I. Call to Order and Roll Call:

II. Approval of Minutes:
    Senate Minutes of May 13, 2019 Last Meeting of 2018-2019
    Senate Minutes of May 13, 2019 First Meeting of 2019-2020

III. Communications and Questions:
    A. From the Chair of the Senate
    B. From the President of the University

IV. Executive Committee Report:
    A. Minutes of the Executive Committee –
       EC Minutes of June 5, 2019
       EC Minutes of July 18, 2019
       EC Minutes of August 8, 2019
       EC Minutes of August 26, 2019
    B. Consent Calendar –
    C. Executive Committee Action Items –
       SS-F19-1, Sense of the Senate Resolution, Opposing AB 1460, Approved July 10, 2019 by the Executive Committee on behalf of the Academic Senate.

V. Unfinished Business:

VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items (In rotation)
    A. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):
    B. Professional Standards Committee (PS):
    C. Organization and Government Committee (O&G):
       AS 1743, Policy Recommendation, Amendment B to S16-8; Selection and Review of Administrators (Final Reading)
       AS 1742, Policy Recommendation, Amendment A to S10-5; Charge and Membership of Sustainability Board (Final Reading)
AS 1747, Senate Management Resolution, Amendment A to SM-F09-2, Change to the Composition of the Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review Committee (First Reading)

AS 1748, Policy Recommendation, Adding General Unit Seats to the Student Evaluation Review Board, Student Fairness Committee, Library Board, and Writing Requirements Committee (First Reading)

AS 1749, Policy Recommendation, Amendment B to S13-9, Merging, Dividing, Transferring, Eliminating Academic Units (First Reading)

AS 1750, Policy Recommendation, Amendment to Senate Constitution Regarding Administrative Representatives (First Reading)

D. University Library Board (ULB): Report from Past Chair Stefan Frazier.

E. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):
   AS 1751, Policy Recommendation, Combined Bachelor’s and Master’s Programs (Final Reading)

VII. Special Committee Reports:

VIII. New Business:
   Time Certain: 3:00 p.m., Picture of Academic Senate of 2019-2020 by Bob Bain

IX. State of the University Announcements:
   A. Vice President for Student Affairs
   B. Chief Diversity Officer
   C. CSU Faculty Trustee (by standing invitation)
   D. Statwide Academic Senators
   E. Provost
   F. Associated Students President
   F. Vice President for Administration and Finance

X. Adjournment
The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Fifty Senators were present.

Ex Officio: Present: Frazier, Van Selst, Manzo, Rodan
Absent: None

Administrative Representatives: Present: Ficke, Wong(Lau), Faas, Day, Papazian
Absent: Wong(Lau)

Deans / AVPs: Present: Olin, Ehrman, Elliott, Stacks
Absent: None

Students: Present: Fernandez-Rios, Gallo, Gill Kethepalli, Rodriguez
Absent: Pang

Alumni Representative: Absent: Walters

Emeritus Representative: Present: McClory

Honorary Representative: Present: Lessow-Hurley

General Unit Representatives: Present: Trousdale, Hurtado, Higgins, Emmert
Absent: Monday

CHHS Representatives: Present: Schultz-Krohn, Shifflett, Grosvenor, Sen, Chin
Absent: None

COB Representatives: Present: He, Bullen, Khavul
Absent: None

EDUC Representatives: Present: Marachi, Mathur
Absent: None

ENGR Representatives: Present: Sullivan-Green, Kumar
Absent: Ramasubramanian

H&A Representatives: Present: Khan, Ormsbee, McKee, Riley, Mok
Absent: None

SCI Representatives: Present: Cargill, French, White
Absent: Kim

SOS Representatives: Present: Peter, Wilson, Curry, Trulio, Raman
Absent: None

II. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes – The Senate minutes of April 22, 2019 were approved as edited by Senator Shifflett.

III. Communications and Questions –

A. From the Chair of the Senate –
Chair Frazier presented the University Governance Award to departing student Senators, and Senate Service Awards to departing Senators.

B. From the President of the University –
President Papazian thanked Senators for their service. The upcoming budget should allow us to maintain where we are and perhaps do a few good things. However, we need to remember this is still a year-to-year budget and we still need to move to a three-
year budget.

The President asked for help from the Senate with commencement. For some reason, students and their families felt as if they could leave after their student crossed the stage and that doesn’t show any respect for the class or the ceremony itself. Only 50 students were left by the end of the last commencement ceremony to hear the phenomenal keynote speaker, Susan Verducci. Every student that heard her speech was glued to every word of it. We have told students now and have written it into the materials, but we need the faculty to remind the students that they need to show that respect to their fellow students.

The President made an announcement on the Interim VP for University Advancement, Peter Smith, last week. His role is to guide us until we hire a new VP for University Advancement. Peter has over 40 years of advancement experience. The President hopes to have a new VP in place early in the new calendar year.

The President is very close to announcing the new VP of Research and Innovation. The announcement will go out in the next couple of days.

The President is also putting out a call for search committee members for the Dean of Health and Human Sciences.

President Papazian presented Chair Frazier with a plaque in honor of his service to the University for the past two years. The Senate gave Chair Frazier and standing ovation.

IV. Executive Committee Report:
   A. Minutes of the Executive Committee:
      Executive Committee Minutes of April 15, 2019- No questions.
      Executive Committee Minutes of April 29, 2019- No questions.

   B. Consent Calendar: No calendar.

   C. Election Calendar of 2020: The Senate voted and the calendar was approved.

   D. Executive Committee Action Items:
      Vice Chair Mathur made a motion to suspend the rules and present a resolution from the floor of the Senate. The motion was seconded and approved. Vice Chair Mathur presented a Sense of the Senate Resolution, Honoring and Thanking Dr. Stefan J.S. Frazier for His Service to the Senate and the University. The Senate voted and the resolution was approved as written unanimously.
V. Unfinished Business:

VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items (In rotation)

A. Professional Standards Committee (PS):

B. Organization and Government Committee (O&G): Senator Shifflett presented AS 1742, Policy Recommendation, Amendment A to University Policy S10-5; Charge and Membership of Sustainability Board (First Reading).

Questions:
Q: Are the faculty representatives that are on the consent calendar for the first meeting of the Senate of 2019-2020 grandfathered in here as Faculty-at-Large on that consent calendar, or will they be removed?
A: This is only a first reading, but once the President approves the policy then those faculty members could submit their statement of interest to be considered by the Executive Committee as we do the other Faculty-at-Large seats on committees.

Q: The policy calls for one representative from the MLK Library designated by the Dean so is that a faculty member or just anybody?
A: It could be any person?

Q: For those of us that don’t know how Associated Students is organized, there is one member from transportation solutions and can you explain who that is and why the AS Executive Director instead of the AS President should appoint that person?
A: AS Transportation Solutions includes everything from our Clipper Cards to engagement with transportation and transit. This falls under the AS Executive Director’s supervision.

Q: Having sat on the taskforce that recommended the Sustainability Board be created and then having sat on that board for many years, I can tell you that it is very important to have representation from every college. Has O&G asked the Sustainability Board how the operation of the board will change without representation from each college?
A: The question was not asked in terms of operation. The question was asked in terms of what would be ideal? Is it representation by college or fewer faculty with expertise? We didn’t get feedback until after O&G had met and reviewed it, but O&G thought it would be representation by college and it is.

Q: Could you explain the reason for O&G’s language Provost’s designee instead of “or designee”?
A: I think there are two points there. The first is that the VPs themselves are probably not going to sit on the Sustainability Board, so why have the seat belong to a VP. The second point is that a representative from University Advancement is deemed to be important by the Sustainability Board.
Q: Could it then say something like AVP FDO or designee instead of AVP FDO’s designee?
A: From the beginning the AVP FDO was deemed to be someone needed on the Sustainability Board. In cases where it is really not possible for that person to be there then it could be the designee. That is my understanding.

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1743, Amendment B to University Policy S16-8, Selection and Review of Administrators (First Reading).* Senator Shifflett made a motion to suspend the rules and move to a final reading. The motion was seconded and approved by the Senate with 1 Nay. Senator Wilson presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to remove “for” from line 58 where it reads, “administrators for in their division.” After discussion, Senator Peter made a motion to postpone the final reading until the first fall meeting of 2019. The Peter motion was seconded and approved with 2 Nays.

