Call to Order and Roll Call –

Approval of Minutes –

Senate Minutes of May 11, 2015 Last meeting of 2014-2015
Senate Minutes of May 11, 2015 First meeting of 2015-2016

Communications and Questions

A. From the Chair of the Senate
B. From the President of the University

State of the University Announcements:

A. Vice President for Administration and Finance
B. Vice President for Student Affairs
C. Associated Students President
D. Vice President for University Advancement
E. Statewide Academic Senators
F. Provost

Executive Committee Report

A. Minutes of the Executive Committee –
   Exec. Minutes of June 22, 2015
   Exec. Minutes of July 13, 2015
   Exec. Minutes of July 21, 2015
   Exec. Minutes of August 10, 2015
   Exec. Minutes of August 24, 2015

B. Consent Calendar –

C. Executive Committee Action Items –

Unfinished Business –

Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items (In rotation):

A. Professional Standards Committee (PS):
   AS 1577, Policy Recommendation, Adjusting the timing of Performance Reviews During the transition to the new system for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP), Amends S15-7 (RTP Procedures) (Final Reading)
AS 1576, Policy Recommendation, Further Clarification of the Transition to the new system for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP) Amends S15-8 (RTP Criteria and Standards) (Final Reading).

B. Organization and Government Committee (O&G):
AS 1575, Senate Management Resolution, Modification of the Undergraduate Studies Committee Charge (Final Reading).

AS 1573, Senate Management Resolution, Modification of the Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review Committee Membership and Charge (Final Reading).

AS 1574, Senate Management Resolution, Dissolving the University Teacher Education Committee (Final Reading)

AS 1578, Policy Recommendation, Revision to the SJSU Strategic Planning Policy (First Reading)

AS 1579, Policy Recommendation, Budget Advisory Committee (First Reading)

C. University Library Board (ULB):

D. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):
AS 1580, Policy Recommendation, Credit by Exam for Challenge Examinations (First Reading)

E. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):
AS 1581, Policy Recommendation, Instructor Drops in Online Courses (First Reading)

VIII. Special Committee Reports:
WASC Update by Dr. Camille Johnson, Time Certain: 2:45 p.m.

IX. New Business:
Statements followed by the Election of Two faculty representatives to the Presidential Selection Advisory Committee, Time Certain: 3:15 p.m.

X. Adjournment:
The meeting was called to order at 2:05 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Forty-Five Senators were present.

Ex Officio:
   Present: Sabalius, Heiden, Lessow-Hurley, Miller
   Absent: Van Selst

Administrative Representatives:
   Present: Feinstein, Terry, Larochelle
   Absent: Mendoza, Qayoumi

Deans:
   Present: Kifer, Steele, Stacks
   Absent: Green

Students:
   Present: Blaylock, Hernandez, Romero, McPherson, Jeffrey, Amante

Alumni Representative:
   Present: Walters

Emeritus Representative:
   Present: Buzanski

General Unit Representatives:
   Present: Matoush, Fujimoto, Huang

CASA Representatives:
   Present: Schultz-Krohn, Shifflett, Lee, Grosvenor

COB Representatives:
   Present: Sibley, Campsey

EDUC Representatives:
   Present: Kimbarow, Mathur

ENGR Representatives:
   Present: Bucker, Fatoohi, Sullivan-Green

H&A Representatives:
   Present: Frazier, Brown, Bacich
   Brada-Williams, Grindstaff
   Absent: Riley

SCI Representatives:
   Present: White, Muller, Kress

SOS Representatives:
   Present: Peter, Coopman, Ng, Feist, Rudy

Approval of Academic Senate Minutes–
The minutes of April 27, 2015 were approved (43-0-2).

Communications and Questions –

A. From the Chair of the Senate:
   Announcements from the Chair:

   Chair Heiden informed any Senators appointed to the 2015-2016 Senate that were visiting that they could not vote during this meeting.

   Chair Heiden informed the Senate that even though the community colleges have received notification from the CSU that at least 30 days are needed to review any proposed 4-year degrees, they recently sent yet another proposal with only a 1 ½ day turnaround. As a result, the CSU Statewide Senate sent a reply that it would be impossible to review the proposal in only 1 ½ days
and would refrain from trying to do so. The Chancellor sent a request for a 30 day review period to the community colleges, but has not received a response. The CSU Statewide Senate is going to draft a resolution, and the CSU chairs plan to write a letter to the community colleges endorsing that resolution, that calls for more consultation and respect for the process.

Chair Heiden presented certificates of service to departing Senators.

Chair Heiden will be sending out a call for nominations for a CSU Statewide Senate seat with a two year term ending 2017 today. There is also a call for nominations for a Senator from the College of Humanities and the Arts. Both the CSU Statewide Senate and the Humanities and the Arts petitions have deadlines to be submitted to the Senate Office by May 18, 2015.

Vice Chair Kimbarow presented a plaque from the Senate thanking Chair Heiden for her service as Senate Chair from 2013-2015. Chair Heiden thanked all Senators for their service to the Senate and for their support during her term as Senate Chair.

B. From the President of the University – Not Present.

IV. State of the University Announcements –

A. Vice President for Student Affairs –
Interim Vice President Terry announced this was her last Senate meeting as the Interim VP of Student Affairs.

There will be 5,225 students graduating this spring. Of these 5,225 students, 3,611 are undergraduates and 1,614 are graduate students. In August 2015, 480 additional students will graduate. Of these 480 students, 337 are undergraduates and 143 are graduate students. By Fall of 2015, a total of 5,705 students will have graduated.

B. Associated Students President –
AS President Miller announced that AS had narrowed their search for a new Executive Director down to two finalists. They have made a recommendation to their board.

Looloo Amante will be the new AS President for 2015-2016. Josh Romero will be the new Vice President.

There is a faculty vacancy on the AS Personnel Committee. Senators should email the AS President if they are interested.

AS hosted their first annual Spartan Showcase last week and it was big success.

AS President Miller thanked the Senate for their support and said he had learned a lot.

C. Vice President for University Advancement – None

D. CSU Statewide Senators –
SJSU and the CSU Statewide Senate passed resolutions calling on the Board of Trustees to draft a new Academic Freedom policy. As of today, 15 CSU campuses have passed similar resolutions.

There has been discussion about a 24th CSU campus that might go to Stockton.

Cal Poly SLO Statewide Senators wrote the CSU Statewide Senate about their concern over a move by administrators to replace the department chair with a department head. This will be discussed again this week. The understanding is that department heads are hired and appointed by the administration and serve for indefinite terms.

E. Provost –
Provost Feinstein announced that he thought the April 27, 2015 Senate meeting was “one of the finest displays of shared governance I have had the pleasure to participate in.”

There was some concern at the last meeting over administrator retreat rights and the financial impact on a department. Provost Feinstein and Senator Peter have done some work in this area and have come up with a preliminary plan that has now been implemented.

When an external candidate appointed to an MPP position with retreat rights retreats, the division of Academic Affairs will provide funds for the administrator to the college upon retreat at the academic year rate. When the faculty member fully retires or terminates, the salary dollars will be returned to the division. Academic Planning & Budgets will track these positions and maintain responsibility for calling back funds when the position is vacated. The division will maintain a prudent reserve to cover administrators with retreat rights. The reserve will be based on past retreat history and may be adjusted from year to year as events warrant.

F. Vice President for Finance and Administration –
The 2015-2016 budget has been planned for. A budget forum was held a few weeks ago and can be viewed on the budget central website.

The SSETF has been set for next year. This information is posted on the Finance website. A call for proposals has been sent out campus-wide.

Either this week or next week, the Administration and Finance Division will launch a new transparency tool on the web at opengov.com. Everyone can access the information on this site.

Question: Do we have any guarantee that the promised equity payments will be made in 2015-2016?
Answer: Provost Feinstein responded that the money was “not going anywhere.” VP Larochelle responded that it is planned in the budget process to remove that money first from the budget for each of the next three years.
Executive Committee Report –

A. Executive Committee Minutes –
Executive Committee Minutes of April 20, 2015 – No Questions.
Executive Committee Minutes of May 4, 2015 –
Senator Buzanski asked for clarification as to what “doodle” referred to in item 14.
Chair Heiden responded that doodle is a commonly used software tool for scheduling meetings that anyone can use located at http://www.doodle.com.

B. Consent Calendar – None

C. Executive Committee Action Items:
Senator Backer presented AS 1571, Sense of the Senate Resolution, Supporting the Resolution Prepared by the Coalition on Intercollegiate Athletics (COIA) (Final Reading).

The Senate voted and AS 1571 passed as written (36-4-5).

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Senator Heiden presented AS 1572, Sense of the Senate Resolution, Endorsing the Statement on Shared Governance at San José State University (Final Reading).

The Senate voted and AS 1572 passed unanimously as written (45-0-0).

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Chair Heiden and the Executive Committee presented AS 1569, Sense of the Senate Resolution, Honoring and Thanking Dr. Judith Lessow-Hurley for her Dedication and Service to the Senate and the University (Final Reading). The Senate voted by acclamation and AS 1569 passed unanimously (45-0-0).

Senator Lessow-Hurley was given a standing ovation by the Senate, and was presented with a plaque honoring her service to the Senate and the University.

Senator Lessow-Hurley commented on how important the work of the Senate was and stated that she was proud to have served on the Senate for so many years.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Vice Chair Kimbarow and the Executive Committee presented AS 1570, Sense of the Senate Resolution, Honoring and Thanking Dr. Lynda Heiden for her Dedication and Service to the Senate and the University (Final Reading).

The Senate voted by acclamation and AS 1570 passed unanimously (45-0-0).

Provost Feinstein presented Chair Heiden with a plaque for her service to the university
on behalf of the Provost and the President.

Chair Heiden thanked the Senate, the Provost, and the President.

VI. Unfinished Business - None

VII. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items. In rotation.

A. Professional Standards Committee (PS) – None

B. Organization and Government Committee (O&G) –

Senator Grosvenor presented AS 1564, Policy Recommendation, Change to the membership and charge of the Strategic Planning Board (SPB) and the Strategic Planning Assessment Agency (SPAA) (Final Reading).

Senator Lessow-Hurley presented an amendment to add the Chair of the Senate (or designee) (voting) to the SPB. The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Lessow-Hurley amendment passed (40-2-3).

Senator Sabalius presented an amendment to remove the cabinet member (non-voting) from the SPB. The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Sabalius amendment passed (13-3-29).

Senator Shifflett presented an amendment that was friendly to add to the last sentence at the end of the 2nd Resolved clause, “effective with the Fall 2015 semester.”

Interim VP Larochelle presented an amendment to add a member from Student Affairs, Academic Affairs, Administration and Finance, Athletics, and University Advancement. The amendment was seconded.

Senator Peter made a motion to refer the Larochelle amendment and the policy back to the committee with the instruction that they consult with the President on what membership he would want the committee to have in order to sign off on this policy. The motion was seconded. The Senate voted and the motion to return to committee passed (42-2-1).

C. University Library Board (ULB) – None

D. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R) –

Senator Brada-Williams presented AS 1555, Policy Recommendation, Credit By Examination (CBE), and Challenge Examinations (Final Reading).

