office Hours:
Mondays & Weds. 8:00 to 9:00 a.m.
Mondays 10:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.
Thursdays 4:30 to 6:00 p.m.

Course Description

"When an act of Congress is appropriately challenged in the courts as not conforming to the constitutional mandate, the judicial branch of the government has only one duty; to lay the article of the Constitution which is invoked beside the statute which is challenged, and to decide whether the latter squares with the former. All the court does, or can do, is to announce its considered judgment upon the question. The only power it has...is the power of judgment. This court neither approves nor condemns any legislative policy."

--Justice Owen Roberts in U.S. v. Butler (1936)

"Since the politicization of the law has, for half a century, moved results steadily to the left, a very large number of Americans do not like those outcomes. Increasingly, they are not deceived by the claim that those results are compelled by the actual Constitution....Americans increasingly view the courts, and particularly the Supreme Court, as political rather than legal institutions."

--Robert Bork in The Tempting of America

“It is confidence in the men and women who administer the judicial system that is the true backbone of the rule of law. Time will one day heal the wound to that confidence that will be inflicted by today’s decision. One thing, however, is certain. Although we may never know with complete certainty the identity of the winner of this year’s Presidential election, the identity of the loser is perfectly clear. It is the Nation’s confidence in the judge as an impartial guardian of the rule of law.”


In an era of growing public disenchantment with government, the American judiciary remains strangely immune. Surveys consistently show that the American public places greater trust in the U.S. Supreme Court than in either Congress or the presidency – although that trust, too, has been eroding. "Politics" has become a dirty word, and the judiciary is commonly thought to be the least "political" branch of government. However, a growing body of empirical research lends support to what casual observation suggests: that for all their distinctiveness, our nation's courts are affected by many of the same social, economic, and political considerations that affect the other two branches of government. The myth of the court as “nonpolitical” was, of course, shattered by its actions during the 2000 presidential election.

In this course, we will study the judiciary as an inherently political institution, raising both empirical and normative issues. Empirically, we will attempt to shed light on the extra-legal...
forces which condition the behavior of courts. These extra-legal forces include things such as the intergovernmental relations, federalism, method of judicial selection, public opinion, interest groups, the press, attorneys, personal ideology and attitudes, among others. We shall highlight areas in which the judiciary behaves like the other branches of government, as well as its distinctive features. Normatively, we will consider how the results of our empirical examination inform the on-going debate about the proper role of the judiciary in a democratic society. By the end of the semester, you will have the information base required to generate some ideas regarding this question: Given their political nature, to what extent should the courts be involved in the making of policy?

A warning to those who find constitutional law exciting: you won't find much of it here. In this course, we will not be overly concerned about what the courts have said -- that material is covered in PolS 121a, 121b, and 123. Rather, we will be more interested in why they have said what they have said. However, stripping the judiciary of its mystery is a rewarding task in its own right, and hopefully we will make it an exciting one as well.

Course Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, you should be able to:

- Understand the nature of courts and the role of the judiciary in the American system of separation of powers, and how that role has evolved over time.
- Discuss the structure of the American judiciary, including judicial federalism and the different roles played by courts of original and appellate jurisdiction.
- Discuss the different methods for selecting judges and their consequences.
- Explain how the U.S. Supreme Court sets its agenda.
- Explain the procedure used by the Supreme Court for deciding cases on the merits, from oral argument to the announcement of a decision.
- Identify the legal and extra-legal influences on judicial voting behavior, including the influence of interest groups, small group dynamics, public opinion, personal biases, and structural rules on judicial decisions
- Discuss how judicial decisions are implemented or evaded and identify factors that enhance compliance.
- Understand the debate regarding the Supreme Court’s capacity to make social policy.

All learning objectives will be assessed through the exams, written papers, and in-class participation.
CANVAS Course Web Page

Course materials such as syllabus, handouts, notes, assignment instructions, etc. can be found on the Canvas learning management system course website. You are responsible for regularly checking with the messaging system through CANVAS to learn of any updates.

