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Altera
Altera Overview

U.S. Tax Court issued its opinion on July 27, 2015

Held: 2003 cost-sharing regulations ("2003 Regulations") requiring stock based compensation ("SBC") to be included as an intangible development cost are invalid

Rationale: The 2003 Regulations, and the process under which they were promulgated, failed to take into account that uncontrolled parties acting at arm’s length would not share SBC. Thus, the regulation was held to be inconsistent with the arm’s length standard

Unanimous decision of the Tax Court

Note that the IRS had previously lost a similar Tax Court case in Xilinx in 2005 (affirmed by the 9th Cir in 2010) with respect to the 1995 cost-sharing regulations
Decision Timeline

• **Opinion issued** on July 27, 2015

• Once all mathematical computations have been settled and provided to the Tax Court, the decision will be entered

• Decision is not considered **final** until 90 days after it is entered
  - A party dissatisfied with the judgment has the option to challenge through either a post-trial motion or a notice of appeal. Post-trial motion is a request for the Tax Court to reconsider some aspect of its opinion
  - Note the opinion was unanimous so query whether this period (as it relates to the option to pursue a post-trial motion) is merely administrative here

• If the IRS appeals, the decision does not become final until an appellate court (in this case the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals) renders its final decision
Altera Tax Return Implications

Cost sharing agreements

Other areas
• Platform Contribution Transactions?
  − Was your PCT determined using a method based on financial projections
• Section 1.482-9 controlled services transactions?
Is cost sharing of SBC still permitted?
• Consider that the Regulation has not yet been withdrawn
• Form 8275R?

Might there be reasons why you would want to continue sharing SBC?
• Cash implications
• Lack of cash tax benefit
Altera Income Tax Provision Implications

Must consider both the **timing** and **amount** of benefit recognition.

Uncertain tax position two-part evaluation:

- **Recognition** – Is the position more-likely-than-not to be sustained based on its technical merits, if challenged, and taken to the court of last resort.

- **Measurement** – What is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information.
Altera Income Tax Provision Implications – Benefit Recognition

When is there a change in law?
- Opinion issued?
- Decision entered?
- Decision final?
- Final decision on appeal (or IRS decision not to appeal)

Is the legal language in a CSA relevant?
- What do your existing legal agreements require you to do?
- Will you amend your legal agreements?
- Are you likely to eventually claim a benefit for the current year? If so, what is your triggering event?
Altera Income Tax Provision Implications – Benefit Measurement

Distinguish the current year and prior years

Current year benefit may be obtained without claw-back or refund application

Prior year may require amended returns and/or the use of claw-back provisions
Altera Income Tax Provision Implications – Benefit Measurement

What is the refund mechanism for prior years?

Claw-back of all SBC from past years in the current year?
  - Is this permissible? MLTN?

1120X?
  - Self-initiated adjustment? See 1.482-1(a)(3)?
  - Statute of limitations?
  - Subject to a closing agreement?
  - NOL carryforward adjustment
  - Joint Committee approval needed?
Valuation Allowances?
- Consider impact to future sources of US income
- Impact on foreign source income and foreign tax credit calculations

Indefinite Reinvestment of Earnings (APB 23)
- Exclusion of SBC from CSA results in an increase to foreign earnings and an increase to offshore cash

Deferred Tax Asset for Share-based Compensation
- Grant vs exercise method
FASB Developments

- Shared based compensation
- Other proposed changes
Overview – tax accounting under ASC 718 & 505

Basic concepts – current guidance

- If a deduction is *ordinarily* expected for the intrinsic amount (when taxable to the employee), treat the amount of financial reporting expense to date as a deductible temporary difference.

- Do not adjust the deductible temporary difference between when it is initially set and the determination of the actual tax deduction (*absent changes in expected forfeitures*).

- If actual deduction exceeds the book expense, record the related tax benefit to APIC when realized.

- If the actual deduction is less, write-off the under-realized DTA to the APIC pool or if there is insufficient APIC pool to tax expense.

