At its meeting of March 13, 2006, the Academic Senate passed the following Sense of the Senate Resolution presented by Senator Bros for the Professional Standards Committee.

**SENSE-OF-THE-SENATE RESOLUTION**

Adding Promotion Review to RTP Committee Responsibilities and Rename as the University Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committee

WHEREAS: The Professional Standards Committee requires information on the degree of consensus in the Academic Senate with respect to the four inter-connected key elements proposed in AS 1310; and

WHEREAS: One of the key elements in AS 1310 was the proposal to include promotion review in the charge of the University Retention and Tenure Committee and rename the committee to the University Retention, Tenure and Promotion Committee; and

WHEREAS: The University RTP Committee would also have the additional responsibility to review Departmental/College Guidelines for Scholarly Artistic, and Professional Achievements for approval; and

WHEREAS: These additional responsibilities would greatly increase the workload of the University RTP committee; and

RESOLVED: That the Senate supports the concept of having the University RTP Committee provide retention, tenure and promotion performance reviews only for cases where the evaluation outcome from any of the prior levels of performance review is either “not recommended” or is an evenly split vote (i.e. no decision).