Senator Shifflett presented an amendment to strike section D. The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Shifflett amendment passed (21-9-10).

Senator Backer presented an amendment that was friendly to make item “E” a new item “D.”
Senator Brada-Williams presented an amendment that was friendly to add a new sentence to the end of item “C” to read, “Departments may set deadlines for students to request the exam be given in a given semester.”

The Senate voted and AS 1555 passed as amended (32-6-2).

E. Instruction Student Affairs Committee (I&SA) – None

VIII. Special Committee Reports: None

IX. New Business: None

X. Adjournment – The meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.
I. The meeting was called to order at 4:10 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Forty-One Senators were present.

Ex Officio:
  Present: Kimbarow, Heiden, Sabalius, Amante,
  Absent: Van Selst

Administrative Representatives:  
  Present: Feinstein, Terry, Larochelle
  Absent: Qyouni, Mendoza

Deans:
  Present: Stacks, Steele
  Absent: Hsu, Green

Students:
  Present: El-Miaari, Abukhdeir, Medrano, Sarras
  Absent: Cuellar, Gay

Alumni Representative:  
  Present: Walters

Emeritus Representative:  
  Present: Buzanski

General Unit Representatives:  
  Present: Fujimoto, Matoush, Medina, Kauppila

CASA Representatives:  
  Present: Schultz-Krohn, Lee, Shifflett, Grosvenor, Sen

COB Representatives:  
  Present: Campsey, Sibley, Virick

EDUC Representatives:  
  Present: Laker, Mathur

ENGR Representatives:  
  Present: Backer
  Absent: Sullivan-Green

H&A Representatives:  
  Present: Frazier, Bacich, Brada-Williams, Grindstaff
  Absent: Riley

SCI Representatives:  
  Present: Kaufman, White, Muller

SOS Representatives:  
  Present: Peter, Coopman, Curry, Wilson

II. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes–  
There were no minutes for approval.

III. Communications and Questions –  
A. From the Chair of the Senate:  
Past Chair Heiden passed the gavel to Chair Kimbarow.

Chair Kimbarow commented on how excited he was to be Senate Chair this year, and spoke of the opportunities to move forward working with the President’s new cabinet.

Chair Kimbarow welcomed the new Senators for 2015-2016.

Chair Kimbarow announced that today’s meeting was primarily to elect Senate Officers for the 2015-2016 Academic Senate and thanked all the candidates for their willingness to serve as leaders in the Senate.
B. From the President of the University – Not present.

IV. Executive Committee Report –
A. Executive Committee Minutes –
B. Consent Calendar –
AVC Backer presented the initial consent calendar for 2015-2016 and made four amendments. **The Senate voted and the consent calendar was approved as amended.**

C. Executive Committee Action Items: None

V. Unfinished Business - None

VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items. In rotation.
A. Professional Standards Committee (PS) – None
B. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R) – None
C. Organization and Government Committee (O&G) - None
D. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA) – None
E. University Library Board (ULB) – None

VII. Special Committee Reports – None

VIII. New Business – Election of 2015-2016 Senate Officers

A. Vice Chair of the Senate
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senator Frazier presented his candidacy statement. **Senator Frazier was elected by acclamation.**

B. Associate Vice Chair of the Senate
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senators Backer and Grosvenor presented their candidacy statements. **Senator Backer was elected.**

C. Chair of the Professional Standards Committee
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senator Peter presented his candidacy statement. **Senator Peter was elected by acclamation.**

D. Chair of the Curriculum and Research Committee
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senator Mathur presented her candidacy statement. **Senator Mathur was elected by acclamation.**
E. Chair of the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senators Kaufman and Laker presented their candidacy statements. **Senator Kaufman was elected.**

F. Chair of the Organization and Government Committee
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. Senators Shifflett and Laker presented their candidacy statements. **Senator Shifflett was elected.**

G. CSU Statewide Representative on the Executive Committee
Chair Kimbarow announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. Senator Van Selst was the only nominee. Senator Sabalius presented on behalf of Senator Van Selst who could not make the meeting due to a late flight. **Senator Van Selst was elected by acclamation.**

IX. State of the University Announcements. Questions. In rotation.
A. Provost – None
B. Vice President of Finance and Administration – None
C. Vice President for Student Affairs – None
D. Associated Students President –
   AS President Amante announced that the new AS Board of Directors will begin June 1, 2015. There are three returning board members and 13 new members.

   In the Fall semester there will be a $4 increase to student fees to pay for the Student Involvement Representation Fee. There is an opt-out option.

   AS will be visiting legislators this year to push for the reinstatement of Pell grants.

E. Vice President for University Advancement – None
F. CSU Statewide Senators – None

X. Adjournment – The meeting adjourned at 4:50 p.m.
Executive Committee Minutes
June 22, 2015
1:00-2:40, ADM 167

Present: Kimbarow, Blaylock, Peter, Shifflett, Larochelle, Heiden, Feinstein, Lee, Mathur, Kaufman
Absent: Amante, Qayoumi, Backer, Lanning, Frazier

1. New and returning members welcomed: VP Student Affairs - Reginald Blaylock, Instruction & Student Affairs Chair - Michael Kaufman, Curriculum & Research Chair - Ravisha Mather
2. Consent Calendar deferred to next meeting. Chair Kimbarow will follow up on question related to an appointment listed as ‘Academic Senate Seat’.
3. James Lee elected to serve as statewide senator representative on the Executive Committee for the summer. During the 1st senate meeting in the fall a formal election will be held.
4. Appointment Recommendations for President Qayoumi
   Athletics Board (2 positions with terms ending 2018): Kyung Mo Han; Annette Nellen
   Spartan Shops Board (2 positions with terms ending 2018): Joseph Giglierano; Tanvi Kothari
   Associated Students Personnel Committee (1 position with a term ending 2017): Stefan Frazier

Note: for future, the committee asked that materials provided for review include the charge of each committee (as is done with call for nominations).

5. Appointment of FAR (Faculty Athletic Representative) deferred to next meeting. Chair Kimbarow will review information in F05-2 and notes from earlier Executive Committee Meeting discussions on the Faculty Athletic Representative.
6. Update on Strategic Planning item: O&G chair Shifflett shared information gathered this summer and asked for input from the Executive Committee regarding their perspectives on (a) what it means when strategic planning ‘works’, and (b) two alternative configurations for committee(s) and membership. Discussion was fruitful and will continue at next meeting of the Executive Committee.
7. Additional Policy Committee Updates were deferred to next meeting.
8. Administration Updates:
   Provost:
   • 57 new faculty coming in; authorized additional 66 hires for next year
     • About 30 people separating each year (e.g., mostly FERP; a few mid career change to opportunities at other institutions)
     • Focusing on tenure density (based on information in October to compare across CSU)
     • Working on salary augmentation - intention to address problems of inversion and compression
     • Furniture for teaching spaces coming in this summer
VP Student Affairs

- Great show rates at new student orientation
- Wellness center opened 6/15/15
- Director of housing candidates will be on campus beginning this week

Interim VP Administration & Finance

- Extra 96 million dollars from legislature (proposed by CSU Board of Trustees) supported by governor
- Next - See what expectations come out of the chancellor’s office. Support budget at campus level would then be revisited once we know allocation - e.g., increase funding enrollment

9. Senate Retreat discussion deferred to next meeting
10. Percolating Issues. Update from Chair Kimbarow

- What do we do at SJSU about rape prevention?
- Tenure and TT faculty teaching late afternoon and night class?
- Legacy emails being retired. VPAF will look into timing of transition and forwarding options.

These minutes were taken and transcribed by Senator Shifflett on June 22, 2015. The minutes were edited by Chair Kimbarow on June 23, 2015. The minutes were approved by the Executive Committee on July 21, 2015.
Executive Committee Meeting Minutes
ADM 167, 1:00 to 2:20 p.m.
July 13, 2015

Present: Kimbarow, Blaylock, Shifflett, Larochelle, Heiden, Feinstein, Lee,
         Mathur, Lanning, Qayoumi
Absent: Amante, Peter, Frazier, Kaufman, Backer

1. Closed Session: Personnel Discussion

These minutes were taken by Senator Bethany Shifflett. The minutes were edited by Chair
Kimbarow on July 13, 2015. The minutes were approved by the Executive Committee on
August 24, 2015.
Executive Committee Meeting Minutes  
ADM 167, 1:00 to 3:00 p.m.  
July 21, 2015

Present:   Kimbarow, Peter, Lanning, Shifflett, Heiden, Feinstein, Lee, Mathur, Kaufman, Backer, Amante, Larochelle, Blaylock  
Absent:  Qayoumi, Frazier

1. Approval of EC Minutes of June 22, 2015  
M/S/To approve the minutes of June 22, 2015. Vote: 9-0-2

2. Consent Calendar (dated July 13, 2015)  
M/S/To approve the consent calendar dated July 13, 2015. Vote: 10-0-1

3. Transition Planning

The interim President, Sue Martin, is coming to campus next Tues. (7/28) and would like to meet with faculty exec from 1-2. Room TBD. Chair Kimbarow asked that the faculty members of the Academic Senate Executive Committee should let him know if they can’t attend the meeting with Sue Martin.

There will be a farewell for President Mo Qayoumi tentatively scheduled for October 1 at 3:30 pm. Former chair Heiden and Chair Kimbarow will write a Sense of the Senate resolution thanking the president for his service.

Provost Andy Feinstein reported that there will be two searches in the upcoming year that will be delayed because of the selection of the new permanent President: Chief Diversity Officer (chaired by VP Blaylock) and the VP Administration & Finance (chaired by Provost Feinstein). The finalists will not be brought to campus until a new permanent president is selected. There was a discussion of the two search processes. VP Blaylock reported that the Chief Diversity Officer search will be a new search. Academic Senate Chair Kimbarow will send out a call for nominations for these two search committees by the beginning of September.

Nomination process for faculty: Changes made were to move the close of nominations to 8/28/15 and the ballot distribution to the College faculty to September 1. The changed calendar for the “The Advisory Committee to the Trustees Committee for the Selection of the President” was approved unanimously.

4. WASC Report

Provost Andy Feinstein reported on the WASC report. The WASC report included issues for SJSU to address including campus climate and shared governance. The link to the letter is: http://www.sjsu.edu/wasc/docs/WASCCommission_ActionLetter_071515.pdf. There will be a special visit in less than two years. Thalia Anagnos, AVP Graduate & Undergraduate Programs, and Carl Kemnitz, Deputy Provost will be leading the effort to address the issues and write the interim report. There was a suggestion that SJSU have an event for the WASC steering
committee in the Fall to thank them for their efforts. Chair Kimbarow and Senator Lee will write a Sense of the Senate resolution to thank the committee for their efforts.

5. Faculty Athletics Representative (FAR) Appointment

There was a discussion of the extension of the term of the current FAR for SJSU which was requested by the existing FAR, Bill Campsey.

