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Pertinent Agenda Items from 2012-13

1. The charge of the committee
   a. [Link]
   b. [Link]
2. Landscape
   a. Need for tree "lifecycle" planning
   b. Elm Tree removal "The committee has elected to support the Arborist recommendation to remove all the trees noted on the map, with the exception of number 6,23,24,25,26,29,30. While it is clear from the Arborist report that these will eventually need to come out, there are no pressing construction, safety or design reasons to extract these trees at this time." – A letter was sent to the president endorsing this action.
      i. Minimal social impact
      ii. Minimal "unexpected" events
      iii. Lumber produced used to offset some cost of removal
   c. Potentially replace broken cherry trees with slightly older/stronger versions as they are damaged easily at their current size
   d. Landscape Master Plan
      i. detailed discussion, questions, and next steps
      ii. [Link]
      i. [Link]
b. Much like our “some places ok” smoking policy, enforcement is a problem

c. The narrow corridors (Student Union Construction, now SPX construction) produce pedestrian/bike conflicts.

d. Biking not allowed on high traffic areas of campus during peak usage times

e. Bike Rental / Bike-to-go kiosk placement

f. Request to refer for feedback on potential policy development
   i. Referral to senate

4. Building updates
   a. There is a desire to have a more visible timeline of the various construction projects
   b. Student Union (East, West, and Central)
   c. Health Science
   d. SPX / YUH
   e. Moss Landing Construction
   f. Aquatic Center (refurbishment)
   g. Aquatic Center / Recreation Center
   h. DMH temperature remediation (per memo)

5. Art
   a. There has been no feedback from the “art committee” when requested. This should be pursued further.

6. Navigation / Signage
   a. A “default” university policy to enshrine current CPB implementation: that signs on buildings describe the building and not what goes on inside them (Departments)
   b. The wayfinding aids of adding “tombstone” type markers holding program / department information outside major entrances should be pursued (e.g., as in king library elevators above the doors – relatively easily swappable)
   c. Electronic wayfinding comes back each 1-2 years
   d. Kiosk wayfinding comes back each 1-2 years

7. Request for international student exchange “signpost”
   a. Early developmental stages, requested feedback from “art committee” if in their scope (no response yet); funding to support the endeavor was unclear. No committee action.