Statement of cash flows

- ASC 230, Statement of Cash Flows, prescribes the way excess tax benefits from share-based awards are shown on the cash flow statement:
  - Gross excess tax benefits must be reported as a financing cash inflow.
Share-based Compensation
Complexities in application (current guidance)

- APIC pool tracking
- Realization requirement
- Ordering rules – With / Without & Tax Return Ordering
- Indirect tax effects
- Cash flow presentation – What is my free cash flow?
Share-based payment accounting improvements

October 8, 2014 meeting
The Board added a project to improve the accounting for share-based payment to employees in the following areas: withholding requirements, presentation in the statement of cash flows, accounting for forfeitures and accounting for income taxes

Accounting for Income Taxes upon Vesting or Settlement of Awards
− Excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies would be recognized within the income statement
− Remove the requirement to delay recognition of an excess tax benefit until the tax benefit is realized

Presentation of Excess Tax Benefits on the Statement of Cash Flows
− Remove the requirement that employers present excess tax benefits as a cash inflow from financing activities and a cash outflow from operating activities

February 4, 2015 meeting
The Board tentatively decided on transition guidance
− A cumulative-effect adjustment to equity as of the beginning of the annual period in which the guidance is effective
Share-based payment accounting improvements

June 8, 2015

The Exposure Draft was issued - *Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (2015-270)*

- Question 2a – Should excess tax benefits and deficiencies be recognized in the income statement?
- Question 2b - Should an entity delay recognition of an excess tax benefit until the benefit is realized through a reduction of tax payable?
- Question 3 – Should the effect on tax cash flows related to excess tax benefits be classified as an operating activity on the statement of cash flows?

August 14, 2015 - Comment period ended
Share-based payment accounting improvements

**Summary of the comments**

- Respondents included industry groups, public accounting firms, companies, educators, and professional organizations [69 comment letters were received].

- Diverse views were expressed about the proposed changes to eliminate the APIC Pool and record excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies in the income statement (“P&L”).

  **Common views expressed by proponents:**
  - Tax effects are associated with compensatory arrangements; therefore appropriate in P&L
  - Users more thoroughly review the P&L than the statement of changes equity, providing more transparency
  - Tax disclosures will allow investors to understand impact on earnings of such items

  **Common views expressed by opponents:**
  - Increased earnings volatility from income statement classification
  - Impact on the effective tax rate, therefore drawing more investor attention
  - Recording excess benefits and shortfalls to APIC supports the two-transaction approach, and represents a true equity transaction

- Majority were supportive of Operating Activity classification on the SOCF
Share-based payment project

Next Steps

• FASB is currently reviewing the comment letters received from stakeholders to understand the concerns expressed
  • Conducting outreach to respondents related to the elimination of the APIC pool
• The Board is expected to redeliberate the share-based payment project in late October
• Based on the overwhelming support for certain aspects of the exposure draft, the Board may decide to move forward with certain portions while delaying the implementation of other aspects
  • Unlikely that the FASB will completely drop the unfavorable aspects but will continue to conduct outreach to better understand stakeholder concerns
Other Proposals
Deferred Tax Classification

January 22, 2015 – FASB issued two proposed ASUs as part of a simplification initiative

Simplification initiative #1 – Balance sheet classification

• Classify all deferred taxes as noncurrent
• Jurisdictional netting still required

May 29, 2015 – Comment period ended

• 29 respondent comment letters were received
• Overwhelming support of the proposed guidance and simplification

Current status

• On October 5, 2015, the Board affirmed the proposed ASU for the balance sheet classification; final ASU is expected in Q4 2015
  – The Board decided that entities would be permitted to apply the amendments retrospectively or prospectively

Effective date

• Public companies – annual periods, including interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2016
• Non-public companies – one year later
Intra-Entity Asset Transfers (aka ARB 51)

Simplification initiative #2 – Intra-entity asset transfers

• Proposed to eliminate exception on recognition of income tax expense for taxes paid by transferor for intra-entity transactions and of the related deferred tax to transferee

• Modified retrospective with a cumulative catch-up adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption

Comment period ended May 29, 2015 for simplification initiative #2

• 31 respondent comment letters were received

• Preparers generally aligned against the proposal

Current status

• On October 5, 2015, the Board instructed its staff to perform further outreach and return with a recommendation to either (a) move forward with the adjustments to the codification as drafted in the existing exposure draft or (b) move forward with the exposure draft, but leave the exception in place for inventory. The Board continues to have the option to remove the issue from the agenda.