M/S/Approved To recommend the renewal of Bill Campsey’s appointment as FAR to the President to the end of the Fall semester (12/31/2015) and that the President’s office conduct a search for a FAR in Fall semester. Vote: 10-1-0

M/S/Approved Unanimously To refer F05-2 to Organization & Government for a review of the term of office of the FAR.

There was a discussion about the term limits for the SJSU FAR. The committee looked at the policy and the policy requires a FAR and a FAR-designate. Therefore, the current FAR is not eligible to apply for the FAR position.

6. SPB Proposal

Bethany Shifflett presented the current draft of the SJSU Strategic Planning Policy. The Executive Committee asked questions and gave several suggestions.

These minutes were taken and transcribed by the Associate Vice Chair, Pat Backer, on July 21, 2015. They were edited by Chair Kimbarow on July 21, 2015. The minutes were approved by the Executive Committee on August 10, 2015.
Executive Committee Meeting Minutes  
ADM 167, 10:30 am to 12:00 p.m.  
August 10, 2015

Present: Kimbarow, Peter, Qayoumi, Frazier, Lanning, Shifflett, Heiden, Feinstein, Lee, Kaufman, Backer, Larochelle, Blaylock, Mathur (arrived 10:44 am)  
Absent: Amante

1. Approval of EC Minutes of July 21, 2015  
M/S/To approve the minutes of July 21, 2015. Vote: 11-0-2

2. Consent Calendar (dated August 10, 2015)  
M/S/To approve the consent calendar dated August 10, 2015. Vote: 13-0-0

3. Campus building projects update (Mo)  
SJSU President Mo Qayoumi gave an update about the facilities planning and improvements going on at SJSU. He has been working with the Chancellor’s office about capital outlay and the need for new buildings and renovations on campus. The Cabinet has been developing a ten-year plan for facilities at SJSU. He discussed the need for a renovated or new Science building and a new Art & Engineering building. When these new buildings are done, the old campus buildings could be repurposed for other departments/uses. Also, this facility plan will be connected to our next capital campaign. The Executive Committee discussed the proposed facilities plans. VP Larochelle is going to share the plan with the broader SJSU community in the future.

President Qayoumi discussed his new position as Chief Advisor to the President for Infrastructure and Technology in Afghanistan. He will be traveling to the country frequently and helping build the infrastructure and jobs in the country.

4. Research Foundation (Pam Stacks, Sandeep Muju)  
Time Certain 11:00

Pam Stacks and Sandeep Muju discussed several issues related to the Research Foundation. AVP Stacks distributed a Q&A about the Research Foundation. The handout covered indirect costs and Research Foundation revenues. She asked the Executive Committee to review the handout and distribute to faculty who have questions. Sandeep Muju discussed the various issues related to revenue and F&A costs related to the Research Foundation.

AVP Stacks is going to meet with the UCCD to discuss this issue.

5. Budget Advisory Committee Resolution (Bethany)

Bethany Shifflett discussed the latest version of the resolution. The plan is for this resolution to come to the Academic Senate at the same time as the resolution for Strategic Planning.

6. Transition planning
Sue Martin is starting her first day on campus on August 20, the first day of classes. Senate Chair Kimbarow received a request to change the President’s address from August 20 to a later date. There was a suggestion to have a welcome event on 8/20 followed by a fall address on another date.

There was no time remaining for the following agenda items.

Time Permitting:

7. Policy Committee Updates:
   - O & G
   - C & R
   - PS
   - ISA

8. Updates:
   - Provost
   - VPSA
   - VPUA
   - Interim VPAF
   - AS President

These minutes were taken and transcribed by the Associate Vice Chair, Pat Backer, on August 10, 2015. They were edited by Chair Kimbarow on August 10, 2015. The minutes were approved by the Executive Committee on August 24, 2015.
Executive Committee Meeting Minutes  
ADM 167, Noon to 1:30 p.m.  
August 24, 2015

Present: Kimbarow, Peter, Martin, Frazier, Lanning, Shifflett, Heiden, Feinstein, Lee, Kaufman (12:14 p.m.), Backer, Larochelle, Blaylock, Amante (12:15 p.m.), Mathur

1. Approval of Executive Committee Minutes of July 13, 2015. A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes. The committee voted and the minutes were approved (10-0-3).

2. Approval of the Executive Committee Minutes of August 10, 2015. A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes. The committee voted and the minutes were approved (13-0-0).

3. A motion was made and seconded to approve the consent calendar dated August 24, 2015. The committee voted and the consent calendar was approved (13-0-0).

4. The committee welcomed Interim President Martin.

5. The committee discussed the allocation of research foundation funds and the proposed funding model changes. Some departments have expressed concern about the Deans being able to decide how much allocation should go to each department. Concern has also been expressed about changes to the traditional 1/3rd, 1/3rd, 1/3rd allocation. Provost Feinstein will reach out to the department chairs to discuss their issues. The Provost emphasized that no allocation model has been approved at this point.

6. Updates:

   a. From the President:
      Interim President Martin will be focusing on establishing strong relationships across SJSU. President Martin emphasized that the university has a lot of talent and we need to complement each other. The President also wants to focus on strengthening relationships with the Chancellor’s Office and ensuring SJSU is represented in discussions about building and other issues on campus.

   b. From the Provost:
      Provost Feinstein announced he was very excited to have Interim President Martin here and looks forward to the coming year. Provost Feinstein complimented Interim President Martin and Chair Kimbarow on their upbeat “Welcome Back” speeches. Several faculty members approached Provost Feinstein with questions and concerns during his visits to various College Fall Forums. Provost Feinstein will be responding to everyone that approached him by email.

   c. From the Vice President of Student Affairs (VPSA):
VP Blaylock commented on how fortunate it was for him to be able to work with Interim President Martin and Provost Feinstein. Student Affairs (SA) will be funding a program to help students and faculty become more engaged by providing funds for students to take a faculty member to lunch.

SA will also be focusing on: 1) getting parents engaged with SJSU; and, 2) establishing a commuter center. SA intends to support student organizations on campus through a $250,000 match of the funds Associated Students invests in student clubs and organizations.

The President’s Commission on Diversity will be continuing this year and a meeting is scheduled in September.

d. From the Vice President of University Advancement (VPUA):
University Advancement (UA) has filled four of their six open development positions.

VP Lanning announced what a great story we have to tell about our own SJSU students and faculty designing the SJSU website.

UA will have new SJSU banners put up across campus.

VP Lanning will focus on the “legitimacy of relationships” this year. We are all part of one whole.

The Tower Foundation Board Retreat is scheduled for October 12th and 13th.

VP Lanning is looking at advertising and how we can utilize faculty to promote SJSU being one of two practice facilities used in preparation for NFL Super Bowl 50.

e. Vice President for Administration and Finance (VPAF):
The committee discussed whether there was any possibility we would be moving away from Peoplesoft to a different software in the future. Interim VP Larochelle announced we would not be moving to other software, but Peoplesoft does have different options that could be looked at.

The committee discussed the removal of the bike racks. The bike racks have not been removed, but rather have been moved to exterior parts of campus to reduce congestion.

The recent move to a smoke-free campus has caused some problems such as people hiding behind trees and smoking, or forming groups and smoking. Signs have been ordered and will be posted when they arrive. The committee discussed the need to be clear what is on campus and what is not. The sidewalks belong to the city of San José.
Chair Kimbarow thanked Interim VP Larochelle for the positive improvements he has seen in FD&O and their cooperation with departments across campus since she has taken over as Interim VP of Administration and Finance.

f. From the Associated Students (AS) President:
AS just recently held their retreat, and will be working on increasing student awareness of what AS is.
AS will be also be restructuring their Student Senate to more closely match the Academic Senate with members from all colleges.
AS will have a “Peace Pole” on campus in honor of International Peace Day on September 21, 2015. Peace is written in 12 languages.
AS is preparing for homecoming week.
Student Senators will be meeting for training with Chair Kimbarow on September 8, 2015, noon to 1:00 p.m. in CLK 412.
Over 30,000 Clipper Cards have been given to students.

g. From the CSU Statewide Senator:
The next CSU Statewide meeting is scheduled for September 2-4, 2015.

h. From the Chair of the Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):
A member of C&R has notified the Chair that he/she cannot be present for the meetings, but will phone in for conference calls. The committee discussed this and recommended the chair inform the committee member that this runs counter to policy and will not be permitted. The committee member should be reminded of the Senate’s committee meeting attendance policy.

i. From the Chair of the Professional Standards Committee (PS):
An Exceptional Assigned Time Committee (EATC) must be reconstituted to review applications for this award by October 1, 2015. In accordance with University policy S15-1, the Executive Committee must select the General Unit member. A committee member asked for clarification as to who is in the General Unit. The General Unit consists of all Unit 3 Faculty that do not fall under one of the colleges, and all SSP IIIs and SSP IVs. The committee discussed the procedure for soliciting nominations. It was decided that the same procedure should be followed as for all Senate elections. The Senate Administrator will send out a call for statements of interest that will be brought to the Executive Committee for review at the September 21, 2015 Executive Committee meeting.