C. University Library Board (ULB):
Professor Taylor presented *AS 1744, Sense of the Senate Resolution, In Support of Increased Funding for the California University System Electronic Core Collection (Final Reading).* Senator Shifflett presented an amendment to line 46 to replace, “expansion of the ECC” with “update of the ECC.” The Shifflett amendment was seconded. Senator Van Selst presented an amendment to the Shifflett amendment to change “expansion of the ECC” with “expansion and update.” The Van Selst Amendment to the Shifflett Amendment was seconded and approved. The Senate voted and the Shifflett/Van Selst Amendment failed. Senator Rodan presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to update the attachment with the March version instead of the November version. The Senate voted and AS 1744 was approved as amended unanimously.

D. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):
Senator White presented *AS 1737, Policy Recommendation, Combined Bachelor’s and Master’s Programs Policy (Final Reading).* The Senate voted and AS 1737 was approved as written unanimously.

E. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):
Senator Sullivan-Green presented *AS 1739, Policy Recommendation, Rescind and Replace S75-12, Max Unit Load During Intersession; Summer Session, Credit Hours (Final Reading).* Senator Mathur presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to change the title on the 1st page to the title on the 2nd page. The Senate voted and AS 1739 was approved as amended unanimously.

F. Professional Standards Committee (PS):

VII. Special Committee Reports:
VIII. New Business:

IX. State of the University Announcements:
   A. Chief Diversity Officer (CDO): Not present.
   
   B. CSU Faculty Trustee (by Standing Invitation): The CSU Faculty Trustee submitted his report electronically to the Academic Senate.
   
   C. Statewide Academic Senators: No updates. The next plenary is next Thursday and Friday.
   
   D. Provost: Not present.
   
   E. Associated Students President:
      AS President Manzo announced that AS had allocated $20,000 for graduate and undergraduate student travel and professional development that will be implemented in the fall. There is a $750 cap per student.

      AS passed a resolution to acknowledge the indigenous land that SJSU is built on at every meeting.

      AS President Manzo thanked Senators for their support over the last two years and wished Senators the best in the future.
   
   F. Vice President for Administration and Finance:
      VP Faas announced that the Lieutenant Governor would be on campus and was very interested in learning about our deferred maintenance issues.

      The Faculty/Staff Dining Room is complete in IRC 302. The grand opening will be in August.
   
   G. Vice President for Student Affairs (VPSA):
      VP Day gave an enrollment update. We are right on track. We are still a little early in the process. To date we have had 36,316 applicants. This is about 2.7% down from last year at this point. Last year about this time we were at 35,337 applications. Again there is a lot of adjustment that will happen between here and the fall. We are right about where we want to be. That is for full-time first-time freshmen. As for transfer students, we are about 3.3% down from where we were last year. We are at about 17,154. Our graduate total is up 6% at about 8,400 applicants.

      As for first-time freshmen that have indicated intent-to-enroll, we are up 6%. That is good news. Our upper division transfers are up 16%. Our graduates are down about 11%. I’m not sure what is happening there. Our international freshmen are down 32%. You are talking about going down from 96 to 65 this year. However, our international transfers are up. It is still early. We are right about where we
need to be to have the class we want. We believe we will have a robust class.

Our summer orientation sessions will start soon. We have moved back to a two-day orientation experience.

Questions:
Q: Is moving to a two-day orientation going to add expenses such as for housing them overnight, etc.?
A: Yes, we either spend that money on the front end with orientation or on the backside when we lose students. We think bringing students into the university the right way is critically important.

Q: Where are we with regard to our request regarding our region?
A: We were granted the supplemental admission requirements that we wanted to add per major, but the expansion of the local admission area was denied for this academic year. We can go back and ask again.

Q: There has been some discussion of adding three CSUs and one is in the Peninsula area, so in the next request would you be able to justify expansion in replacement of adding a whole new CSU?
A: I think it is definitely something we need to look at. This is a multi-year process before it will come on line. It also depends on what the focus of the new CSU campus would be. I think we have a uniqueness in some of our offerings that would be very hard for another CSU campus to replicate. I do think we have to do our due diligence to find out what makes sense.

X. Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.
2019/2020 Academic Senate

MINUTES

May 13, 2019

I. The meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Forty-One Senators were present.

Ex Officio:
  Present: Rodan, Van Selst, Curry, Frazier, Mathur, Parent
  Absent: None

Administrative Representatives:
  Present: None
  Absent: Papazian, Day, Ficke, Faas, Wong(Lau)

Deans:
  Present: Olin, Ehrman, d’Alarcao, Stacks
  Absent: None

Students:
  Present: Kaur, Delgadillo, Gallo, Trang
  Absent: Birrer, Roque

Alumni Representative:
  Present: Walters

Emeritus Representative:
  Present: McClory

Honorary Representative:
  Present: Lessow-Hurley

General Unit Representatives:
  Present: Higgins, Masegian
  Absent: Monday, Hurtado

CHHS Representatives:
  Present: Schultz-Krohn, Shifflett, Grosvenor, Sen, Chin
  Absent: None

COB Representatives:
  Present: Khavul, He

EDUC Representatives:
  Present: Marachi, Mathur

ENGR Representatives:
  Present: Sullivan-Green, Kumar, Okamoto
  Absent: Ramasubramanian

H&A Representatives:
  Present: Khan, Riley, McKee, Kitajima, Coelho

SCI Representatives:
  Present: White, Cargill, French
  Absent: Kim

SOS Representatives:
  Present: Peter, Wilson, Jackson
  Absent: Hart

II. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes–
There were no minutes for approval.

III. Communications and Questions –
A. From the Chair of the Senate:

Chair Mathur announced that today’s meeting was primarily to elect Senate Officers for the 2019-2020 Academic Senate and thanked all the candidates for their willingness to serve as leaders in the Senate.
B. From the President of the University -- No report.

IV. Executive Committee Report –
A. Executive Committee Minutes – No minutes.

B. Consent Calendar –
The past Associate Vice Chair, Shannon Rose Riley, presented the initial consent calendar for 2019-2020. The Senate voted and the consent calendar was accepted.

C. Executive Committee Action Items: None

V. Unfinished Business - None

VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items. In rotation.
A. Organization and Government Committee (O&G) - None
B. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA) – None
C. Professional Standards Committee (PS) – None
D. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R) – None
E. University Library Board (ULB) – None

VII. State of the University Announcements. Questions. In rotation.
A. CSU Statewide Academic Senators – None
B. Provost – None
C. Associated Students President – None
D. Vice President for Administration and Finance – None
E. Vice President for Student Affairs – None
F. Chief Diversity Officer – None
G. CSU Faculty Trustee (by standing invitation) – Distributed electronically.

VIII. Special Committee Reports – None

IX. New Business – Election of 2019-2020 Senate Officers

A. Election of the Vice Chair
Chair Mathur announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. Senators McKee and Schultz-Krohn presented their candidacy statements. Senator McKee was elected.

B. Election of the Chair of the Professional Standards Committee
Chair Mathur announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. Senator Peter presented his candidacy statement. Senator Peter was elected by acclamation.
C. Election of the Associate Vice Chair
Chair Mathur announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senators Marachi, Schultz-Krohn, Sullivan-Green presented their candidacy statements. **Senator Marachi was elected.**

D. Election of the Chair of the Organization and Government Committee
Chair Mathur announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senator Shifflett presented her candidacy statement. **Senator Shifflett was elected by acclamation.**

E. Election of the Chair of the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee
Chair Mathur announced the candidate and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senator Sullivan-Green presented her candidacy statement. **Senator Sullivan-Green was elected by acclamation.**

F. Election of the Chair of the Curriculum and Research Committee
Chair Mathur announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. There were no additional nominees. Senator White presented his candidacy statement. **Senator White was elected by acclamation.**

G. CSU Statewide Representative to the Executive Committee
Chair Mathur announced the candidates and called for any additional nominations from the floor. Senators Curry and Rodan presented their candidacy statements. **Senator Curry was elected.**

X. Adjournment – The meeting adjourned at 5:03 p.m.
Executive Committee Minutes
June 5, 2019
ADM 167, 12:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

Present: Peter, Shifflett, White, Marachi, Faas, Mathur, Wong(Lau), Curry
Absent: Papazian, Ficke, Parent, Day, Sullivan-Green, Frazier, McKee

1. The Executive Committee approved the minutes of May 6, 2019 as amended (8-0-0).

2. There was no dissent to the consent calendar of June 5, 2019 as amended to add Senator McClory as the Emeritus Representative on the Organization and Government Committee.

3. The Executive Committee discussed a confidential naming opportunity. Senator White made a motion to accept the naming proposal. The motion was seconded and approved (5-0-3). Senator Shifflett made a motion to approved the naming proposal. The motion was seconded and approved (5-0-3).