• Now de-linked from Balance Sheet Classification Initiative #1
FASB’s review of income tax disclosures

Undistributed foreign earnings disclosures

At its February 11, 2015 meeting the FASB tentatively decided that entities should:

• Disclose income before income taxes of individual countries that are significant in relation to total income before income taxes

• Disclose the domestic tax expense recognized in the period related to foreign earnings

• Disclose unremitting foreign earnings that, during the current period, are no longer asserted to be indefinitely reinvested and an explanation of the circumstances that caused the change

  ◦ These disclosures should be provided in the aggregate and for each country for which the amount no longer asserted to be indefinitely reinvested is significant in relation to the aggregate amount.

• Separately disclose the accumulated amount of indefinitely reinvested foreign earnings for any country that is at least 10 percent of the aggregate amount.
FASB’s review of income tax disclosures

Unrecognized tax benefit disclosures – August 26, 2015 meeting

The FASB tentatively decided to:

• Add a disclosure requirement within the tabular reconciliation to disaggregate settlements between cash and noncash (e.g., settlement by using existing net operating loss or tax credit carryforwards)

• Add a disclosure requirement to provide a breakdown of the amount of total unrecognized tax benefits shown in the tabular reconciliation by the respective balance sheet lines on which such unrecognized tax benefits are recorded

• Eliminate the requirement in ASC 740-10-50-15(d) for entities to provide details of positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease in the next 12 months.
The FASB tentatively decided to require the following additional income tax disclosures:

- **Income taxes paid** – (1) When a change in tax law has been enacted that is probable of affecting the reporting entity in a future period and (2) the disaggregation of the income taxes paid between foreign and domestic jurisdictions.

- **Deferred income taxes** — The balance sheet line item(s) in which deferred taxes are presented (i.e., a mapping of total deferred taxes to the balance sheet line items in which they are reported).

- **Valuation allowances** — An entity would need to explain the “nature and amounts of the valuation allowance recorded and released during the reporting period.”
FASB’s Review of Income Tax Disclosures

Income Tax Disclosures — October 21, 2015 Meeting

Rate reconciliation — The Board tentatively decided that:

• Nonpublic entities would be required to present a rate reconciliation in the notes to the financial statements, as ASC 740-10-50-12 currently requires for public entities.

• A disaggregation of a component of the rate reconciliation would be required if the individual component is greater than or equal to 5 percent of the tax at the statutory rate in a manner consistent with SEC Regulation S-X.

• An entity would be required to disclose a qualitative description of the items that have caused a significant year-over-year change to the effective tax rate.
FASB’s Review of Income Tax Disclosures

Income Tax Disclosures — October 21, 2015 Meeting

*Other disclosures* – The Board tentatively decided to require disclosures about:

- Gross amounts and expiration dates of carryforwards recorded on a tax return
- Tax-effected amounts and expiration dates of carryforwards that give rise to a deferred tax asset
- Total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that offset deferred tax assets related to carryforwards

The additional disclosure requirements would apply to both public and nonpublic entities.