7. The meeting adjourned at 1:24 p.m.

These minutes were taken and transcribed by the Senate Administrator, Eva Joice, on August 24, 2015. They were edited by Chair Kimbarow on August 26, 2015. The minutes were approved by the Executive Committee on August 31, 2015.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Senate Seats</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sharmin Khan</td>
<td>Humanities &amp; the Arts</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Academic Senate</td>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Lee</td>
<td>SJSU</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Committees</th>
<th>COMMITTEE</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>TERM</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>James Lee</td>
<td>Statewide Senate</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Brandon Do</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Joshua Nelson</td>
<td>Humanities &amp; the Arts</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curriculum &amp; Research</td>
<td>Nadim Sarras</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instruction &amp; Student Affairs</td>
<td>Zhane Gay</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instruction &amp; Student Affairs</td>
<td>Ismail Abukhdeir</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instruction &amp; Student Affairs</td>
<td>Eric Medrano</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instruction &amp; Student Affairs</td>
<td>Sharmin Khan</td>
<td>Humanities &amp; the Arts</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instruction &amp; Student Affairs</td>
<td>Lisa Simpson</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organization &amp; Government</td>
<td>Abid ElMiaari</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organization &amp; Government</td>
<td>Gita Mathur</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>swapping committees with G. Mathur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curriculum &amp; Research</td>
<td>Robert Sibley</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>swapping committees with R. Sibley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Standards</td>
<td>Rocic Cuellar</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Standards</td>
<td>Gercia Cuellar</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Committees</th>
<th>COMMITTEE</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>TERM</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faculty Diversity Committee</td>
<td>Valerie Mendoza</td>
<td>Humanities &amp; the Arts</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Institutional Review Board—Human Subjects</td>
<td>Amy D'Andrade</td>
<td>CASA</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1 year replacement; is on sabbatical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International Programs and Students</td>
<td>Brent Duckor</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student Evaluation Review Board</td>
<td>Shannon Wright</td>
<td>Humanities &amp; the Arts</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student Fairness Committee</td>
<td>Birsen Sirkeci</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student Fairness Committee</td>
<td>Anna Santana</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student Success</td>
<td>Ismail Abukhdeir</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergraduate Studies</td>
<td>Nadim Sarras</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergraduate Studies</td>
<td>Lisa Oliver</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Committees</th>
<th>COMMITTEE</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>TERM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review committee</td>
<td>Jinny Rhee</td>
<td>Dean, Engineering or designee</td>
<td>EXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review committee</td>
<td>Pamela Richardson</td>
<td>Dean, Applied Sciences and Arts or designee</td>
<td>EXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review committee</td>
<td>Kathleen McSherry</td>
<td>Dean, Humanities and the Arts or designee</td>
<td>EXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review committee</td>
<td>Lynn Trujillo</td>
<td>Dean, Social Sciences or designee</td>
<td>EXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE NAME</td>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>UNIT</td>
<td>TERM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Senate Seat</td>
<td>Gilles Muller</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2016 Appt as MPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Valeria E. Molten</td>
<td>General Unit</td>
<td>2016 Promoted to MPP swapping committees with R. Sibley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum &amp; Research</td>
<td>Gita Mathur</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Diversity Committee</td>
<td>Gilles Muller</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2017 Appt as MPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies &amp; Research</td>
<td>Mary Warner</td>
<td>Humanities &amp; the Arts</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Sigurd Meldal</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Miri Van Hoven</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2016 on sabbatical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies &amp; Research</td>
<td>Valeria Molteni</td>
<td>General Unit</td>
<td>2016 Appt as MPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage and Public History</td>
<td>Danelle Moon</td>
<td>Director, University Library Special Collections</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Review Board—Human Subjects</td>
<td>Marjorie R. Freedman</td>
<td>CASA</td>
<td>2017 1 year replacement; is on sabbatical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Programs and Students</td>
<td>Arnold Danzig</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization &amp; Government</td>
<td>Robert Sibley</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2016 swapping committees with G. Mathur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization &amp; Government</td>
<td>Gilles Muller</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>2016 Appt as MPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning</td>
<td>Diana Wu</td>
<td>General Unit</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Success</td>
<td>John May</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Studies</td>
<td>Ravisha Mathur</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Asha Agrawal</td>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>2017 on leave for 1 year; needs replacement for 2015-2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Samuel Obi</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2017 on leave for FA2015; needs replacement for F05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
San José State University
Academic Senate
Organization and Government Committee
September 14, 2015
Final Reading

Senate Management Resolution
Modification of Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement
Review Committee Membership and Charge

Legislative History: Modifies SM-F09-2

Whereas: The Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review Committee (ADRRC) is an administrative committee currently charged with the review of academic disqualifications and academic reinstatement petitions, and
Whereas: The ADRRC has taken on other responsibilities beyond the review of university level probation and disqualification, and
Whereas: The titles for some of the ex officio positions have changed, therefore be it
Resolved That SM-F09-2 be modified as follows with regard to membership, titles, and charge:

Membership:

Associate Dean Undergraduate Studies (Co-Chair)
Associate Dean Graduate Studies (Co-Chair)
Dean, Applied Sciences & Arts or designee
Dean, Business or designee
Dean, Education or designee
Dean, Engineering or designee
Dean, Humanities & the Arts or designee
Dean, Science or designee
Dean, Social Sciences or designee
AVP, Enrollment Services or designee
AVP, Student Academic Success Services or designee
Director, EOP or designee
Director, Academic Advising & Retention Services or designee
Director, Counseling & Psychological Services or designee

Note: all seats remain ex officio.

Charge

The Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review Committee enforces academic regulations governing disqualification and reinstatement to the University. Responsibilities include:

• The review of academic disqualifications and academic reinstatement petitions,
• Hearing appeals to departmental probation and disqualification, Presidential Directive 2009-05 decisions, and other administrative actions for which students have no other appeal body.

• Establishing university guidelines for probation and disqualification in the major

• Approving departmental guidelines for probation and disqualification in the major

Note: Grading appeals and disciplinary appeals are explicitly excluded from the committee charge.

Rationale: These changes bring titles up to date and reflect what has been established practice with regard to the appointment of the Associate Deans from undergraduate and graduate studies to this committee. In addition, in recognition of the ongoing development of departmental policies with regard to probation and disqualification in the major, it places the authority and responsibility for the development of guidelines, review of departmental policies, and subsequent appeals, with the group historically responsible for matters pertaining to academic probation, disqualifications, and reinstatement.

Approved: 8/31/15
Vote: 7-0-0
Present: Grosvenor, Mathur, Laker, Curry, Gleixner, Shifflett, Elmiaari
Absent: Fujimoto

Financial Impact: None expected
Workload Impact: No change from current situation.
Senate Management Resolution
Dissolving the University Teacher Education Committee

Legislative History and Background: SM-S12-1 established the University Teacher Education Committee (UTEC) to replace the All University Teacher Education Committee. No reports have come in since AY 11-12 and based on that last report the group was not particularly active and identified no new business for the upcoming (12-13) academic year.

Whereas: The University Teacher Education Committee has not been active in recent years; and
Whereas: There is not enough interest to constitute this committee reflecting a lack of felt need for its services; therefore be it
Resolved: That the University Teacher Education Committee be dissolved effective with the approval of this resolution.

Rationale: Little interest has been expressed in keeping this committee when inquiries were made of the Dean and faculty in the College of Education. Existing structures (e.g., meetings of chairs/directors) appear to be handling issues related to teacher preparation programs, accreditation, and curricular planning. Therefore, at this point in time the UTEC should be dissolved.

Approved: 8/24/15
Vote: 6-0-0
Present: Grosvenor, Mathur, Laker, Curry, Shifflett, Elmiaari
Absent: Fujimoto, Gleixner

Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None.
Senate Management Resolution
Modification of Undergraduate Studies Committee Charge

Legislative History: Modifies SM-S98-2

Whereas: The Undergraduate Studies Committee in recent years has been providing support to academic programs on curricular initiatives, and
Whereas: There is a need for a group of faculty to actively gather information on undergraduate curricula and provide sustainable support to college curriculum committees in developing new undergraduate curricula, and
Whereas: The Undergraduate Studies Committee members are frequently asked to obtain feedback and consult with relevant stakeholders in their respective units, therefore be it
Resolved That the charge of the Undergraduate Studies Committee be broadened to encompass these vital activities as follows:

Reviews and coordinates the undergraduate curriculum and its development; advises on policies relevant to undergraduate education; encourages and supports curricular innovation on campus; formulates or considers and makes recommendations on policy for awarding honors to undergraduate students; reviews and makes recommendations on proposed departmental honors programs; and members serve as liaisons to their respective units.

Rationale: The Undergraduate Studies Committee is the logical group to provide on going support for new undergraduate curricular initiatives. Broadening their charge officially recognizes the work they’ve taken on and provides for a permanent and sustainable resource for academic programs.

Approved: 8/24/15
Vote: 6-0-0
Present: Grosvenor, Mathur, Laker, Curry, Shifflett, Elmiaari
Absent: Fujimoto, Gleixner

Financial Impact: None expected
Workload Impact: No change from current situation.
POLICY RECOMMENDATION

Further Clarification of the Transition to the new system for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP)
Amends S15-8 (RTP Criteria and Standards)

Resolved: That the following amendment be incorporated into the first resolved clause of S15-8 which is shown in italics below, with the shown amendments edited into the public copies of S15-8:

Resolved: That S98-8 be rescinded and replaced with the following policy according to the following time schedule and conditions:

1) This policy will be effective for all tenure/tenure track faculty appointed for AY 2016/17 and subsequently.

2) This policy will be effective for all tenure/tenure track faculty regardless of appointment date beginning in AY 2020/21 (after five years) 2021/22 (after six years.)

3) During a transition, tenure/tenure track faculty appointed before AY 2016/17 shall have the choice to be evaluated using the criteria and standards of the old policy or according to this policy. (The criteria and standards of the old policy means these sections of S98-8: II (Criteria) V.B (Standards for Tenure), and VI.B (Standards for Promotion) excluding the procedural sections on retention.)

4) Faculty who choose to be evaluated under this policy may not subsequently return to be evaluated by the criteria and standards of the old. Their decision must be made prior to the faculty member’s next performance review and be included as a statement in the beginning of the Working Personnel Action File (i.e. the dossier) for all performance reviews during the transition period.

5) The Office of Faculty Affairs will devise a method by which the personnel committees and other reviewing bodies may readily distinguish between candidates being evaluated under S98-8 and the attached policy (e.g., different color binders).

6) Resolution of discrepancies during the transition. The choice between the old and new criteria and standards applies to those sections of policy explicitly identified above, but also to any other sections of policy scattered elsewhere in the overall policy that clearly reference criteria and standards. If there is ambiguity about whether the old or the new sections apply, the AVP for Faculty Affairs shall define which applies.

7) The AVP for Faculty Affairs, in consultation with the Professional Standards Committee, shall be further empowered to adjust the implementation of this policy to accommodate other issues that may arise as a result of the transition from S98-8 to S15-8. This entire resolved clause will expire when no more faculty are covered by S98-8 and shall be edited out of public copies of S15-8.
Rationale: It was the intent of S15-8 to allow faculty a choice between the Criteria and Standards of the old system and those of the new system during a transition period. The reason for this choice is that our faculty, including those currently in their first year, accepted their positions at SJSU after being given a copy of the current RTP policy, which created a reasonable expectation that they would be evaluated by those criteria and standards. Professional Standards believes that many if not most faculty will choose the newer standards, but feels an ethical obligation to offer our current probationary faculty a choice.

Unfortunately, the implementation clauses (1 and 2 above) are confusing or contradictory about whether our “first year” cohort of faculty may exercise this choice. In point 1 (above) it seems that only faculty who start in AY 2016/17 will be placed entirely under the new standards, implying that our current 1st year cohort (the 2015/16 class) will be able to choose. But in point 2 (above) a phase-out end date is set which will be before our first year cohort comes up for the final tenure decision. Thus the two clauses are contradictory. The amendment in point 2 (above) fixes this by extending the phase-in for a sixth year.

Clause 7 is added so that the Senate does not have to consider any other minor transitional amendments. Implementation of a complex policy is bound to expose similar issues and it would be less disruptive if the AVP for Faculty Affairs, in consultation with Professional Standards, can simply settle any such issues promptly rather than returning to the Senate repeatedly as implementation issues arise.

Approved: (Email vote August 31-September 1, 2015)

Vote: (8-0-0)

Present: (Peter, Green, White, Lee, Cuellar, Virick, Kauppila, Riley)

Absent: ()

Financial Impact: No changes over the previous policy.

Workload Impact: No changes over the previous policy.
POLICY RECOMMENDATION
Adjusting the timing of Performance Reviews
During the transition to the new system for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP)
Amends S15-7 (RTP Procedures)

Resolved: That the following be inserted as a sixth and final resolved clause to S15-7, and be edited into the public copies of S15-7:

Resolved: Any probationary faculty member who has completed a performance review under S98-8 prior to Fall 2016 (e.g., received a second year review during AY 2015-16 or earlier) shall continue to be reviewed under the timeline (2-4-6) begun under the old policy until the tenure decision is completed. The AVP for Faculty Affairs, in consultation with the Professional Standards Committee, shall be further empowered to adjust the implementation of this policy to accommodate other issues that may arise as a result of the transition from S98-8 to S15-7. This clause will expire at the end of AY 2019-20.