Succeeding in a Four-Unit Course

At SJSU, students are expected to spend two hours outside of class for every one hour of class time. Because this is a four-unit class, you can expect to spend a minimum of eight hours per week in addition to time spent in class and on scheduled tutorials or activities. Careful time management will help you keep up with readings and assignments and enable you to be successful in all of your courses. In this class, one of the four units is an engagement unit, which consists of online quizzes, and data exercises. You are expected to spend 45 hours this semester (both to prepare for and to complete the work) to successfully complete this unit.

Required Texts/Readings


Course Requirements and Assignments

Tests – The midterm and the final exam will be closed-book, closed-note exams. Students should bring a blank blue book to each exam. The exams will consist exclusively of essay and short-answer questions. There are no multiple choice questions. The final will stress material from the second half of the course, although it may ask you to refer to material from the first half of the course.

Paper Assignment – This discussed in more detail at the end of this syllabus.

Participation – Participation comprises 10% of your course grade. All students are expected to participate orally in class. I encourage participation because I believe that it aids in the learning process and makes class more interesting.

I do not take attendance, but if you do not attend regularly, you will be unable to participate and will thus lower your grade. This ought not be taken lightly -- while participation constitutes "only" 10% of your grade, if you earn no participation points, this means your final mark will be one full letter grade lower than if you had participated regularly.
Participation is the one component of your grade that is based on quantity rather than quality. I don't care whether you are the most brilliant student in class or the most dim-witted -- if you participate regularly, you will receive a good grade on your participation.

I realize that many students are uncomfortable with participating in class. If you are one of those students, I have two suggestions:

1) Asking questions is just as legitimate as answering questions. I encourage you to ask any questions that you might have. Please do not be afraid of asking "dumb" questions -- I can assure you that there are other students in the class with the same question, and they're not smart enough to ask.

2) If you have nothing to say, come and visit me in my office. I can give you a "sneak preview" of the next class, so you can have some time to formulate your opinions. If you really need help, I will tell you something brilliant to say, and then you can raise it in class and seem brilliant to your classmates.

**Book Outlines** – We will be discussing *The Rehnquist Choice* and *The Oath* extensively in class. Prior to participation in these discussions, students will be required to write brief outlines of each chapter in each of the two books. Students who do not submit a paper cannot participate in the in-class discussion. Each outline is worth 5% of your grade.

NOTE that University policy F69-24 at http://www.sjsu.edu/senate/docs/F69-24.pdf states that “Students should attend all meetings of their classes, not only because they are responsible for material discussed therein, but because active participation is frequently essential to insure maximum benefit for all members of the class. Attendance per se shall not be used as a criterion for grading.”

**Grading Policy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midterm (Wednesday, March 15)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam (Mon., May 22, 7:15 a.m.)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Outlines (due dates TBA)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Draft of Paper (due April 19)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Draft of Paper (due May 22)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eportfolio</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final letter grades for the course will be assigned based on the following scale:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73-77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>63-67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80-82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>60-62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>88-89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>78-79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>68-69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>59% or less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Makeup Exams** -- I will be the most willing to permit you to take a make-up exam when the following three conditions are met:

1) you notify me in advance
2) you have an acceptable excuse (usually something beyond your control)
3) you have written documentation

Naturally, sometimes it will not be possible to meet these three conditions. Situations which do not do so will be judged by me on a case-by-case basis. I reserve the right to refuse to allow you to make up an exam (although I will usually try to accommodate you). I also reserve the right to make the make-up exam more difficult than the in-class exam.

If you miss an exam, you should approach me the next time you are in class and request a makeup. If approved, I will drop off a test at the Testing Center. You should call the Testing Center (924-5980) to arrange to take the exam.

**Late Papers** -- *I am more strict in enforcing penalties against late papers than missed exams!* The due date of the paper is not the *only* day that you may submit your paper. It is merely the *last* day that you may do so without incurring a penalty. Papers are due at the end of class period on the date due. All late papers, regardless of the excuse, will be penalized by one letter grade.

**Anonymity Policy** -- I like to grade all assignments anonymously. Therefore, you should omit your name on all assignments. Instead, you should only indicate the last four digits of your student ID number. Any assignment that contains your name will not be graded. It will be returned to you so that you may cross out your name and fill in the last four digits of your student ID number.