4. Updates:
   a. From the Chief Diversity Officer (CDO):
      The CDO and a delegation just returned from a diversity conference. Twenty-four people attended this year using funds set aside for faculty diversity/retention. The CDO received lots of good feedback about what an immersive experience it was.

      The CDO will be hosting a retreat this summer.

      Questions:
      Q: Are there investigations into the allegations brought up in the Spartan Daily about the treatment of student athletes?
      A: Yes. However, we must remember that there are discrepancies in some of those articles.

5. The meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m.

These minutes were taken and transcribed by the Senate Administrator, Eva Joice, on June 5, 2019. The minutes were edited by Chair Mathur on June 10, 2019 and approved by the Executive Committee on July 18, 2019.
Executive Committee Minutes  
July 18, 2019  
ADM 167, 12:00 p.m. to 2:17 p.m.

Present: Mathur, Papazian, Peter, Shifflett, White, Faas, Wong(Lau), Curry, Parent, Sullivan-Green, McKee, Del Casino  
Absent: Frazier, Marachi, Day

1. The Executive Committee approved the minutes of June 5, 2019 (12-0-0).

2. There was no dissent to the consent calendar of July 18, 2019 as amended.

3. The Executive Committee introduced itself to Provost and Senior Vice President of Academic Affairs Del Casino and Associated Students President Branden Parent.

4. Updates:  
   
   From the President:  
   The budget was signed. It does not fulfill everything the trustees requested, but it is the best budget we have had in long time. The CSU is asking for a $8 billion bond measure for deferred maintenance. There will be a huge CSU campaign.

   We will be working on creating a doctorate in Occupational Therapy. We would be one of only two campuses that would offer it with the other being Dominguez Hills.

   In regards to the proposed AB1460 going through the state legislature, the President noted that we have a shared commitment to diversity with Ethnic Studies. However, the President noted that she believes faculty should be in control of the curriculum. Other CSU presidents and the Chancellor have noted concerns with AB1460.

   The President and her cabinet are moving forward with looking at priorities for Transformation 2030 and will be working on implementation plans at the Cabinet retreat.

   Questions:  
   Q: Does the legislature understand the need we have for deferred maintenance?  
   A: Yes, the Lieutenant Governor saw our needs first-hand when she visited SJSU.

   Q: How is this any different than a bond a campus applies for?
A: As a campus we can do a number of things including getting bonds. The President will approach this from multiple avenues.

Q: If the CSU gets this bond, do you have any idea how the priorities on campus would be determined?
A: First we need to see what our slice of the pie would be.
Q: There is a huge need in Engineering.
A: Health and safety issues and needs would be addressed first and then in accordance with the strategic plan.

C: The BAC reviewed the list of campus deferred maintenance priorities and discussed them. BAC will continue to review these priorities and provide advice to Administration and Finance. An additional priority is ensuring the campus is ADA compliant including things like the elevator in the 7th street garage.

Q: What is being done to address the Spartan Daily articles in June involving Athletics issues and those presented to the Athletics Board?
A: A Spartan Foundation Audit is being undertaken right now. Other complaints that were Title IX issues started being addressed immediately. We are also getting recommendations on the medical support side. All of the issues are being separated out and addressed individually. There are some gross inaccuracies that we won’t be able to comment due to confidentiality.

5. The committee moved into a confidential Executive Session to discuss the candidates for the Vice President of University Advancement Search Committee.

6. The committee discussed and selected candidates for the Dean of Graduate Studies Search Committee to be recommended to the Provost. The committee voted and the nominees were approved 12-0-0.

7. The committee discussed additional nominees for the Dean of the College of Health and Human Sciences Search Committee (CHHS). Senator Shifflett presented a motion to approve the nomination of Deepika Goyal, Nursing, to the CHHS Search Committee. The motion was seconded. The Senate voted and the motion was approved (12-0-0).

8. The committee discussed AS 1745, Sense of the Senate Resolution, Opposing AB 1460, While Supporting Ethnic Studies Programs at SJSU (First Reading). The issue is the setting of curriculum for the CSU by the legislature. Line 23 is too vague in its language. A recommendation was made to strike line 25. A suggestion was made to vote online or at the August 8, 2019 Executive Committee Meeting.

9. University Updates:
   a. Associated Students:
AS has hired a new Director of Transportation Services.

AS has been busy with Orientation.

The AS Board of Directors has been restructured down to 13 members.

b. Vice President for Administration and Finance (VPAF):
The new Police Chief, Gina Di Napoli, was sworn in last week. Please welcome her.

Questions:
Q: Are we going to have another AVP of FDO search?
A: It seems that VP Faas is always setting up a new AVP FDO search. VP Faas is actively pursuing a candidate at the moment.

c. CSU Statewide Senator:
Senator Curry attended her first ASCSU meeting in May. She added her notes to those from Senator Van Selst before he distributed them to the full Senate.

d. Chief Diversity Officer (CDO):
The CDO is moving forward with a campus climate working committee. There are only a couple of MPPs on the committee. Focus groups will meet October 31, 2019 to form questions. The CDO will also be submitting a request to the IRB for human subjects approval of use of the data collected. In addition, the data will not be released unless there is at least a 30% participation rate from each college. The CDO is committed to responding to survey results.

C: It is important to invite lecturers.
C: After the data is returned the Accreditation Steering Committee needs to get the information.
Q: What is the status of the Anti-bullying taskforce, that was going to be set-up through the President’s office?
A: We are forming the Anti-Bullying Working group right now, just waiting on some of the colleges to provide some names.

e. Provost:
The Provost is excited to be at SJSU.

Another Senate representative is needed on the Academic Leadership Team.

The Provost is working on finalizing charges for College of Graduate Studies and the Undergraduate Studies Unit as well as Institutional
Effectiveness. There may be some structural changes, but we will not be adding any new MPP positions. The title of the Director of Institutional Effectiveness will be changed to Senior Director of Budgets and Administration and may include a broader set of responsibilities and roles than IEA had.

Questions:
Q: On her desk, the President has a minor amendment to the RTP Policy regarding the late add guidelines and I’d like to ask where we are on signing that? This is important to get this moving forward to be aligned with the RTP calendar.
A: The Provost will review this most expeditiously with the President and report back to the committee.

Q: Due to some organizational changes late in the year, the School of Information and Global Studies was moved and now there is no provision for a 2nd level RTP review, because they do not fall under a college. This amendment is needed right away.

f. Vice President for Student Affairs (VPSA): Not present-No report.

10. The meeting adjourned at 2:17 p.m.
Executive Committee Minutes
August 8, 2019
ADM 167, 12:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Present: Mathur, Shifflett, Faas, Curry, Parent, Sullivan-Green, McKee, Del Casino, Frazier, Marachi
Absent: Papazian, Peter, Wong(Lau), Day, White

1. The Executive Committee approved the minutes of July 18, 2019 (10-0-0).

2. There was no dissent to the consent calendar of August 8, 2019. Calendar was amended to remove the statement from Diane Lee. It was noted that we should exclude such statements from the consent calendar in the future (i.e., instead have a separate nomination calendar that includes statements). The committee then discussed and voted to approve Diane Lee for appointment to the ULB (10-0-0).

3. The Executive Committee approved AS 1745, Sense of the Senate Resolution Opposing AB 1460 while supporting Ethnic Studies Programs at SJSU (Final Reading) as amended by Senators McKee and Del Casino (10-0-0). As the issue is time-sensitive, the senate will immediately send out this sense of the senate to the parties noted within the resolution. We will discuss the need for this resolution during summer recess in our first senate meeting.

4. The Executive Committee discussed AS 1746, Policy Recommendation, Weapons/Firearms (First Reading). Discussion centered around the need to update our current policy until the president could create a presidential directive on this issue. Once this directive was in place this proposed policy would sunset. A motion was made to table the resolution until after the Provost meets with the cabinet on Monday, August 12, 2019. The Provost will report back to the committee at the August 26, 2019 Executive Committee meeting. The motion was approved unanimously (10-0-0).