**Next Steps**

The Board instructed its staff to:

- Conduct further outreach with stakeholders including discussions with the Private Company Council.
- Begin drafting a proposed ASU for public comment for all the tentative decisions reached to date regarding income tax disclosure requirements including disclosure requirements related to indefinitely reinvested foreign earnings and unrecognized tax benefits.
Appendix – SBC Proposal Example
Excess tax benefits (NQSO)

Example

Facts
• Company A grants a nonqualified stock option
  – $30 fair value, fully vested at grant date in 20X1
  – Strike price equals market price on date of grant = $40
  – 40% tax rate
  – $80 share price upon exercise in 20X2

Question
• What are the income tax journal entries for 20X1 and 20X2?
**Excess tax benefits (NQSO)**

**Example**

**Solution**

- **20X1** — fair value = $30, fully vested at grant, 40% tax rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal entries</th>
<th>Current Guidance</th>
<th>Proposed Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR Deferred tax asset</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR Deferred tax expense</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **20X2** — upon exercise, actual deduction = $40 ($80 market less $40 strike, excess deduction = $10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal entries</th>
<th>Current Guidance</th>
<th>Proposed Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR Deferred tax expense</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR Deferred tax asset</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR Current taxes payable</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>$16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR APIC</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR Current tax expense</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Excess tax benefits (NQSO)
Example (Con’t)

Facts

• Assume the same facts as the previous example except the intrinsic value on the date of exercise was $240.
• Also assume the pre-tax book income was $50 in 20X2

Question

• Does the NOL of $150 require a valuation allowance?
Share-based payments

Current guidance

Company ABC
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
(in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of property and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expense (income), net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses (gains) on sales of marketable securities, net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expense (income), net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable, net and other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses and other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions to unearned revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of previously unearned revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of property and equipment, including internal-use software and website development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and maturities of marketable securities and other investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of marketable securities and other investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock repurchased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from long-term debt and other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayments of long-term debt, capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lease, and finance lease obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign-currency effect on cash and cash equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:
Cash paid for interest on long-term debt | 97 | 31 | 14 |
Cash paid for income taxes (net of refunds) | 169 | 112 | 33 |
Property and equipment acquired under capital leases | 1,867 | 802 | 753 |
Property and equipment acquired under | 877 | 29 | 259 |
Share-based payments
As proposed

Company ABC
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
(in millions)

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</td>
<td>8,084</td>
<td>5,269</td>
<td>3,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of property and equipment</td>
<td>3,253</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>1,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expense (income), net</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses (gains) on sales of marketable securities, net</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expense (income), net</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>(156)</td>
<td>(265)</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation</td>
<td>(78)</td>
<td>(429)</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>(1,410)</td>
<td>(999)</td>
<td>1,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable, net and other</td>
<td>(846)</td>
<td>(861)</td>
<td>(866)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>1,888</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>2,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses and other</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions to unearned revenue</td>
<td>2,691</td>
<td>1,796</td>
<td>1,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of previously unearned revenue</td>
<td>(2,292)</td>
<td>(1,521)</td>
<td>(1,021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</td>
<td>5,475</td>
<td>4,180</td>
<td>3,903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

Purchases of property and equipment, including internal-use software and website development | (3,444) | (3,785) | (1,811) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and other | (312) | (745) | (705) |
| Sales and maturities of marketable securities and other investments | 2,306 | 4,237 | 6,843 |
| Purchases of marketable securities and other investments | (2,826) | (3,302) | (6,257) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (4,276) | (3,585) | (1,930) |

FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 78 | 429 | 62 |
| Common stock repurchased | | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt and other | 394 | 3,378 | 177 |
| Repayments of long-term debt, capital | (1,011) | (588) | (444) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (539) | 2,259 | (462) |
| Foreign-currency effect on cash and cash equivalents | (39) | (29) | 1 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 574 | 2,815 | 1,492 |

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</td>
<td>8,658</td>
<td>8,084</td>
<td>5,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid for interest on long-term debt</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid for income taxes (net of refunds)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment acquired under capital leases</td>
<td>1,867</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment acquired under</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UK Diverted Profits Tax
DPT Effective Date, Tax Rate & Penalties

• Effective date 4/1/15 (enacted 3/26/15)
• 25% tax rate for all industries except oil and gas which is subject to 55%
• DPT is in addition to and not credited against regular 20% UK corporate tax rate
• Late payment penalty 5%, 10% or 15% (depending on months overdue)
• Late notification penalty
  – up to 6 mos. 100 GBP
  – 6 -12 mos. 5% of DPT
  – > 12 mos. Up to 200% of DPT
DPT Scope