Note that “All students have the right, within a reasonable time, to know their academic scores, to review their grade-dependent work, and to be provided with explanations for the determination of their course grades.” See [University Policy F13-1](http://www.sjsu.edu/senate/docs/F13-1.pdf) for more details.

**University Policies**

Per University Policy S16-9, university-wide policy information relevant to all courses, such as academic integrity, accommodations, etc. will be available on Office of Graduate and Undergraduate Programs’ [Syllabus Information web page](http://www.sjsu.edu/gup/syllabusinfo) at [http://www.sjsu.edu/gup/syllabusinfo](http://www.sjsu.edu/gup/syllabusinfo).
Course Topics and Readings

Note: All readings should be completed by the time we cover the corresponding topic in class.

I. Weeks 1 through 4 -- Background: Constitutional and Historic – Carp, et al, Chapters 1, 2 and 4
   A. The Nature of Courts and the American Judicial System
   B. The Constitutional Context
   C. Separation of Powers and the Judiciary
   D. The Structure of the American Judiciary

II. Politics and Policymaking in Appellate Courts
   A. Selection of Judges (Weeks 5 through 7)
      1. Selection of State Judges – Carp et al, Chapter 5
      2. Selection of Federal Judges -- Read all of Dean; Carp, et al, Chapter 6 and 7
   B. Judicial Agenda Setting (Weeks 8 and 9)
   C. Appellate Procedure – Carp et la, Chapter 13 (Weeks 10 through 12)
      1. CASE STUDY: Patterson v. McLean Credit Union (1989)
   D. Political Influences on Judicial Behavior – read all of Toobin; (Week 13)
      Race, Gender, and other attributes
      Political Ideology
      Small Group Influences
      The Chief Justice's Role
      Public Opinion
      Interest Groups – Carp et al, Chapter 8

IV. Week 14 -- The Impact of Judicial Decisions – Carp et al, Chapter 14
   A. Conditions for Compliance
   B. The Courts and the Media
   C. The Capacity of Courts to Make Social Policy – Carp et al, Chapter 15

V. Week 15 -- The Proper Role of the Judiciary Revisited: Activism vs. Restraint
Paper Assignment

This semester will see the nomination of a new Supreme Court justice and the associated Senate hearings. Your paper assignment asks you to look back on the confirmation of a past justice and to discuss whether such hearings are useful.

For this paper, you should select any justice confirmed since 1987 (starting with Anthony Kennedy). For that justice, you should read the transcripts of their confirmation hearings and select two (2) issues that were discussed at some length. You should summarize what your justice had to say about both of those issues. You should then research your justice’s voting record on the two issues that you’ve selected. The purpose is to discover whether the justice’s actual voting behavior on the Court was consistent with what they said during their confirmation hearings. Then, based on that analysis, you should discuss whether confirmation hearings are useful or not.

Stage 1: The First Draft (due April 19)

The first draft should be complete -- it should contain the full text of your paper, with proper attribution, grammar, and spelling. You should pretend as though this were the final draft of your paper. This paper should be a minimum of 8 typed, double-spaced pages long, not including the works cited and title pages.

Stage 2: Final Draft (due May 22)

It should be a minimum of 10 typed, double-spaced pages long. This draft should incorporate, to the extent you deem appropriate, the comments made on your first draft.

How Both Drafts Will Be Graded

Both drafts will be graded on the following criteria, in decreasing order of importance:

1) The Depth and Completeness of Your Analysis – This is an analytical paper. Therefore, it should be more than a mere recounting of facts (although it will certainly contain facts, as well). You should make an effort to make comparisons, draw contrasts, spot trends, or otherwise interpret the information that you find.

2) Spelling and Grammar – Papers with extensive spelling and grammatical errors are less credible and will receive a lower grade.

3) Attribution – In an academic paper, you must both provide a bibliography and cite your sources within the text of the paper itself. Papers lacking proper attribution will either receive a lower grade or will be returned to the student without grading for...
revisions. More information about attribution will be given in class.