5. Policy Committee Updates:
   a. From the Organization and Government Committee (O&G): O&G is working on a referral to change the charge and membership of the Board of General Studies (BOGS). They are working on another referral to add General Unit seats to committees that do not have General Unit seats to accommodate the move of the School of Information Science out of the College of Health and Human Sciences (CHHS) and into the College of Professional and Global Education (CPGE).
   b. From the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):
I&SA is still working on the 18 referrals from the O&G Committee left over from the 35 they received last year. In the upcoming term, their priority will be on the student success and student support referrals.

c. From the Professional Standards Committee (PS; at the behest of the Provost the chair of the senate provided an update on the activities and plans of PS):
PS is awaiting the President’s signature on the Late Add Guidelines for Retention-Tenure-Promotion (S15-7, Amendment H). The Provost will follow-up with the Chief of Staff and the expectation is that it will be signed once the president returns to campus. PS is also working on establishing a 2nd level of review needed now for the School of Information Science since they moved out of CHHS and into CPGE (a formerly non-academic college).

d. From the Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R; at the behest of the Provost the chair of the senate provided an update on the activities and plans of C&R):
C&R will be working on developing the University Curriculum policy, and updates to the General Education (GE) Guidelines of 2014. O&G requested that the Executive Committee establish a taskforce to review the GE Guidelines every five years. AVP Anagnos has established a taskforce to review the program learning goals for GE. That report was released earlier in the week.

6. University Updates:

a. From the Vice President of Administration and Finance (VPAF):
The Board of Trustees (BOT) have approved naming rights for the Event Center. It will be called the Provident Credit Union Event Center at SJSU. SJSU will receive $300,000 a year with a 3% increase each year that will be used to improve the Event Center. A public announcement will go out next week.

The VPAF is conducting interviews for the AVP of FDO with two highly qualified and interested candidates.

Questions:
Q: Are any efforts being made to get funds for the CSU from the legislature for the new Ph.D. in Occupational Therapy?
A: The VPAF doubts that the CSU will get any additional funding from the legislature for this. A member suggested that we go to the Chancellor’s Office and ask them to bring this to the legislature.

Q: Are there any CSU scholarships for disadvantaged students in the CSU?
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A: The likelihood that the legislature would underwrite tuition is low. We will have to work this out ourselves.

Q: What is the projected completion date for the new science building?
A: The foundation for the Science and Innovation Building is ready to go down. The building is scheduled to be finished in January 2022.

b. From the CSU Statewide Senate:
The Academic Senate California State University (ASCSU) does not support the legislature establishing curriculum for Ethnic Studies for the CSU (AB 1460). The Chair of the ASCSU went to committee to try to prevent the move to a full vote within the California senate.

The ASCSU elected committee chairs.

The ASCSU GE Taskforce Report is still being discussed in terms of implications at the state level.

Questions:
Q: Did the ASCSU vote to receive the GE Report?
A: No. They voted not to accept and not to reject the report. So, the status is currently in limbo until September.
Q: When are campus responses due to the ASCSU about the GE Report? Will we still be held to the October deadline?
A: Campus responses are due by October 15th. The SJSU GE special committee has been reviewing student responses and other data in preparation for forming SJSU’s response.

c. From the Provost:
The Provost presented a draft of a new Organization Chart for the Provost Office. The Provost would like to present it to the Senate at the September 16, 2019 meeting.

There are two new positions proposed including a Senior Director of Academic Budgets and Administration, and a Vice Provost of Institutional Effectiveness and Strategic Analytics. There are several possible new positions being discussed including a Director of Internship Programs, a Director of General Education, and a Director of Academic Scheduling and Space Management. There will no longer be any AVPs in Academic Affairs. The committee discussed what this would mean to the Senate structure. The committee further discussed what would happen to senate representation as the Office of Research is no longer within Academic Affairs.

The Coache Survey had a 51% [tenure/tenure-track] faculty response rate.
d. From the Associated Students President (AS):
   AS is working on recruiting students for committees.
   AS is working on connecting students with their colleges more.
   An external review is currently being conducted of AS. The AS Lobby
   Core is also beginning their review of legislation and working on lobbying
   efforts. In April the AS Child Development Center (CDC) received the
   highest ranking in the Quality Rating Improvement System (QRIS) and
   received reaccreditation by NAEYC. AS received the highest rating for
   their inclusive room for children with disabilities.

Questions:
Q: Can the VPAF use the information regarding the CDC to put into the
   Washington Square Publication?
A: The VPAF suggested the AS President contact Public Affairs and they
   would be happy to do a write-up.

Q: Who is conducting the external review?
A: It is an outside agency.

Q: Who is involved in the AS lobby core?
A: There are nine students at large, four AS Directors, and the AS
   President. They lobby local and federal government regarding laws and
   changes to proposed legislation. The adviser is Carole Dowell.

7. The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.
Executive Committee Minutes
August 26, 2019
ADM 167, 12:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

Present: Mathur, Papazian, Shifflett, Faas, Curry, Parent, Sullivan-Green, McKee, Del Casino, Frazier, Marachi, Peter, Wong(Lau), Day, White
Absent: None

1. The Executive Committee approved the minutes of August 8, 2019 (12-0-3).

2. There was no dissent to the consent calendar of August 26, 2019 as amended by Senator Peter.

3. The committee reviewed and selected faculty nominees for the International Programs and Students and Student Success Committees. The committee also selected a nominee to recommend to the President for the Athletics Board. The committee voted and the nominees were approved unanimously (15-0-0).

4. Updates from the President:
   The President discussed legislation pending in Sacramento that may impact the CSU including SB24 (non-medical abortions, allowance of the CSU to use third-party providers), SB 14 (capital bond measure, final stages in appropriations), and AB 1460 (mandating Ethnic Studies courses). She noted key implications of these policies and highlighted CSU responses. If SB24 is signed then there will need to be a broad discussion of how to implement it. If SB14 is signed, real guidelines for what it can do for education in California will need to be developed. We cannot lobby or use any campus resources to support this bill, but we can personally and individually support it. AB1460 status is not clear. We will wait until we receive some clarification.

   There is a discussion of having a fourth year of quantitative reasoning (doesn’t have to be a math course, could be financial literacy). One concern is that we won’t be able to provide this within our own region, but the key is to provide partnership. Board of Trustees will be discussing this issue as well.

   Other topics of interest to the CSU include Census 2020 and what the costs will be of not counting everyone on our campus. Cabinet is discussing strategies and impact on our campus.

   The President has charged the committee to create a Campus Climate Survey. The campus is working with Rankin and Associates for this survey. The survey is being conducted independently from the President’s office. The President would like authentic data that the campus can utilize.

   The Chancellor’s Office has sent down guidelines on the bullying issue defining what we campuses can and cannot do. The President’s office will wait until those
guidelines are finalized to move forward with the working group that is being formed. The guidelines will be shared with Kathy.

The President will charge the VPUA Search committee on August 29, 2019. This search will be chaired by Dan Moshavi and is expected to be completed this semester. She noted that for this search they are working with the Witt Kieffer search firm. Our campus has used this firm for other successful searches.

The VP of Organizational Development, Jaye Bailey, has left the university. The campus was not messaged at the time, because there was a lot going on with the hate flyers around campus. The President did not want to inundate the faculty with messaging and wanted to be clear about the hate messaging. The President is considering whether this VP position is still needed and whether the units will be organized in a different configuration (still reporting to the President’s office). In the meantime, the tasks will be split between Joanne Wright, Carl Kemnitz, and James Lee.

Questions:
Q: Please do everything possible to get more information on the Census. This could calm or stoke student fears.
A: The President appreciates these concerns and is aware of fears that students and faculty may experience. Every effort will be made to address these concerns and fears.

Q: Will you still be setting up a taskforce on bullying?
A: The President is reviewing what can and cannot be done as handed down from the Chancellor’s Office. The President believes raising cultural awareness on campus is the key. There is a working group being formed and The CDO’s office is working on that group.

Q: Would you consider moving the Welcome Address back to a non-instructional day for faculty? Many faculty members could not attend due to their teaching schedule.
A: It really depends on the President’s calendar. The Chancellor’s Office had a meeting which lead to conflicts.