Rationale: One of the reasons PS recommended that our RTP policies be adopted a year in advance of their implementation was to give the campus sufficient time and notice to anticipate implementation issues and head off problems. One such issue has been detected and this amendment will correct the problem.

Under our old policy, probationary faculty were reviewed in their 2nd and 4th probationary years prior to the final tenure decision being made in their 6th year. Under the new policy they will be reviewed in their 3rd year, with the possibility of an additional review in their 4th or 5th year, prior to the final and decisive review in their 6th year. The problem concerns the transition to the new system for people who have already started their review cycle under the old system.

Under S98-8 (the old policy) faculty who are in their 2nd year this year are being reviewed. Next year S15-7 goes into effect and all 3rd year faculty are to be reviewed. This would mean that this cohort of faculty would be reviewed two years in a row.

A worse problem is that faculty who are currently in their 3rd year will miss both the 3rd year review of the new policy and the 4th year review of the old
policy, and progress to the final tenure decision without having had any full 
review.

What this simple amendment does is to keep all faculty who have started 
their review cycle on the old timeline on the same 2-4-6 timeline. This 
eliminates both of the problems just mentioned. Faculty who are currently in 
their 1st year, however, will be the first cohort to fully utilize the new 3+ 6 
review cycle.

One very important note: the timing of reviews is completely unrelated to a 
faculty member’s privilege to choose between the old and new Criteria and 
Standards during the transitional period. Staying with the old timing cycle 
does not necessarily mean staying with the old Criteria and Standards—this 
remains a faculty member’s choice.

The final sentence in this amendment adds a temporary “elastic clause” to 
the policy to allow the AVP for Faculty Affairs to make additional 
adjustments that may be required during implementation. We hope that this 
may prevent the need to come to the Senate with additional amendments 
for temporary issues during the transition.

Approved: (August 31, 2015)

Vote: (7-0-0)

Present: (Peter, Green, White, Lee, Cuellar, Virick, Kauppila )

Absent: (Riley)

Financial Impact: No changes over the previous policy.

Workload Impact: No changes over the previous policy. The transition to a system which 
might involve fewer reviews would be delayed, but it is hard to estimate any impacts.
Policy Recommendation
Revision to SJSU Strategic Planning Policy

Legislative History: Recinds S09-6 and SM-S12-2; Amends Senate Bylaw 10.1

Whereas: Strategic planning is a collaborative process that enables us to create a shared university vision, and
Whereas: The recently endorsed statement (May 2015) on shared governance points out that ‘Whether formulating policy, issuing directives, or making decisions of less formal character, both the Senate and the Administration should consult widely with those affected by decisions’, and
Whereas: An update to existing policy on strategic planning at this time is needed to bring closure to the Vision 2017 strategic planning process and initiate the next cycle of strategic planning, therefore be it
Resolved: That S09-6 and SM-S12-2 be replaced by this policy, and be it further
Resolved: That senate bylaw 10.1 be amended to delete item E (strategic planning assessment agency), and be it further
Resolved: That the attached policy be adopted and a strategic planning steering committee be constituted by fall 2016.

Rationale: A range of perspectives exist regarding problems related to the existing strategic planning policy and its implementation (e.g., change in leadership, unwieldy committee size, lack of clarity regarding the process and/or committee responsibilities). Utilizing information from those involved in the last strategic planning cycle as well as those new to campus, this is an ideal time to revise the strategic planning policy in ways that bring closure to vision 2017, nurture collaboration across and within divisions, and act on the recommendations from the WASC visiting team with respect to engaging the campus community around strategic planning.

The specific amendment to bylaw 10.1 is needed since this policy recommendation provides for a strategic planning steering committee with responsibilities that include the evaluation functions of the former strategic planning assessment agency and are aligned with work related to the formation and implementation of a strategic plan for the University.

This policy recommendation seeks to provide a structure and guidelines that clarify roles and responsibilities, improves communication and campus engagement throughout the life cycle of strategic planning, and results in a process that is transparent, inclusive and leads to the outcomes identified in the strategic plan.

Approved: 8/31/15
Vote: 7-0-0  
Present: Grosvenor, Mathur, Laker, Curry, Gleixner, Shifflett, Elmiaari  
Absent: Fujimoto  

Financial Impact: Costs associated with the facilitation of meetings and materials related to a variety of communication strategies are expected.  

Workload Impact: An increase is expected for a strategic planning support staff person and individuals and groups tasked with (a) the planning and implementation of meetings and events, (b) leadership responsibilities in the planning and implementation of initiatives associated with the strategic plan, and (c) evaluation and reporting responsibilities related to the strategic planning process and its outcomes.  

1. Strategic Planning Steering Committee  

The Strategic Planning Steering Committee (SPSC) will be a special agency of the Academic Senate. The SPSC will be advisory to the President and serve as a resource to solicit the views of the SJSU community as they pertain to the university’s strategic direction. This steering committee is also intended as a resource to the campus community to facilitate the healthy development, implementation and evaluation of the strategic plan throughout its life cycle. The strategic planning steering committee plays an important role in nurturing shared governance in ways that provide for an inclusive process that leads to the achievement of common goals.  

1.1 Charge  

Responsible for providing strategic leadership, in collaboration with the President, in all aspects of the development, implementation, evaluation, and revision of a strategic plan for SJSU. Ongoing review of the process along with communication and engagement with campus constituents will be central to the steering committee’s responsibilities as well as the plan’s legitimacy and efficacy.  

1.2 Membership  

Academic Senate Chair (SPSC co-chair) (EXO)  
Provost or Designee (SPSC co-chair) (EXO)  
VP Student Affairs or Designee (EXO)  
AVP Administration & Finance or Designee (EXO)  
Chief Diversity Officer or Designee (EXO)  
Athletics Director or Designee (EXO)  
AS President (EXO)  
1 Dean  
1 Department Chair  
1 Faculty-at-large  
1 Graduate Student  
1 Staff  
1 SJSU Alumni Board Member
1.2.1 Recruitment and Appointment of Members

Each member will initially serve a 3-year term renewable for one additional 3-year term. Recruitment of applicants to serve on the strategic planning steering committee will be done through the normal committee on committees process for the seats designated for a dean, chair, faculty member, staff member and student. Recommendations for an alum member will be solicited from the SJSU Alumni Board. Recommendations for a community member will be solicited from the SJSU Tower Board. When filling initial appointments, the Associate Vice Chair of the Senate will stagger the terms. When there are multiple applications for a seat the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate will select individuals to serve. In considering potential SPSC members attention should focus on the person’s skills and experience in these areas: strategic planning, assessment, engagement of individuals and groups.

1.3 Responsibilities of the Strategic Planning Steering Committee

The co-chairs of the strategic planning steering committee will schedule and preside at meetings, prepare agendas, propose and maintain time-lines for its activities, assign responsibilities to members as needed, and take responsibility for the effective operation of the SPSC.

1.3.1 Plan, initiate and take part in conversations about strategic planning goals and priorities for the University with the campus, groups and individuals having a leadership role on campus (e.g., Academic Senate, Deans, Chairs, President, President’s Cabinet, Students), and external communities. Representatives are tasked with facilitating an inclusive dialogue among the entire SJSU community. While this clearly involves listening to groups and individuals actively engaged in leadership roles on campus, the strategic planning steering committee should especially seek input from those who are not often consulted or involved in such processes. The task of engaging diverse voices in an ongoing manner is critical for establishing and implementing effective planning and evaluation processes.

1.3.2 Consider all university resources in preparation of a strategic plan including, but not limited to: budget, space, human capital, technology, and other university assets.

1.3.3 Circulate a draft of the overall strategic plan and priorities to obtain input from the campus.

1.3.4 Prepare, for the President’s consideration, a strategic plan for SJSU which includes recommendations for a limited set of goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and performance measures to assess outcomes related to each goal. The strategic plan will typically span a 5-7 year time frame.
1.3.5 Following consultation with the Budget Advisory Committee, advise the President regarding the alignment of campus resources with the strategic plan.

1.3.6 Provide suggestions with respect to communication plans related to strategic planning.

1.3.6.1 Individual steering committee members will communicate and promote the approved strategic plan and implementation strategies among the groups they represent.

1.3.7 Annually, collect a report detailing activities and accomplishments from the individuals assigned to lead initiatives related to one of the strategic planning goals.

1.3.8 Annually document and evaluate actions and outcomes of the strategic plan. Data from multiple sources and perspectives should be examined whenever possible. Evaluations should be made with respect to progress and effectiveness of implementation in the context of appropriate performance measures, timelines, and allocated resources. Included should be an evaluation of the strategic planning process overall and suggestions for any modifications that might be called for.

1.3.9 As identified in the strategic planning process (section 2) prepare reports as needed. In addition, the Academic Senate chair annually completes the summary report required of all special agencies and communicates that report to the senate.

2. Strategic Planning Process

2.1 Review the University Mission with the President

The SPSC will meet with the president to discuss strategic planning in the context of SJSU’s mission and obtain information and guidance on his/her priorities and vision for the campus.

2.2 Internal & External Analyses Conducted by the SPSC

The SPSC will examine SJSU’s internal and external environment in a variety of ways to facilitate subsequent recommendations with respect to the strategic plan. The information evaluated should include recommendations from the most recent WASC review, campus-wide data, and outcomes of the last strategic planning cycle.

2.4 Develop Goals and Draft Strategic Plan

The SPSC will plan and implement dialogues to guide the development of goals to be included in the strategic plan. Dialogs should be conducted in a thorough, collaborative, and inclusive manner.

The SPSC will circulate widely a draft of the overall strategic plan to obtain further input from the campus.
The SPSC will seek the endorsement of the Academic Senate for their recommended strategic plan.

The SPSC will prepare and present to the President for his/her consideration a final draft strategic plan. Recommendations should include a limited set of goals, strategies for addressing goals, and metrics to evaluate performance.

The President is responsible for finalizing the strategic plan.

2.5 Communicate the Strategic Plan to Campus

The President will take the lead on communicating the strategic plan and its progress. Communication will be reinforced by the President’s Cabinet, the Academic Senate, the SPSC, and those serving as the lead for each goal in the strategic plan. The President’s area of the SJSU web site should maintain an updated record of the plan, its progress, and a mechanism to collect feedback throughout the strategic planning cycle.

2.6 Implementation of Strategic Plan

The President assigns responsibility for the implementation of each goal. One individual will be designated as the lead for each goal. That person will organize implementation efforts (e.g., establish task forces or working groups) as needed.

Each person taking the lead for a goal will be responsible for planning and implementing strategies, monitoring progress, and collecting performance measures related to their goal. Each lead can form working groups and engage the help of other units and individuals as needed. Each spring, leads will prepare a report for the strategic planning steering committee regarding activities and accomplishments for the previous year.

2.7 Monitoring the Strategic Plan

The SPSC will regularly review the University’s progress on established goals and the strategic planning process overall.