4) **Amount of Effort Expended** – Although the quality of a paper is not synonymous with the length of the paper or the number of sources used, the two are closely related. Longer papers, and papers with a greater number of sources, are likely to be more complex and better supported than shorter papers with fewer sources. Therefore, if you only do the minimum that is required, your grade will probably reflect that fact.

5) **The Use of High-Quality Sources**— some academic sources should be used in a substantial way to support your paper

6) **Imagination, Insight, Originality, and Other “Intangibles”** – Finally, the best papers will display certain intangible qualities such as those listed here.

7) **Avoidance of Plagiarism**— All papers will be submitted to turnitin.com and should therefore avoid plagiarism at all costs.

---

**E-portfolio of a Current Justice**
Over the course of the semester, you will compile an e-portfolio on any one of the eight justices currently sitting on the U.S. Supreme Court of your choosing. You will scour the web – including the university’s academic databases – for articles, videos, blog posts – and compile an electronic dossier on your chosen justice. The goal is to create a multifaceted, multimedia portrait of your justice’s politics and jurisprudence. Hopefully, you’ll get to know your justice a lot better, and you’ll have some fun doing it. Here’s what to do:

- **Step 1** – Pick any Justice [must be a DIFFERENT justice than the one for the research paper]
- **Step 2** – Join the eportfolio site
- **Step 3** – Start Doing Your Research & Posting Links

Be creative! Among the things that you might want to include are:

- Videos of your justices’ confirmation hearing
- Videos of speeches or interviews given by your justice
- Videos of news reports about the justice
- Editorials for or against your justices confirmation
- Stories about your justice’s personal life
- Any law review or other articles written by your justice
- Lower court opinions written by your justice
- Any law review or academic journal article, newspaper report, or blog post written about your justice
- Links to opinions for which your justice is well known
- Editorial cartoons about your justice
Please note that every item contained in the portfolio must be accompanied by a 2-3 sentence description of the item written by you. Your portfolio should contain at least 75 items, with at least 15 videos and 15 links to legal opinions, law review articles, or academic sources. You will be graded on how many items and adequate descriptions you provide.

A = 75 items
B = 60 items
C = 50 items
D = 30 items
F = 29 items or fewer

Political Science Program Learning Outcomes

Upon completion of the Political Science major program, students should be able to demonstrate the following learning outcomes:

1. **PLO1: Breadth**
   Students should possess a broad knowledge of the theory and methods of the various branches of the discipline.

2. **PLO2: Application**
   Students should be able to apply a variety of techniques to identify, understand, and analyze domestic and international political issues and organizations.

3. **PLO3: Disciplinary methods**
   Students should be able to formulate research questions, engage in systematic literature searches using primary and secondary sources, have competence in systematic data gathering using library sources, government documents, and data available through electronic sources, should be able to evaluate research studies, and should be able to critically analyze and interpret influential political texts.

4. **PLO4: Communication Skills**
   Students should master basic competencies in oral and written communication skills and be able to apply these skills in the context of political science. This means communicating effectively about politics and/or public administration, public policy, and law.

5. **PLO5: Citizenship**
   Students should acquire an understanding of the role of the citizen in local, state, national, and global contexts and appreciate the importance of lifelong participation in political processes.
Political Science Departmental Writing Policy

Students of political science should develop the ability to write in clear, grammatical English. Spelling and grammar count! Students must take care that appropriate citations are used. Direct quotations must be so indicated with quotation marks and a specific reference to the page in the source from which it was taken. Failure to cite your sources constitutes academic misconduct which carries with it serious sanctions. A tutorial on citations is available at the library website at http://www.sjlibrary.org/services/literacy/info_comp/citing.htm.

For assistance with writing, please see me or visit the SJSU Writing Center located in Clark Hall, Suite 126. All Writing Specialists have gone through a rigorous hiring process, and they are well trained to assist all students at all levels within all disciplines to become better writers. In addition to one-on-one tutoring services, the Writing Center also offers workshops every semester on a variety of writing topics. To make an appointment or to refer to the numerous online resources offered through the Writing Center, visit the Writing Center website at http://www.sjsu.edu/writingcenter