5. Policy Committee Updates:
   a. From the Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):
      C&R is working on 8 or 9 recent referrals and will be prioritizing them. About half are related to curriculum and GE Guidelines. The other half have to do with student success, such as reviewing the Academic Advising Policy (with I&SA) and First-Year Experience Policy.
      Comment: For the advising policy, you need to be sure to connect with the VP of Student Affairs. It is not clear if advising is something the Senate should be working on in terms of policy.
      Comment: University policy already exists and needs to be updated. It is part of the current policies list. And, the current policy is over 30 years old.
      Comment: C&R will work with the VP of Student Affairs.
Comment: Some issues are not necessarily under Senate purview and policies should not be formed around them, like with the budget. Comment: Point of clarification, we have university policies on these issues and changes need to be addressed in the policies.

b. From the Organization and Government Committee (O&G):
O&G is working on amending the membership of the Sustainability Board. O&G feels that five faculty-at-large would be good. O&G would like the Executive Committee’s input as to whether the Provost and/or VPUA are needed on the Sustainability Board. Some Executive Committee members noted that designees or other representatives on the board are sufficient for membership. This committee membership was noted to be very large. The committee charge and membership item, S19-2, passed by the Senate in March, was discussed with attention to resolving concerns around the category name ‘special agency.’

c. From the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):
I&SA is focusing on Student Success referrals such as TOEFL policies, Students Right to Timely Feedback, Department Honors, and University Approved Absences. They will also be providing feedback on the Advising policy.

d. From the Professional Standards Committee (PS):
PS is working on the guidelines for late adds that would give advice to the Provost.

PS is also working on SOTE exclusions and will consult with Joanne Wright. PS will also be looking into reviewing the norms on SOTES. James Lee and Carl Kemnitz have been invited to PS meetings to discuss the issues.

PS will be working on the lecturer evaluation policy.

PS will be looking into the implementation of old and new RTP policies. There is some concern that promotion to full professor is tougher now than under the old policy. An evaluation of data regarding tenure and promotion with the old and new policies is needed.

PS will also be discussing whether charging personnel committees should be mandated.

6. University Updates:
   a. From the AS President:
The Student Elections Committee is working on five open positions for students on the AS Board.
AS will be selecting the Homecoming royalty. AS has moved away from language like ‘King’ and ‘Queen’ to be more inclusive.

The new Academic Affairs Committee has students who act as liaisons with the students’ college and departments. The students will be doing more outreach.

b. From the CDO:
The CDO has put together a committee of faculty, staff, and students to work on the Campus Climate Survey. Rankin and Associates will handle all input and when it is complete the entire campus will see it at the same time. ODEI will help with logistics, but data will be collected and analyzed by Rankin and Associates.

There have been some hate flyers put up across campus. There is little that can be done unless they are addressed towards a particular person. There is a balance of free speech and the first amendment and creating safe space for our students, faculty, and staff. It is likely that these types of incidents will continue so we need to be vigilant and report if see anything regarding hate speech. Other campuses across the country were plastered with similar messages in the same week. The CDO will be conducting public forums across campus regarding these issues. Comment: The President noted that she was very careful with the messaging and did not want to give the content of the messages airplay. Comment: The President’s message was noted for calling out white nationalism which was unusual, but something important to do.

c. From the Provost:
The Deans searches are moving forward. The committees have been formed based on the recommendations of the Executive Committee.

The Provost spoke to the President about the pending University Writing Committee and Late-Add to RTP Guidelines policies. Both policies were signed last week.

The Provost is working on a draft Academic Affairs Organizational Chart that he would like to bring to the first Senate meeting of fall.

The Provost has been working with the deans on their budgets and providing clarity and transparency around these budgets.

The Provost had a meeting today regarding COACHE and has a robust plan for the data rollout to the campus. Updates at the next Executive Committee meeting.

d. From the VPSA:
There were 9,000 first-year students at Orientation this year. Our enrollment is at 35,777. This number is up over 600 students from last year at this time. However, our International student enrollment has declined by 150 students. This decline is in line with national declines in International students. The VPSA believes it is time to start talking about Greek Life on campus. The VPSA discussed all of the activities scheduled for the Weeks of Welcome on campus.

7. The meeting adjourned at 1:32 p.m.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Last Name/First Name</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Seat/College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Del Casino, Vincent</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>Seat D (Prov)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Briner, Zachary</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (AS Vice President)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Delegado, Zulaida</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (Director of Academic Affairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Keur, Anoop</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (Director of Academic Affairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Carrera, Daniella</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (Director of Academic Affairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Parent, Branden</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (AS President)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Roque, Jose</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (Director of Student Rights and Responsibilities)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Academic Senate</td>
<td>Trang, Jeanne</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator (Director of Student Resource Affairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Marcinkowski, Sarah</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>TAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Rizwan, Fazil</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat A (CHHS) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Seidu, Jonathan</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat C (Science) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Committees</td>
<td>Parent, Branden</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Student Senator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum and Research</td>
<td>Marin, Cae</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat A (CHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum and Research</td>
<td>Keur, Anoop</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat T (Student Senator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction and Student Affairs</td>
<td>Delgadillo, Zulaida</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat H (Student Senator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction and Student Affairs</td>
<td>Roque, Jose</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat H (Student Senator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction and Student Affairs</td>
<td>Ridlon, Erin</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat G (Grad. Students)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and Government</td>
<td>Lecquere, Elyse</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat F (Engineering) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and Government</td>
<td>Lencioni, Kelly</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat D (Engineering) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and Government</td>
<td>Lin, Kenya</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat H (Student Senator) (Seat 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and Government</td>
<td>Mony, Sana</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>EXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Standards</td>
<td>Chay, Jessica</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat E (CHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Standards</td>
<td>Mahendra, Nithi</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat C (Engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Diversity Committee</td>
<td>Lee, Yee-Chen</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat G (General Utd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Diversity Committee</td>
<td>Roman, Kevin</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat U (Research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Diversity Committee</td>
<td>Keur, Anoop</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>AS Director of Academic Affairs (Seat 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Diversity Committee</td>
<td>Munde, Nina</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>AS Director of International Affairs (Seat 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Lee, Jyun</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat E (CHHS) (1 semester)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Venkat, Ronny</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat G (Engineering) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Keur, Anoop</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat H (Engineering) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Neumann, Max</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat J (CHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Review Board</td>
<td>Samaranavich, Arvind</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat H (Research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International/Programs and Students</td>
<td>Liu, Nailing</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat J (CHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International/Programs and Students</td>
<td>Menu, Saile</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Study Abroad Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International/Programs and Students</td>
<td>Ouyang, Caroline</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat N (General Utd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Advisory Committee</td>
<td>D'Heil, Colm</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat H (Financial) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Mullin, Giselle</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat F (Financial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Sahay, Jacobson</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat K (Soft Skills) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Rodin, Simon</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat G (Facility Senator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning</td>
<td>Cornbelt, Kristy</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat J (Engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning</td>
<td>Van, David</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat M (Engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning</td>
<td>Garcia, Monica</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat M (Research - 3 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning</td>
<td>Wang, Min</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat G (Science) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning</td>
<td>Garcia, Monica</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat M (Research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Evaluation and Review Board</td>
<td>Smith, Gigi</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat C (CHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Dickinson, Brandy</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat D (Science) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Johnson, Carly</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat R (Student (Appt by VPAS))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Osorio, Claudia</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat G (Director of International Affairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Board</td>
<td>Cheh, David</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat M (Humanities/Arts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fairness Committee</td>
<td>Elastic, Elias</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat F (Iser (Financial) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fairness Committee</td>
<td>Ramdole, Kay</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat G (Student)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fairness Committee</td>
<td>Perezhuzhe, Joe</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat K (Staff, Non-Mgmt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Studies Committee</td>
<td>Nagole, Alush</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat F (Graduate Utd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Studies Committee</td>
<td>Fait, Cary</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat I (Social Sciences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Studies Committee</td>
<td>Keur, Anoop</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat I (Student)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention</td>
<td>Jennings, Jacob</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat 2 (Greek Life Student)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention</td>
<td>Roque, Jose</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat H (AS Board of Directors, Student Design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention</td>
<td>Trang, Alexander</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat E (Past (2 Years) (Ex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Planning Board</td>
<td>Park, Jane</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat F (Humanities/Arts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Planning Board</td>
<td>Carter, Benjamin</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Seat M (Science)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Planning Board</td>
<td>Cerasi, Tony</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat D (Director of Business Affairs) (Seat 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Planning Board</td>
<td>Cerasi, Davida</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>AS Director of Community and Sustainability (Seat 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Parent, Branden</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat 1 (basic Student President)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Nguyen, Kira</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat J (Undergraduate Student)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Lee, Jyun</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Seat J (Humanities/Arts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Wisch, Andy</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat F (CHHS) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Kaspary, Paul</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat I (Engineering) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Tucker, Virginia</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat J (CHHS) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Boston, Jane</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat J (CHHS) (FAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Studies</td>
<td>Kaur, Anoop</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat J (Student)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Success Committee</td>
<td>Trang, Jeanne</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Seat F (Undergraduate Student)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee</td>
<td>Last Name/First Name</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Success Committee</td>
<td>Seah, Diana</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>(Faculty At Large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Success Committee</td>
<td>Feng, Xi (Alex)</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>(Faculty At Large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee</td>
<td>Del Casino, Vincent</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Provost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Planning Steering Committee</td>
<td>Tiwana, Ravneet</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>(Staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Planning Steering Committee</td>
<td>Tully, Andrea</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>(Staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinstatement Review</td>
<td>Ng, Wendy</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Non-voting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Writing Committee</td>
<td>Baer, Cindy</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>(Humanities/Arts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Writing Committee</td>
<td>Moore, Dorothy</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>(Education)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit, Traffic &amp; Parking</td>
<td>Carrera, Daniella</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>(Student Senator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Ficke, Joan</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Interim Provost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Board</td>
<td>Chin, Joon</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Interim Provost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Accreditation and Reassessment Review</td>
<td>Cascella, Paul</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Education, Associate Dean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Planning Steering Committee</td>
<td>Jacobs, Walt</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Co-Chair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Student Affairs</td>
<td>Ng, Wendy</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Student Affairs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Planning Committee</td>
<td>Mendoza, Nicole</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution and Student Affairs</td>
<td>Mendoza, Nicole</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution and Student Affairs</td>
<td>Mendoza, Nicole</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Academic Freedom/Prof.</td>
<td>Murray, Susan</td>
<td>EXO</td>
<td>(Non-voting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Programs &amp; Students</td>
<td>Cormany, Daniel</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>(CHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Programs &amp; Students</td>
<td>Trousdale, Alaric</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(Study Abroad)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Programs &amp; Students</td>
<td>Tran, Yen</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>(General Unit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Fan, Abi</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(Engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Studies and Research</td>
<td>Fan, Abi</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(Engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Affairs</td>
<td>Fan, Abi</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(Engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Studies</td>
<td>Reeve, Wendy</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(Social Sciences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Library Board</td>
<td>Seshsinkar, Karthika</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>(Social Sciences)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Policy Recommendation
Amendment A to S10-5
Charge and Membership of Sustainability Board