The SPSC will obtain information from the budget advisory committee so that advice can be conveyed to the President regarding the alignment of campus resources to the strategic plan.

Each year, the SPSC will prepare, in consultation with the president, a summary report that will be widely distributed.

In the final year of a strategic planning cycle, a summary report for the President from the SPSC will focus on the University’s cumulative achievements as well as an evaluation of the process.

2.8 Communicate outcomes at the conclusion of the strategic planning cycle to campus.
In alignment with an overall communications strategy that keeps the SJSU community informed throughout the process and reflects input provided by the SPSC, the President’s Cabinet, and the Academic Senate, outcomes of a completed strategic planning cycle will be conveyed to campus by the President.

3. Policy Modifications

Following implementation, if modifications to this policy appear needed the strategic planning steering committee will provide the Academic Senate Chair with its suggestions. The chair of the Academic Senate will then refer the recommendations out to the appropriate policy committee for timely review and subsequent action.
Legislative History & Background: Rescinds SM-S03-1; Modifies S09-6; Amends Senate Bylaw 10.1.

A coded memo from the Chancellor in 1987, provides the directive behind the guidance and establishment of Campus Budget Advisory Committees. Historically, S05-10 abolished the existing Budget Advisory Committee and replaced it with a Resource Planning Board. S07-3 then established a Resource Review Board noting that, “In practice it was found that the role for the Resource Planning Board envisioned by S05-10 has proved to be unworkable due to budget timelines and the composition of the board. This proposal, if adopted, abolishes the RPB and creates a new special agency, the Resource Review Board (RRB).” Subsequently S09-6 (Strategic Planning Policy) rescinded S07-3 and established a Strategic Planning Board (SPB) which would serve as the budget advisory committee. SM-11-1 then temporarily assigned responsibilities of the Budget Advisory Committee to the Senate Executive Committee (plus 3 additional members) noting that, “the SPB has had limited meetings, due to management transitions and considerable uncertainty in the CSU budget. Those same budget uncertainties, however, make it all the more important that the Senate and the campus remain connected to the budget advisory role.” Finally, F14-1 revoked the temporary assignment of budget advisory committee responsibilities and returned responsibilities to the Strategic Planning Board.

Whereas: S09-6, which defined the Strategic Planning Board as the body to serve in the role of a Budget Advisory Committee, is under reconsideration this fall, and

Whereas: The SJSU statement on shared governance notes that effective shared governance depends on judicious use of fully collaborative and consultative decision making, and

Whereas: The campus has not had an active budget advisory committee as called for in the 1987 coded memo from the Chancellor (BA 87-14) in recent years, therefore be it

Resolved: That until such time as S09-6 is updated, provisions in that policy related to a budget advisory committee be removed, and be it further

Resolved: That senate bylaw 10.1 be amended to add the Budget Advisory Committee to the list of special agencies, and be it further

Resolved: That effective fall 2015 a special agency titled ‘Budget Advisory Committee’ be established in accordance with the structure, membership, and charge detailed below.
Rationale: A fully engaged budget advisory committee is critically important in the areas of education, engagement, and transparency when it comes to (a) understanding our decentralized budgeting process, (b) identifying problem areas connected to budget allocations and expenditures, (c) serving in an advisory capacity to campus leadership highlighting issues and concerns from the Academic Senate and campus community on budget-related matters, and (d) serving as a resource to the campus community on budget-related questions. This proposal is meant to provide for a budget advisory committee whose charge and responsibilities are in alignment with the principles articulated in the SJSU Statement on Shared Governance and provided by the System Budget Advisory Committee working with the Statewide Academic Senate and and California State Student Association and endorsed by the CSU Chancellor in BA 87-14.

Approved: 8/31/15
Vote: 7-0-0
Present: Grosvenor, Mathur, Laker, Curry, Gleixner, Shifflett, Elmiaari
Absent: Fujimoto

Financial Impact: None expected.
Workload Impact: Additional workload for members of the Budget Advisory Committee.

1. Budget Advisory Committee

A Budget Advisory Committee (BAC) is an integral part of the effort to engage the campus community in developing an understanding of our decentralized budgeting process. Working closely with the Vice President for Administration and Finance the BAC will on a regular basis review reports related to budget/finance situations, identify areas of concern, and provide feedback and input on priorities and solutions. Meeting regularly, the BAC will be in a good position to address and communicate budget issues to the Academic Senate and faculty as they emerge throughout an academic year.

The Budget Advisory Committee will be a special agency. In conducting their budget-related work, the President and the BAC should remain cognizant of the principles in BA 87-14 (Chancellor’s coded memo) regarding access to information and consultation. In collaboration with campus leadership, the BAC should strive to serve the campus through education, communication, and transparency.

1.1 Charge

Provide input and recommendations to the President throughout the planning, implementation and subsequent review of budget expenditures including advice on key campus priorities. The BAC will assist with identifying challenges, serve as an advisory resource to the campus community, and provide a mechanism to communicate financial issues across the campus in a timely fashion. In addition, this committee will serve as a resource to enhance the campus community’s understanding of university-wide budgeting processes; develop a broad and deep understanding of budget issues at all levels in order to identify and analyze problem areas and
propose solutions; and provide advice concerning the planning, development, and implementation of materials to communicate budget-related information to the campus community.

1.2 Membership

Senate Vice Chair (Co chair)
VP Finance (Co chair)
AVP Academic Budgets & Planning (EXO)
1 Dean
1 Department Chair
2 Faculty Senators
2 Faculty-at-large
AS President or Designee
Academic Affairs Staff Member (finance/budget responsibilities)

If any member cannot complete their term for any reason, or is absent from three regularly schedule steering committee meetings, or repeatedly does not perform assigned committee duties, a co-chair of the BAC may request a replacement from the Chair of the Committee on Committees.

1.2.1 Recruitment and Appointment of Members

Members (other than ex-officio) serve a 3-year term which is renewable for one additional 3-year term. When filling initial appointments, the Chair of the Committee on Committees will stagger the terms of non ex-officio seats. The student member serves a 1-year term and can be re-appointed. Solicitation of applications to serve on the Budget Advisory Committee will be made through the normal Committee on Committees process for the seats designated for faculty, staff, dean, and student members. When multiple applications are submitted for a seat, the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate will select individuals to serve. In considering applicants, attention should focus on the person’s expertise in areas related to the planning and allocation of budget resources and the need for continuity over time in membership for a portion of the seats. In addition, efforts would be made to keep membership on the BAC separate from that on the Strategic Planning Steering Committee.

1.3 Responsibilities of the Budget Advisory Committee

The co-chairs of the Budget Advisory Committee will convene and preside at meetings, prepare agendas, propose and maintain time-lines for its activities, and take responsibility for the effective operation of the committee.

1.3.1 Participate in and facilitate a highly transparent, informative, and participatory campus budget planning and allocation process.
1.3.2 Participate in a budgeting process that integrates campus strategic goal setting, budget review and planning, and allocations set by the president.

1.3.3 Participate in the review of the accomplishment of finance goals across divisions and other appropriate units in the context of accountability with respect to the proper use of funds.

1.3.4 Advise the President regarding the timing and content of annual budget calls.

1.3.5 Advise the President during the fiscal year regarding significant or unanticipated events that have a significant effect upon campus budget allocations.

1.3.6 Advise the President regarding the content and format for reporting annual budget data to the campus community in a thorough and consistent manner such that annual changes in the budget are easily tracked and understood.

1.3.7 Provide annual recommendations to the President regarding the proposed budget allocations across the University’s several divisions in line with the University Strategic Plan.

1.3.8 Receive reports related to enrollment targets and yield and contribute to discussions on proposed budget allocations.

1.3.9 Review, analyze, and advise the President regarding significant budget actions external to the campus that could impact the University’s Operating Fund; e.g., the initial CSU budget proposal and the Governor’s May Revise.

1.3.10 Provide information to the Strategic Planning Committee regarding the alignment of campus resources with the strategic plan.

1.3.11 At the conclusion of each academic year the Vice Chair of the senate will complete the summary report required of all special agencies and communicate, at an appropriate level of detail, information related to the BAC’s work directly to the senate.

2. Budget Review Considerations for the Budget Advisory Committee

Information and input from multiple sources and perspectives should be examined whenever possible. Information reported out to the campus community should be in a format that is readily understood and facilitates productive dialogue. The tenor and nature of communication with all individuals and groups providing and receiving budget-related information should be positive, constructive, inclusive, and transparent.

The Budget Advisory Committee may access as needed all documents related to the campus annual budget as well as expenditures. Committee members would receive the training needed to access available data.
Given the complexity of our decentralized budgeting processes, the BAC will need to become knowledgeable with regard to a wide range of SJSU resources, operations and organizations. These are likely to include the following:

University
• Operating Fund Budget & Resources
• University Sources and Uses of Funds
• Expenditures by Division
• Comparisons to other CSU Campuses

Self Support Operations & Funds
• Continuing Education Reserve Fund
• Student Health
• University Housing
• University Parking
• Capital Outlay & Deferred Maintenance
• Intercollegiate Athletics
• Lottery
• Student Fees (e.g., Student Success, Excellence, & Technology Fee)

Auxiliary Organizations
• Associated Students
• Research Foundation
• Spartan Shops, Inc.
• Student Union, Inc.
• Tower Foundation

3.0 Policy Modifications

Following implementation, if modifications to this policy appear needed the Vice Chair of the Senate will provide the Academic Senate Chair with the Budget Advisory Committee’s suggestions. The Chair of the Academic Senate will then refer the recommendation(s) out to the appropriate policy committees for timely review and subsequent action.
Policy Recommendation
Credit by Exam for Challenge Examinations

Background

SJSU has no campus policy governing Credit by Exam (CBE)- Challenge Exams. Current practices are not in line with most other CSU campuses or with guidelines given by the Chancellor’s Office. CSU Executive Order 1036, Article 1.1 addresses “Campus-Originated Challenge Examinations” stating that “Students who pass campus-originated challenge examinations… shall earn credit toward the degree.”

The Office of Academic Programs & Faculty Development of the CSU Chancellor’s Office has informed the individual campuses that “challenge exams” should generate Earned Units toward graduation, but should never generate FTES (Full Time Equivalent Students). SJSU is among the 11 of 23 CSU Campuses not following these CSU Guidelines. The rationale is that students receive no instruction when challenging a course, and FTES is generated as a measure of faculty instructional time.

Justification

Currently, SJSU students may earn credit by exam- challenge exams in two different ways. The color coding shows in green where we are complying with CSU policy, and in red where our campus is out of compliance.

Current Practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Exam</th>
<th>Administered by</th>
<th>Earned Units toward graduation</th>
<th>Course registration required &amp; FTES generated</th>
<th>Student fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP, CLEP, &amp; IB</td>
<td>External Testing Services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Paid externally, unless administered by the SJSU Testing Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Challenge Exam” (to SJSU course)</td>
<td>Individual faculty or departments</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes *</td>
<td>Course registration required; may require</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* Only the AP (Advanced Placement), CLEP (College Level Examination Program), and IB (International Baccalaureate) Exams comply with EO-1036 and guidance from the Academic Programs Division of the Chancellor’s Office.