• Applies to structures that avoid UK Permanent Establishment
  • “Tax Mismatch Condition” – “effective tax mismatch outcome” (ETMO) and “insufficient economic substance condition”
  • “Tax Avoidance Condition”

• Potential applicable structures and arrangements
  • internet based retailers where goods are delivered from UK warehouses, but the sales are considered to be made by a foreign company with no UK PE;
  • groups which sign global contracts with customers with sales/marketing support; implementation/after sales support from the UK (IT business);
  • groups which use principal/commissionaire structures.
A foreign company ("FC") sells products to customers located throughout the world. The group operates in the UK through a subsidiary which employs a team of UK based individuals assisting with sales and marketing activity throughout Europe. The activity is remunerated on a cost plus basis. All sales contracts, however, are concluded by customers directly with the foreign parent. All of the group’s IP is held in a subsidiary ("IPco") and is not subject to tax on the income. Sales activity by FC generates a royalty payment from FC to IPco.
DPT Example Analysis

• Based on the details of the arrangement between the UK ops and the FC there is good reason to assume that they are designed to ensure that FC is not trading in the UK through a PE.

• ETMO and insufficient economic substance tests.
  a) ETMO:
    i) The relevant transaction is the royalty payment from FC to IPCo
    ii) The expenses of FC are increased as a result of the provision
    iii) FC achieves a tax saving at 35% whereas IPco is not subject to tax on the income
    iv) The 80% requirement is not met.
  b) Insufficient Economic Substance:

  IPco has only one employee and management confirmed that the value added by this individual is not significant in relation to either the tax benefits or the income received by IPco.
DPT Example Conclusion

- Based on the above, it would appear that the ETMO and the insufficient economic substance tests are met.
- Consequently, DPT may apply and FC would be subject to tax in the UK on an appropriate proportion of the profits from all sales arising from the UK-related activity.
DPT Exemptions

- SME Exemption
  - Less than 250 employees
  - Revenue \( \leq 50 \) million or total assets \( \leq 43 \) million EUR consolidated group basis

- Avoided PE Exemption
  - Foreign multinational total UK-related sales \( \leq 10 \) million or UK-related expenses \( \leq 1 \) million GBP
DPT Compliance Obligations

- If applicable Company (Co.) required notification to UK Tax Authority (HMRC) due within 3 months of period end
- HMRC may issue prelim. assessment 2 years from period end
- Co. reply due within 30 days or 4 years from HRMC prelim. assessment date depending if notification was made by Co. to HRMC
- After Co. reply to prelim assessment HRMC must issue charging notice or confirm no notice within 30 days of representation period
- DPT due within 30 days of charging notice
- HMRC review & amend up to 12 months from issue of notice
- Once review period over taxpayers have right to file appeal within 30 days before charging notice becomes final
DPT - Avoided PE

• Tax Avoidance Condition: Notional PE profits of foreign company – profits which would have been assessed to UK CT if actual PE had been created using the authorized OECD approach to branch profits allocation

• Tax Mismatch Condition: DPT depends upon whether the parties would have undertaken the same type of transactions(s) as they actually did, if tax had not been a relevant consideration
  − If yes calculate notional PE profits of foreign company
  − In all other cases calculate by reference to alternative transactions that would have been undertaken in absence of tax considerations

• HMRC Preliminary Assessment - “best of judgment”- 30% deduction reduction
DPT - Insufficient Economic Substance

- If transactions taken without tax consideration – DPT based on arm’s length transfer pricing

- In all other cases, DPT based on alternative transactions that it is reasonable to assume would have been undertaken in absence of tax considerations.

- HMRC Preliminary Assessment - “best of judgment”- 30% deduction reduction
DPT Accounting Implications

• Current Taxes - Estimated effective rate impact begins in quarter that includes the effective date of 4/1/15

• Deferred Taxes – if applicable, recognize discrete event in quarter that includes the date of legislation enactment (3/26/15)

• Uncertain Tax Positions

• Interest and Penalties
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