Legislative History: The charge and membership information for the Sustainability Board is contained in S10-5. This proposal seeks to update the charge and membership of this board.

Whereas: A comprehensive review of the charge and membership of university committees with input from committees has been conducted, and

Whereas: Additional consideration related to faculty and administrative representatives, deemed needed for the Sustainability Board, has been done, therefore be it

Resolved: S10-5 be amended as noted in this proposal.

Rationale: Throughout the review process, O&G sought to balance broad representation with the practicality of a reasonable size to committees. In the process of examining the present composition and proposed changes, careful consideration was given to input from the Senate, Vice Presidents, the Deans’ representative to the Sustainability Board, the Senate’s Executive Committee, and the Sustainability Board.

Approved: 9/9/19
Vote: 8-0-0
Present: Altura, French, Gallo, Grosvenor, Jackson, McClory, Okamoto, Shifflett
Absent: Higgins, Millora
Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None
Recommendation

Charge
Working in conjunction with the University Director of Sustainability, the Board functions as a Special Agency university committee of the Academic Senate and advises and makes recommendations to the Academic Senate and the President in the following areas: setting and measuring progress towards meeting University-wide goals to promote sustainability across academic and non-academic divisions; and benchmarking SJSU sustainability efforts relative to state and national leaders in university sustainability. The Board serves as an advisory resource to academic departments on sustainability-related curriculum. The Board also works with appropriate units in the university as a resource for centralizing and effectively communicating information to the campus on SJSU’s sustainability efforts, both internally and externally; integrating sustainability into key planning documents and procedures; and educating and engaging with campus stakeholders and external partners on sustainability through research, projects, workshops, and other events.

Membership
Director, Sustainability [EXO]
Provost’s or designee [EXO]
VP for Advancement’s or designee [EXO]
VP for Student Affairs’s or designee, Executive Director, Student Union [EXO]
AVP of FDO or designee [EXO]
Executive Director, Spartan Shops Eats representative designated by VP Admin-finance [EXO]
1 College Dean [EXO]
1 Representative from Martin Luther King, Jr. Library designated by Dean [EXO]
1 Representative, Transportation Solutions designated by AS Executive Director [EXO]
1 faculty, Business
1 faculty, Education
1 faculty, Engineering
1 faculty, Health and Human Sciences
1 faculty, Humanities and the Arts
1 faculty, Science
1 faculty, Social Science
1 faculty, General Unit
5 faculty-at-large
1 member of staff
AS Director of Comm. & Envr. Affairs, A.S. Director of Sustainability Affairs [EXO]
1 student

Note: Process information, originally embedded with membership would now be in a section of the policy as follows:
Faculty. One faculty member from each representative unit of the Senate. Five at-large faculty members of the Board shall be recommended by the Academic Senate Executive Committee and appointed by the Academic Senate. Faculty members serve a 3-year term which is renewable for one additional 3-year term. When filling initial appointments, the Chair of the Committee on Committees will stagger the terms of non ex-officio seats.

Deans: One college dean recommended by the Council of Deans and appointed by the President in consultation with the Provost, will be selected by the council of deans. Deans will serve two-year terms.

Students: One student (preferably with interest and experience with sustainability issues) appointed by Associated Students VP of Student Affairs from the membership of officially recognized student organizations focused on sustainability. Students will serve one-year terms and can be re-appointed.

Staff: One member of the staff appointed by the President in consultation with the Executive Committee. All appointed Staff members will serve for staggered three year terms.

The Chair of the Board will be elected by the membership at the last meeting of each spring semester and will serve a one-year term.

Vacancies in appointive seats shall be filled for the balance of the term in the manner prescribed for the original appointments. If any member is absent from three regularly scheduled committee meetings, or repeatedly does not perform assigned committee duties, the committee chair may request a replacement from the Chair of the Committee on Committees.

Solicitation of applications to serve on the Sustainability Board will be made through the normal Committee on Committees process for the seats designated for faculty members. Faculty interested in serving on this committee will submit a brief letter of interest that includes information regarding their background, experience and engagement with sustainability issues. When multiple applications are submitted for a seat, the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate will select individuals to serve. In considering applicants, attention should focus on the person’s expertise, background, and interest in areas related to sustainability, and the need for broad representation.

Where Board recommendations to the President involve matters of serious concern to the campus community, the President will normally consult with appropriate Academic Senate committees before implementation.
Policy Recommendation
Amendment B to S16-8
Selection and Review of Administrators

Legislative History: S16-8, followed by amendments in Spring 2017, constitute current policy on the selection and review of administrators.

Whereas: Organizational changes have resulted in positions with responsibilities directly connected to faculty residing outside of academic affairs, and
Whereas: Organizational changes have resulted in the establishment of director positions previously titled Associate/Assistant Vice President, and
Whereas: Re-titled positions are at a level and with responsibilities such that they need to be included in S16-8, therefore, be it

Resolved That section 1.1 of S16-8 be amended as follows:

1.1 Applicability. This policy applies to searches for and reviews of Management Personnel Plan (MPP) administrators who serve university-wide as vice presidents; those within the Academic Affairs Division including the provost, deputy Vice provosts, deans and all other associate vice presidents or equivalent positions; and these positions: Director, Faculty Affairs and Director, Center for Faculty Development. Where not otherwise specified, the words ‘academic administrators’ as used in this policy means all those only the above positions in the Academic Affairs Division.

and be it further,

Resolved That section 1.3 of S16-8 be amended as follows:

1.3 Composition of Search Committees. Committees shall be large enough to allow for sufficiently broad representation, yet small enough so as not to be unwieldy. When feasible, an odd number of voting members will be appointed to eliminate the possibility of tied votes. Faculty, students, administrators and staff shall be represented.
Consideration should be given to representation of the diversity of the campus. Faculty shall comprise a majority on all search committees for administrators in the Academic Affairs Division, inclusive of the Director, Center for Faculty Development, a majority on
search committees for the Director, Faculty Affairs; and at least one third of other committees. If appropriate, alumni and community representatives may serve on search committees.

and be it further,

Resolved: That section 2.2 of S16-8 be amended as follows

For all offices covered by this policy, a review committee shall be appointed and constituted in accordance with the procedures specified in Part 1, Sections 1.3 and 1.4 of this policy. The Provost-Vice Presidents shall not be eligible to serve on committees to review academic administrators in their division.

Rationale: With position titles and location of positions within the university recently changed it was necessary to review the policy on selection and review of administrators. The changes proposed here allow for search and review committees to have a majority of faculty in cases where, regardless of division, the position has responsibilities that impact faculty teaching, research, service, RTP/evaluations, or professional development.