This policy proposes to administer “challenge exams” that do not generate FTES, but which do earn credit toward graduation. In table form, this policy will not modify the row associated with external examinations, but will modify the “challenge exams” as shown.

**Proposed Policy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Exam</th>
<th>Administered by</th>
<th>Earned Units toward graduation</th>
<th>Course registration required &amp; FTES generated</th>
<th>Student fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP, CLEP, &amp; IB</td>
<td>External Testing Services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>paid externally, unless administered by the SJSU Testing Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Challenge Exam” (to SJSU course)</td>
<td>Departments or the Testing Office on behalf of a department</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>generally none, unless administered and/or evaluated by the Testing Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resolved** That the existing “challenge exam” practices be discontinued and that the following be adopted immediately as campus policy for challenge examinations.

A. **CBE- Challenge exams are not permitted to generate FTES, nor associated WTU (Weighted Teaching Units) workload.** Students are not eligible to take a CBE-Challenge exam for a particular course if that course has already been taken for a letter or CR/NC grade. A “W” grade shall not prevent a student from taking a CBE-Challenge exam. A student may not receive credit by examination via Challenge exam to remove a grade of “F,” “WU”, or “NC”. Students shall not be allowed to take a campus generated challenge examination for a particular course more than once. As is current practice, if a challenge exam is passed, then a grade of CR and a notation of CBE shall be recorded on the transcript. Earned units (UE) must be generated and these must be recorded on the SJSU transcript. Units earned through challenge exams will not be counted as part of
the SJSU residency requirements. Only matriculated SJSU students are eligible to take CBE-Challenge exams.

B. **Where there are existing AP or CLEP or IB exams** that have been determined to earn General Education (GE), American Institutions (AI), and/or course credit (see [http://info.sjsu.edu/static/catalog/cbe.html](http://info.sjsu.edu/static/catalog/cbe.html)), these external exams should be used rather than campus generated challenge exams. If there is a discrepancy between the units earned according to the CBE website and the units assigned to the articulated course at SJSU, the units found at the CBE website shall be assigned.

C. **Where there are no external AP or CLEP or IB exams equivalent to SJSU courses**, the determination of whether “campus-originated challenge examinations” (per EO-1036) are available for a particular course is determined by the department or college curriculum committee, and not by individual faculty who may teach that course. A list of courses allowable for CBE via challenge exams shall be recommended by departments/colleges, approved by their respective Dean’s Offices, and maintained by the Office of Graduate and Undergraduate Programs. Credit by examination for 100W and for 200-level graduate courses are not eligible for CBE-Challenge Exams. Courses that are cross-listed will be determined by the home department.

D. The Testing Office will oversee registration and reporting results of all campus challenge exams. The Testing Office can oversee administration of the exam and will coordinate with the department where needed. The costs of administering such exams by the Testing Office shall be determined by the Course Fee Advisory Committee. Students must register for Challenge Exams with the Testing Office **no later** than 5:00 p.m., 28 days after the last day to add classes.

E. The Registrar’s Office shall work with the Office of Graduate and Undergraduate Programs and the Testing Office to develop reporting forms, processes, and transcript notations consistent with this policy.

Approved (C&R):  6-0-0

Present:  Anagnos, Backer, Buzanski, Mathur (Chair), Sibley, Schultz-Krohn

Absent:  Bacich, Coopman, Matoush, Sarras, Stacks

Curricular Impact: The net effect may be more students taking (and passing) courses with CBE-Challenge Exams. This in turn would lead to the freeing up of seats in classrooms, more timely graduation for those who pass the CBE-Challenge exams, and more access to enrollment of new students if the CBE-Challenge Exam students graduate more quickly.

Financial Impact:  As former challenge exams generated FTES and the proposed CBE-Challenge exams will not do so, these CBE exams could reduce campus FTES, though the effect is not anticipated to be very large.
Workload Impact: There will be an initial staff and administrative workload associated with the creation of new reporting forms and processes consistent with this policy, primarily affecting the Registrar’s Office and the Office of Graduate and Undergraduate Programs. Systematizing the administration of SJSU CBE-Challenge exams could reduce faculty and staff workload.
Policy recommendation:
Instructor drops in online courses

Background: University policy S05-12 set the guidelines and some procedures for a refund schedule for students when they drop a course as well as for use of the “W” symbol on transcripts. According to that policy, instructors are permitted to drop students from in-person courses if they did not attend the first class meeting. Since that time, however, online courses have become far more prevalent, which has led to the question of how to track student commitment to a course that does not meet in person. This policy revision adds a clause to determine how students establish a committed presence in online courses (section 2b below).

Resolved: The first full paragraph of Section 2 of S05-12 shall be modified to read:

Six instructional days before Census Day, i.e. the 14th day of instruction, is the last day for the student to add a class; this is also the last day for an instructor to drop a student who fails to establish a committed presence in the class. “Establishing a committed presence” is defined as the following:

- **In-person courses.** Attending the first class meeting or, failing that, informing the instructor prior to the second class meeting of the reason for any absence and the intention to continue in the class.
- **Online courses.** One of the following: completing a class assignment; informing the instructor of the intention to continue in the class; three hours of logged time on the learning management system with verifiable activity (chat session, discussion board entries, etc.).

Approved: 8/31/15
Vote: 14-0-1
Present: Kelley, Bruck, Walters, Brooks, Campsey, Sofish, Kaufman, Abukhdeir, Gay, Amante, Wilson, Sen, Khan, Medina, Sullivan-Green
Absent: Rees, Branz, Medrano
Financial impact: None.
Workload impact: None.
I would like to be considered for election as a faculty representative. I have been a professor at San Jose State since 1990 and I have served on a wide range of department, college and university committees in this time. In my administrative roles as Chair of the Department of Aviation & Technology and Director of General Engineering, I have extensive experience in dealing with administrative issues and working to facilitate solutions. I am serving my fourth term on the Academic Senate and my fifth year as a member of the Senate Executive Committee.

SJSU needs a strong president who can work with faculty, staff and students to lead the university. We have an opportunity to take our university to a stronger and better position both in our local area as well as in the nation. I believe that choosing the right person as president can affect the environment at SJSU and help us work together for the future of our university.

I have extensive scholarly activities related to technology, teaching and learning both in engineering as well as in General Education. Since July 2010, I have been writing university-wide grants for the Office of the Provost so I have been able to interact with faculty and staff throughout the university. I believe my experiences would bring a unique perspective to the committee. If you have any questions or require further clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me at 408-924-3214 or by email at pat.backer@sjsu.edu.
Teaching in the English Department at SJSU since 1998, I have been the Graduate Coordinator for nine years, and the Associate Chair for two. I have also served four terms on the Academic Senate, including this past year as the Chair of Curriculum & Research. Seventeen years of service on this campus has given me insight into a range of issues and concerns due to my membership in committees such as Accessible Technology, Instruction and Student Affairs, Organization and Government, Student Success, Undergraduate Studies, a range of departmental committees, as well as my own grant and publishing activities. I have a great deal of recruitment and hiring experience, including recruiting for leadership positions such as being involved in two successful searches for the Dean of H & A and the Chair of the English Department. Serving on the Executive Committee this past year has given me insight into both the kinds of decisions required of the university’s president and a keen awareness of what is needed to successfully fulfill that role. If elected, I will be searching for applicants with excellent communication skills, and strong, instinctual understandings of the need for transparency and shared governance. I will be urging the Board of Trustees to hire someone who is not only capable of decisive leadership but who can listen, learn from, and engage various constituents of the university.

–Noelle Brada-Williams
Since arriving at San José State University in 2007 I have experienced four changes in the leadership of the university and witnessed the negative impacts associated with those changes. During this period, I was working through the tenure process as an Assistant Professor and became very concerned about the stability of the university. As a result, I pursued other positions around the country and in the spring of 2012 I was offered tenure-track positions at different “R1” universities. At the time, I believed a research university might be a better fit for my career. But, after visiting other campuses, I realized that my career goals would actually be better fulfilled at SJSU. I decided that SJSU was my home and that I would do all that I could to enact change when the time came. I believe this is now my time to give back to SJSU. I look forward to playing a more active role on campus and am excited to serve the university as a member of the Planning Board and as a potential new CoS representative on the Academic Senate. It is my hope that this nomination for the Presidential Search Advisory Committee will allow me to have a positive impact on the university, its students, faculty, and staff.

I believe I offer the committee a well-rounded perspective for selecting the new president because I feel that I represent the typical, mid-career, Teacher-Scholar at SJSU. Because of the unique research program I developed here, I have been able to foster one-of-a-kind student experiences, and interact with faculty and students from different disciplines across campus. Additionally, I have had the opportunity to work at different capacities with many staff members from a range of units on campus. I believe this has led to a broader understanding of the campus community outside of the classroom.

When I envision the person who will ultimately fill the task as new president of SJSU, I see a leader who believes in shared governance between the president’s cabinet and the faculty and staff, and one who will have the capacity to promote a positive connection with our diverse student body. Additionally, the new president should have student experience at the top of their priority list. I believe our next president should be one who will stay the course through good and bad and will have the strength and fortitude to guide the university community by forging a better future for everyone.

Finally, our university should be more than just a stepping-stone for our next president. The president should come to this campus with the desire to take it to the next level with the help of its faculty and staff. We should want a president who will stay with us and not leave after only a short time. We deserve a president who will actively listen to the faculty’s concerns and ideas for developing a plan for our future. San José State University is the oldest public university in the state, and I am proud that I am a faculty here and I hope the next president will be as proud of our university as I am.
Chancellor White has instituted a new process for selecting CSU Presidents, with positive outcomes reported by campuses that have recently completed searches. However, because the search process no longer includes candidate visits to campus, strong faculty representation on the President's Search Advisory Committee is more important than ever.

We will benefit from faculty representatives who have served SJSU in leadership roles, have strong institutional memory, understand well the needs of our faculty, staff, and students, and will provide a strong voice during the recruitment and selection process. I believe that the range of leadership positions I have held at all levels -- Department, College, and University -- over my 25 years serving SJSU prepared me well to represent the faculty voice.

Most recently, I served a two-year term as Chair of the Academic Senate, following service as Vice-Chair of the Senate and chair of several policy committees. As many of you know, the last few years have been challenging for our campus as we worked through significant campus events and transitions in leadership. Doing so required me to have substantial contact with the Chancellor and staff in his office. I actively solicited input from faculty and many others on our campus during this time, and believe I was able to communicate all concerns effectively to the Chancellor. I am equally invested in learning from my colleagues their priorities for the selection of a new President.

Much progress has been made in filling interim administrative positions at SJSU with permanent, highly-qualified individuals at the VP level and below. We must now find a President who can join with us and the current administrative team in leading us forward. I am excited about the prospect of contributing to this effort.
State of Interest: Camille Johnson, College of Business
I would like to serve on the Advisory Committee to the Trustees Committee for the Selection of the President of San Jose State University. I familiar with many different parts of campus, both in academic affairs and operations, and I have previously served on search committees.

I have a broad understanding of the needs, challenges, opportunities, and triumphs of SJSU. Through formal and informal means, I have come to know faculty and staff from across the campus. As a member of the African-American Student Success Task Force, I have come to know staff in student services, as well as the needs of the community. I as a member of the Dean’s Leadership Academy, I got to know faculty from H&A, Education, and the MLK Jr. Library. Through an informal summer research group, I have become friends with faculty from COSS, CASA, and SCI. As a data analyst on Vision 2017, I personally attended over 25 townhall forums, and I read the transcripts of each forum multiple times, so I have heard the desires and concerns of the broader campus. I respect and understand the diversity of needs and perspectives at SJSU on a personal and institutional level. In addition, I currently serve as the Faculty Chair of the WASC Accreditation Steering Committee. I wrote the WASC Institutional Report that was submitted in August 2014 and I coordinated the team visit in Spring 2015. This role gave me exposure to all aspects of the university including finance, faculty development, teaching and learning, and shared governance. I chaired a steering committee comprised of faculty and staff representing all the major units of the university. I also became familiar with the larger context in which SJSU functions. As a member of the CSU, we are subject to directives issued by the Chancellor’s office and those issued by California State Senate. As a member of the Silicon Valley community, we serve a diverse population and industries. And as an institution of higher education, we face a changing landscape of state funding, alternative institutions, and shifting education strategies. I am aware of the ways that these external factors influence the decisions made by SJSU.

I have served on several university-wide and departmental-level search committees and understand the requirements of serving on such a committee. I served on the search committee that resulted in the hiring of Andy Feinstein as deputy provost. On that committee, I worked with the search firm, participated in all interviews and deliberations regarding the candidates, and gained insight into the multiple stakeholders and concerns that must be considered when finding a leader for our institution. In addition, I served on the search committee that resulted in the hiring of a new Director of Institutional Effectiveness and Analytics while concurrently serving as the chair of the School of Management search committee for two positions. I understand the demands of the committee and am prepared to meet them.

The next president of SJSU will need to navigate complicated waters. My personal experiences and my demonstrated commitment to SJSU would make me an effective and successful member of the Advisory Committee to the Trustees Committee for the Selection of the President.
WASC Accreditation
2014-2015
WASC Events

• August 20, 2014: Institutional Report Submitted
• October 21, 2014: Additional documents submitted
• November 12, 2014: Off-Site Review (OSR)
• April 14-16, 2015: Accreditation Visit (AV)
Accreditation Visit

- 42 Lines Of Inquiry addressed
- 6 team members
- 3 days
- 25 meeting sessions
- Scheduled meetings with 90+ SJSU faculty, staff, students, and community members
Accreditation!

• 7 year accreditation
• Commendations for:
  – Diligence and dedication of mid-level leadership and staff
  – Financial management in times of financial challenges for all public universities
  – Early work on the 5 Core Competencies
Looking forward...

- Special visit in spring 2017 (documentation provided fall 2016)
- Mid-cycle review spring 2019 (documentation provided fall 2018)
- Off-site review fall 2021 (documentation provided summer 2021)
- Accreditation visit spring 2022
Special Visit Report

- Nature of Institutional Context and Major Changes since the Last WASC Visit
- Statement on Report Preparation
- Responses to Issues Identified by the Commission
- Identification of Other Changes or Issues the Institution is Facing
- Concluding Statement
- On-Campus visit with arranged meetings
- Team room with required documents: Required Data Elements, Catalog, Budget, Financial statements and audits, Organizational charts and table, Summary data forms
Mid-Cycle Review

• Focuses on student achievement, drawing on evidence from an update of the institution’s [Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators (IEEI)] and retention and graduation data.

• The Mid-Cycle Review is a review of the institutional data and information that serves to identify the possibility of non-compliance with WSCUC’s Standards.
  – WSCUC staff review contents presented in the institution’s public student achievement website, the URL for which will be submitted in the Annual Report.
  – WSCUC staff gather and review retention and graduation data for undergraduate and graduate students.

• Items specifically mentioned in the Team Report (e.g. assessment of GE, progress on core competencies)
**Visiting Team Report**

- 50 pages
- Detailed commendations and areas of concern
- 7 components in the Institutional Report
  - 5 components received substantive comments

**Commission Action Letter**

- 4 pages
- Commendations and areas of concern
- Timeline for special visits, mid-cycle review, reaccreditation
Areas for Continuous Improvement

• ~30 specific areas to address
• Documenting actions being taken across campus to address these areas
  – A “living” document that is consistent updated
  – Awareness and transparency
  – Provide head start for future accreditation efforts
• October 16, Steering Committee Meeting
Component 3: Meaning and Integrity of the Degree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>Responsible Unit/Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student affairs assessment and development of outcomes associated with ULGs.</td>
<td>Student Affairs, Romando Nash Increasing assessment training among SA staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of capstones and assessment of core competencies through capstones</td>
<td>UGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of grad PLOs that are unique from undergrad PLOS</td>
<td>GUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing presence, awareness, and translation of ULGS and PLOs into student (and community)-friendly terms</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Component 4: Educational Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>Responsible Unit/Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop assessments of core competencies at or near graduation that are consistent in length, format, and details</td>
<td>Task Forces on Core Competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve consistency of assessment reports and increase closing the loop activities following assessment. Establish and document formal processes.</td>
<td>Assessment Facilitators Group Broadening WASC-based assessment training to Chairs and Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written communication – sustain and support the plans of WAC and First Year Writing.</td>
<td>Task Forces on Core Competencies Broadening WASC-based assessment training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand (and document) information literacy instruction and responses to assessment findings.</td>
<td>Task Forces on Core Competencies Broadening WASC-based assessment training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion, analysis, and responses to the NSSE results to determine areas of improvement</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IEA, Deans, UCCD, Campus Climate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Component 5: Student Success

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>Responsible Unit/Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus climate and responses to the specific recommendations from the task forces</td>
<td>President’s Commission for Diversity President and Cabinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus climate and graduation rates</td>
<td>President and Cabinet SASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate how data is used to evaluated effectiveness of co-curricular programs, services, and initiatives. Develop student affairs strategic plan</td>
<td>Student Affairs SASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to improve degree audit and provide updates to the university community</td>
<td>GUP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Component 6: Program Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>Responsible Unit/Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% participation in program review as scheduled</td>
<td>PPC, training Chairs and Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate that the PPC chair has monitored and enforced progress on action plans</td>
<td>PPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action plans recommendations should include learning outcomes and assessment, be more directive and suggest specific actions</td>
<td>PPC, Provost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The goals of program planning should be clarified and process made less burdensome and more sustainable</td>
<td>PPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courses should be evaluated based on direct assessments of learning, not grades earned by students</td>
<td>Assessment Facilitators, Chairs and Directors, PPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs should own and assess that their graduates are meeting general education outcomes and goals</td>
<td>PPC, Assessment Facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All programs should describe their direct assessments of PLOS</td>
<td>Assessment Facilitators, Chairs and Directors, PPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of responses to feedback from the Assessment Facilitators should be maintained.</td>
<td>Assessment Facilitators Implementation of Software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE assessment should be defined and implemented</td>
<td>BOGS, PPC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Component 7: Financial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>Responsible Unit/Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue the transition into budget practices to encourage multiyear fiscal planning</td>
<td>President and Cabinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to increase faculty lines to transition the composition of SJSU faculty and reenergize the campus</td>
<td>President, Provost, and Cabinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop policies to ensure and protect transfer guarantee enrollments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and implement strategy, policies and programs to support international student success</td>
<td>SASS International House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation of services and integration of the infrastructure of auxiliaries across campus and coordinated fundraising efforts should be pursued</td>
<td>President, Provost, and Cabinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop stable top leadership and continued outreach to faculty</td>
<td>President, Provost, and Cabinet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What the Senate needs to do

- Support and develop the presence of core competencies in GE (and assessment)
- Support and develop University Learning Goals as guiding principles for teaching and learning on campus
- Support the broadening of WASC-based training in assessment and strategic planning across campus
- Revisit SS-S12-1 and the WASC Steering Committee
Current WASC Steering Committee

- Nine faculty, with one representative from each of the seven academic colleges, the Library, and Counseling Services.
- The Provost
- Two faculty Co-Directors of Assessment
- The Chair of the Academic Senate (or designee)
- A staff member from Academic Affairs
- A Dean from one of the seven academic colleges
- A Department Chair selected by the University Council of Chairs and Directors
- An Administrator representing the President’s Office
- An Administrator representing the Administration and Finance Division
- An Administrator representing the VP Student Affairs
- The Associate Dean for Undergraduate Studies and Director of General Education
- The Associate Dean for Graduate Studies and Research;
- The President of Associated Students (or designee)
- A member of the community, appointed by the President
- VP, Graduate Studies and Research
- AVP, Undergraduate Studies (WASC Accreditation Liaison Officer)
- AVP, Institutional Research
- AVP, Student Academic Success Services
- Vice President of University Advancement (or designee)
- Athletics Director (or designee)
- Dean, University Library (or designee)
- Director of Academic Technology
- Dean, International and Extended Studies (or designee)
- Chair of PPC
- Center for Faculty Development
- Director, IEA
- Career Center
- Faculty Chair, Steering Committee
WASC Committee 2015-2022

- Steering Committee Chair
- Executive Steering Committee
- Revised Charge for Steering Committee
  - Responsible for coordinating and reporting the efforts of their division/unit towards WASC goals and requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Use category</th>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Last modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An Explanation of the Unit Redemption Rate and Absolute Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Graduation Rate Review</td>
<td>Authorization</td>
<td>Guide</td>
<td>Feb 11, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Liaison Officer Policy</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Template</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Jul 02, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Visit (AV) and Seeking Accreditation Visit (SAV) Schedule – Sample</td>
<td>Accreditation Review; Seeking Accreditation Visit</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Apr 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Visit (AV) Chair Communication to Team – Sample</td>
<td>Accreditation Review</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>May 01, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Visit (AV) Conference Call Agenda – Sample</td>
<td>Accreditation Review</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>May 01, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Visit (AV) Confidential Team Recommendation Form</td>
<td>Accreditation Review</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>May 07, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Visit (AV) Team Report Directions and Template</td>
<td>Accreditation Review</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Template</td>
<td>Apr 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Visit (AV) Team Worksheet</td>
<td>Accreditation Review</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>May 01, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement to Abide by Policy on Ownership of Stock in Non-Profit Institutions</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Sep 30, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements with Unaccredited Entities Policy and Guidelines</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Feb 27, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Accreditation</td>
<td>General; Seeking Accreditation Visit</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>Jul 08, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment Rubrics Combined</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Rubric</td>
<td>Nov 24, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Chair Role and Responsibilities Guide</td>
<td>Accreditation Review; Offsite Review; Seeking Accreditation Visit; Special Visit</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Guide</td>
<td>Apr 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biographical Form for Evaluators</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>Aug 24, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capstone Rubric</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Rubric</td>
<td>Nov 24, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Ownership Implementation Form</td>
<td>Substantive Change Review</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>Jun 20, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of Contact Information Form</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of Institutional Address Form</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of Key Personnel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Questions?