Approved: 8/26/19
Vote: 10-0-0
Present: Altura, French, Gallo, Grosvenor, Higgins, Jackson, Millora, McClory, Okamoto, Shifflett
Absent: —
Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None
Senate Management Resolution
Amendment A to SM-F09-2
Change to the Composition of the
Academic Disqualification and Reinstatement Review Committee

Legislative History: The membership information for the Academic Disqualification and
Reinstatement Review Committee (ADRRC) resides in SM-F09-2. This proposal would
amend the committee’s membership.

Whereas: Changes in the title for the office of Undergraduate Studies and
administrators in that office have taken place, and

Whereas: The ADRRC has requested changes consistent with organizational
restructuring that split the former Graduate and Undergraduate Programs
office, therefore be it

Resolved: That SM-F09-2 be amended so that the membership for the ADDRC
would be as follows.

ADRRC Membership

AVP Graduate and Undergraduate Pgmms, Undergraduate Education or designee
AVP Enrollment Services or designee
AVP Research or designee
Dean, College of Graduate Studies or designee
Dean, Health and Human Sciences or designee
Dean, Business or designee
Dean, Education or designee
Dean, Engineering or designee
Dean, Humanities and the Arts or designee
Dean, Science or designee
Dean, Social Sciences or designee
Director, EOP or designee
Director, Advising & Retention Services, or designee
Director, Counseling Services or designee
Rationale: Changes in both organizational structure and titles have resulted in the need for changes that add the Dean of the new College of Graduate Studies, removes the AVP, Research, and updates the title for the former AVP GUP.

Approved: 9/9/19
Vote: 8-0-0
Present: Altura, French, Gallo, Grosvenor, Jackson, McClory, Okamoto, Shifflett
Absent: Millora, Higgins

Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None
Policy Recommendation

Adding General Unit Seats to the Student Evaluation Review Board, Student Fairness Committee, Library Board, and Writing Requirements Committee

Legislative History: The membership information for the Student Evaluation Review Board resides in F10-2; The membership information for the Student Fairness Committee resides in S07-6; The membership information for the Writing Requirements Committee resides in S19-3. The membership information for the University Library Board resides in S15-10. This proposal would add a General Unit Seat to these Committees.

Whereas: Changes in the home college for departments originally in the College of Health and Human Sciences have taken place, and

Whereas: For the Information School (ischool) and faculty in Applied Data Science, their affiliation with the College of Professional and Global Education (CPGE) means their faculty are now part of the General Unit, therefore be it

Resolved: That F10-2, S07-6, S15-10, and F15-6 be amended by adding a general unit seat to the Student Evaluation Review Board, Student Fairness Committee, University Library Board, and Writing Requirements Committee.

Rationale: Since the iSchool and Applied Data Science are now affiliated with a college that has not in the past included faculty, reflection related to representation on committees for faculty in the general unit was needed. A review of the membership for all committees was conducted and it was determined that faculty from the CPGE, through their membership in the general unit, needed an opportunity to serve on the Student Evaluation Review Board, Student Fairness Committee, Library Board, and Writing Requirements Committee.

Approved: 9/9/19
Vote: 8-0-0
Present: Altura, French, Gallo, Grosvenor, Jackson, McClory, Okamoto, Shifflett
Absent: Higgins, Millora
Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None
Policy Recommendation
Amendment B to S13-9,
Merging, Dividing, Transferring, Eliminating Academic Units

Legislative History: Amendment A to S13-9 rescinded S06-7 (Merging, Dividing, Transferring, Eliminating Academic Units). This proposal would further amend S13-9.

Whereas: S13-9 does not specify explicitly that a proposal to merge, divide, transfer, or eliminate a unit must be in writing, and

Whereas: S13-9 does not specify that all the bulleted elements in section 3 of S13-9 must be articulated before a vote, and

Whereas: Clarification of these points would facilitate the effective implementation of S13-9, therefore be it

Resolved: That S13-9 be amended as noted in this proposal, and be it further

Resolved: That S06-7 be rescinded as called for in S13-9.

Rationale: The referral to the Organization and Government committee highlighted the difficulties encountered in recent attempts to implement S13-9. For example, the policy does not specify that a proposal to merge, divide, transfer, or eliminate a unit must be in writing (though in section 8 it does mention a “copy” of the proposal). The serious nature of proposals to merge, divide, transfer, or eliminate units makes clarity in policy of particular importance.

Approved: 9/9/19
Vote: 8-0-0
Present: Altura, French, Gallo, Grosvenor, Jackson, McClory, Okamoto, Shifflett
Absent: Higgins, Millora

Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None
Merging, Dividing, Transferring, Eliminating Academic Units

1. When a proposal is made by an academic unit, college dean or the Provost (or other university authority) to divide or eliminate an academic unit, to merge it with another academic unit or to transfer it to another college, the basic principle established by this policy is that there should be opportunity for meaningful consultation with all affected academic departments, staff, and students before any such proposal is approved or implemented. Any associated termination of degree programs shall comply with UP S99-4, Degree Termination.

2. Implementation of this principle requires that all affected academic units be informed of the proposed change in writing and allowed a reasonable time to evaluate the proposal. In the spirit of meaningful consultation such written proposals should be provided announced at a point in an academic semester that provides sufficient time for affected faculty, staff, and students to consider the proposal and, in the case of faculty, to express their opinions by vote according to the policy on department voting rights (S17-6).

3. A proposal to divide, eliminate, or merge an academic unit has multiple and significant implications for the campus community. The process should be governed by consultation among all affected academic units. The consultation and subsequent proposal should, at a minimum, specify some or all of the following. depending on the proposal.

A. A rationale for the proposed organizational change
B. Resource implications
C. RTP implications
D. Curriculum and accreditation implications
E. Implications for students

4. In addition to consultation with the affected academic unit(s), or, development of a written proposal also requires consultation with the dean of any college(s) affected and the Provost.

Voting should not commence until after the proposal has been made available for review for at least 10 duty days.

5. The Provost will announce the timeline for voting on the written proposal. Faculty shall have no less than 5 and no more than 15 faculty duty days to vote.

6. The vote of the regular (tenured and tenure-track) faculty and the vote of the temporary faculty in the affected academic unit(s) shall be tallied and recorded separately, and the vote of the faculty shall be advisory only. The results of the voting should be made public within 7 calendar days. Voting shall be conducted consistent with the voting rights afforded by the CBA and consistent with SS-S11-3.
If any parties involved in the process believe that policy was not followed, they may request a hearing before the Organization and Government Committee (O&G) of the Academic Senate within 20 faculty duty days after the results of the vote are announced. The request must make a clear case that meaningful consultation among affected faculty did not occur in order for O&G to proceed with a hearing. O&G will not evaluate the merits of the proposed reorganization, only whether the principle of meaningful consultation was followed. At the hearing, all such academic units and authorities may be heard, as well as all faculty of affected academic units. After the hearing, the Organization and Government Committee shall make a written report to the Provost, the President, and the Academic Senate with its determination of whether meaningful consultation among all affected parties was achieved.

A copy of all approved successful proposals, as well as an account of the consultation process, will be archived by the Academic Senate to serve as a resource to the University community. The dean's office of the affected college(s) will provide such an account and the written approved proposal.

Processes to merge, divide, or eliminate Academic Departments in progress as of May 6, 2013 are covered under the existing policy.

Resolved that S06-7 be rescinded.
Policy Recommendation
Amendment to Senate Constitution Regarding Administrative Representatives

Legislative History: This proposal, if subsequently approved by the full faculty, would modify the Senate’s constitution related to administrative representatives by adding the Senior Director, Faculty Affairs, and Associate Vice President, Office of Research.

Whereas: Administrative and structural changes at SJSU call for a reconsideration of administrative representatives to the Senate, and

Whereas: Representation from the office of research is important, and

Whereas: Update of the constitution is needed so the membership section is focused on representatives, leaving procedural matters to Senate Bylaws, therefore be it

Resolved That Article II, section 2 of the Senate Constitution pertaining to administrative representatives be amended as follows:

ARTICLE II -- MEMBERSHIP

Section 2. Administration representatives shall consist of the President, the Provost, the Vice President for Administration and Finance, the Vice President for Student Affairs, and the Chief Diversity Officer, the Senior Director, Faculty Affairs, the Associate Vice President, Office of Research, ex officio; and two four (4) academic college deans. at least two of whom shall be deans of colleges, elected by the academic deans for staggered two-year terms.

Rationale: This modification allows for the appointment of administrators to the Senate whose expertise would be particularly valuable in any given year in the context of the University’s changing needs over time without increasing the number of administrative representatives. Historically, substantive benefits to the Senate have been realized due to the fact that our Senate is an Academic Senate inclusive of administrative representatives who can be engaged in discussions at the starting point regarding the formulation of university policy proposals. Adding the AVP for Research and Senior Director, Faculty Affairs and removing the constraint of ‘academic’ deans highlights the Senate’s need for collaboration with administrators engaged in a wide range of
leadership responsibilities from visionary planning to concrete implementation
responsibilities across and within divisions in the context of SJSU’s strategic goals.
The change also keeps this section of the constitution focused on membership.

Approved: 9/9/19
Vote: 8-0-0
Present: Altura, French, Gallo, Grosvenor, Jackson, McClory, Okamoto, Shifflett
Absent: Higgins, Millora
Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None
Policy Recommendation

Combined Bachelor’s and Master’s Programs

Whereas: No current university policy exists to guide the development of a combined Bachelor’s to Master’s degree program at San José State University, and

Whereas: Coded Memorandum AA-2012-01 specifies the minimum requirements for establishing a “blended degree model”, which at SJSU shall be referred to as the Combined Bachelor’s and Master’s Programs (CBMP) Policy, and

Whereas: The CBMP would support students in the pursuit of advanced degrees in the same or different fields, and

Whereas: Adopting this model may streamline students’ pathway to completing the Master’s degree program, and

Whereas: Title 5 requires completion of a minimum of 120 units for a Bachelor’s degree and a minimum of 30 units for a Master’s degree, and

Whereas: This policy supplements university policy S89-2 on graduate credit for undergraduates.

Whereas: The decision to offer a CBMP would be exclusively the decision of the department/school faculty with curricular approval following SJSU processes; therefore, be it

Resolved: That programs interested in establishing a CBMP be allowed to offer the program as described in this policy.

Approved: September 9, 2019

Vote: 12-0-0


Absent: None
Workload Impact: A temporary increase in workload at department, college, and university level for curriculum committees, chairs, associate deans, Undergraduate Education staff and College of Graduate Studies staff as programs develop curriculum and application processes for a CBMP.

A significant increase in workload is anticipated for Graduate Admissions Program Evaluation, Registrar’s Office, and financial aid staff members to develop business processes and PeopleSoft applications for tracking and implementation.

Financial Impact: None anticipated
I. Establishment of a CBMP

Academic units that choose to establish a CBMP must follow the same curricular approval process as for a new degree program. This program must meet the following minimum criteria:

1. Curricula for a CBMP must adhere to existing campus curriculum policies for both Bachelor’s and Master’s degrees.
2. The roadmap for the program must include at least one semester in which students enroll in a combination of undergraduate and graduate courses.
3. The College of Graduate Studies, Undergraduate Education, and the Registrar shall develop guidelines and processes for administration of a CBMP.

II. Minimum Admissions Requirements to a CBMP

1. All minimum requirements as specified in Coded Memorandum AA-2012-01 must be followed.
2. Students must be enrolled in or have completed the undergraduate Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) prior to admission into the program.
3. The student must successfully have completed a minimum of 60 undergraduate degree applicable units and not more than 120 undergraduate degree applicable units at the time of application.
4. A program may stipulate more rigorous standards for admission than the minimum criteria.

III. Change to Graduate Status in a CBMP

1. The Registrar’s Office in consultation with the College of Graduate Studies shall develop processes for transitioning students from undergraduate to graduate status following guidelines in the Coded Memorandum AA-2012-01.

IV. Award of Undergraduate Degree and Graduate Credits

1. The student applies to graduate for the Bachelor’s degree the semester before the student will complete the Bachelor’s degree requirements. Upon evaluation of the Bachelor’s degree requirements, if the student has satisfied all requirements for the Bachelor’s degree, the degree is awarded.
2. If a student admitted into a CBMP opts out of, or fails to complete, the Master’s degree portion of the program, the following will apply:
   a. Graduate level credit used to complete the Bachelor’s degree may not be used toward the completion of a subsequent Master’s degree.
   b. All graduate level credits accrued towards the Master’s degree shall be available for application to an SJSU graduate program upon
subsequent approval by the program and subject to University Policy S17-7, graduate course revalidation.

c. A student who reapply after leaving the CBMP will reapply as a regular Master’s degree seeking student subject to the admissions requirements of the program at SJSU.
SS-F19-1 Sense of the Senate Resolution, Opposing AB-1460 while Supporting Ethnic Studies Programs at San José State University

Legislative history: On July 10, 2019, AB-1460, an act to add Section 89032 to the Education Code, relating to the California State University (CSU), to establish a graduation requirement of an ethnic studies course was passed in the California State Assembly Committee on Higher Education by a vote of 4-0-3. This bill will now go to the California State Senate for a vote. If signed into law, the act would go into effect beginning Academic Year 2020-21.

SENSE OF THE SENATE RESOLUTION
Opposing AB-1460 while Supporting Ethnic Studies Programs at San José State University

RESOLVED: that the San José State University (SJSU) Academic Senate is in agreement with Chancellor White, all of the CSU Presidents, and the Academic Senate of the CSU in not supporting AB-1460 bill as written because it intervenes inappropriately with the development of curricula which is the purview of faculty; and be it further

RESOLVED: that while the SJSU Academic Senate supports ethnic studies, we believe the curricular needs of our students are best served when each campus, through its collegial shared governance process, finds the most effective means to educate its own unique student body. We oppose any effort to standardize our approach to this subject--whether by the State or by the system administration--since such efforts usually generate unforeseen and destructive consequences that often work counter to their original intent; and be it further

RESOLVED: that the SJSU Academic Senate reaffirms its commitment to curricular development processes by faculty precisely "because the university's curriculum is of central concern to the faculty and because faculty have the primary responsibility in curricular decisions, it follows that faculty should have the major voice in academic policy decisions which closely affect the curriculum, access to the curriculum, or the quality of the curriculum;”¹ and be it further

RESOLVED: that the SJSU Academic Senate reminds the Legislature of its historic commitment to collegial shared governance in the Higher Education Employer-Employee Act (HEERA), which eloquently states that "The Legislature recognizes that joint decision-making and consultation between administration

¹ Collegiality in the California State University System Academic Senate of the CSU (1985)
and faculty or academic employees is the long-accepted manner of governing institutions of higher learning and is essential to the performance of the educational missions of these institutions...; unfortunately, the contemplated legislation intrudes into this important joint decision-making process and undermines the foundations of collegial shared governance over curriculum; and be it further

RESOLVED: that SJSU already supports ethnic studies by requiring all SJSU students to take an upper-division general education course that requires students to “study the interrelationships of individuals, racial groups, and cultural groups to understand and appreciate issues of diversity, equality, and structured inequality in the U.S., its institutions, and its cultures;”2 and be it further

RESOLVED: that SJSU has further supported our ethnic studies programs recently through an increase in the number of courses offered, the creation of new curricular pathways such as a forthcoming minor in comparative U.S. race and ethnic studies that draws from a series of race/ethnic studies minors, and strengthening diverse faculty representation through hiring diverse faculty within these and other academic programs; and be it further

RESOLVED: that the SJSU Academic Senate is committed to Ethnic Studies as curricula that deepen the educational experiences of SJSU students and benefit our society and is also committed to the faculty having oversight and responsibility for these curricular decisions; and be it further

RESOLVED: that the SJSU Academic Senate distribute this resolution to the CSU Academic Senate, CSU Board of Trustees, CSU Chancellor White, CSU campus Presidents, CSU campus Senate Chairs, CSU Provosts/Vice Presidents of Academic Affairs, the California State University Council on Ethnic Studies, the California Faculty Association (CFA), California State Student Association (CSSA), Emeritus and Retired Faculty and Staff Association (ERFSA), Academic Senate for California Community Colleges (ASCCC), Academic Senate of the University of California, Governor Gavin Newsom, Assembly Member Ash Kalra, Senator Jim Beall, and the California State Senate.

Approved: August 8, 2019
Vote: 10-0-0
Present: Curry, Del Casino, Faas, Frazier, Marachi, Mathur, McKee, Parent, Shifflett, Sullivan-Green
Absent: Day, Papazian, Peter, White, Wong(Lau)

Financial Impact: None
Workload Impact: None

---

2 San Jose State University (Fall 2014), Guidelines for General Education (GE), American Institutions (AI), and the Graduate Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR)