35th Annual TEI-SJSU High Tech Tax Institute

Still Keeping to the BEAT
November 5, 2019

Presenters

David Forst
Partner
Fenwick & West LLP

Gabe Gartner
Principal
PwC

Peter Merkel
Branch Chief
Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Int’l) Br. 5, IRS

Taylor Reid
Partner
Baker McKenzie

Elena Virgadamo
Senior Advisor
Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Int’l, IRS
Overview of the BEAT
Base Erosion and Anti-Abuse Tax (BEAT)

- Section 59A is an alternative minimum tax imposed on US corporate taxpayers making “base erosion payments”
- 10% tax on “modified taxable income” (5% in 2018, 12.5% after 2025)
  - 1% higher rate for certain banks and securities dealers
- Key concepts
  - Applicable taxpayer
  - Base erosion percentage
  - Base erosion tax benefit
  - Base erosion payment
  - Base erosion minimum tax

Applicable Taxpayer under the BEAT

- Three requirements:
  - A corporation other than an RIC, REIT, or S corporation
  - $500 million in average gross receipts in preceding 3 years
  - A “base erosion percentage” of 3% or more
    (2% for certain banks and securities dealers)
- Aggregate all persons treated as a single employer under section 52
- Only include foreign corporations with ECI
- Staying below the 3% threshold turns off the BEAT!
Base Erosion Percentage

Ratio of “base erosion tax benefits” to:

- Total deductions
- Plus other base erosion tax benefits related to reinsurance and payments to surrogate foreign corporations (SFCs) that reduce gross receipts
- Minus deductions under sections 172, 245A, and 250
- Minus payments for certain excluded services and qualified derivatives

\[
\text{Base Erosion Tax Benefits} \\
\frac{\text{Deductions} + \text{Other Benefits}}{- \text{Excluded Deductions}}
\]

Base Erosion Tax Benefit

In general …

any deduction allowed for an amount paid or accrued by a corporation to a foreign person that is a related party
Base Erosion Payment

- Payment to a foreign related party
  - for which a deduction is allowable
  - to acquire depreciable property
  - premium or other consideration for reinsurance
- Special rule for a foreign related person that becomes a SFC after November 9, 2017
  - Payments to the SFC or foreign affiliates of the SFC that reduce gross receipts (e.g., COGS)

Base Erosion Payment Exceptions

- Payments that reduce gross receipts (e.g., COGS) other than to a SFC
- Payments at cost for low-margin services
  - Services qualify for the services cost method (without regard to the business judgment rule)
  - Exception applies to the cost, without a mark-up
- Payments for qualified derivative contracts
- Payments subject to withholding tax at full statutory rate
Base Erosion Minimum Tax

The BEAT tax equals the excess of

- Modified taxable income $\times$ the BEAT tax rate, over
- Regular tax liability, reduced (but not below zero) by excess of credits allowed over R&D credits + limited §38 credits

Modified taxable income equals

- Regular taxable income
- Plus base erosion tax benefits
- Plus base erosion percentage $\times$ NOL deduction under §172

Proposed BEAT Regulations
SCM Exception

- Propose regulations confirm that cost reimbursement qualifies, while mark-up on costs does not.
- Qualifying for the exception:
  - Not on “black list” of Treas. Reg. §1.482-9(b)(4)
  - On “white list” of “specified covered services” in Rev. Proc. 2007-13 OR median comparable mark-up of 7% or less
- Can you charge more than 7% mark-up and still qualify?
- Include stock-based compensation in the cost base?

Anti-Abuse Rules

**Statute** targets avoidance through:
- unrelated parties, conduits, or other intermediaries
- transactions that
  - characterize payments as not subject to BEAT, or
  - substitute payments not subject to BEAT for payments that are subject to BEAT

**Proposed regulations** address only these transactions, with “a principal purpose” of avoiding BEAT:
- Intermediary as a conduit
- Increase the denominator of the Base Erosion Percentage
- Avoid rules applicable to banks and registered securities dealers
Non-Recognition Transactions

- Proposed regulations treat non-cash consideration in non-recognition transactions as an amount “paid or accrued” to acquire property.
- Thus depreciation/amortization of basis in transferred assets is a base erosion tax benefit.
- Deemed non-cash consideration also can give rise to a BEAT issue.
- Does not apply to section 301 distributions.

Other Issues in Proposed Regulations

- No netting of reciprocal payment obligations.
  - But net deduction okay if computed on net basis under “generally applicable tax law”.
  - No detail provided on principles like conduit and substance-over-form.
- Base erosion percentage applied to NOL carryforwards based on year in which the NOL arose.
- Generally apply the aggregate approach to partnerships.
- Exceptions for ECI and 988 losses.
  - What about payments subject to subpart F or GILTI?
Outbound Service Fees

- Contract manufacturing could be included in COGs
- SCM exception likely applies to G&A services
- Sales and R&D likely treated as base erosion payments
- What about marketing or data center costs?
Convert Sales Agent to Reseller

- No more outbound payment
- Subpart F treatment of sales income generally the same
- Possible transfer pricing impact on sales profit
- Not always easy/possible to change the contracting party

R&D Service Branch

-Eliminates outbound payment
-Foreign branch income
  - Separate FTC basket
  - Not FDII
Cost Sharing

- R&D services fee disregarded
- R&D costs included in IDC pool
- CST could be US-inbound
- PCT royalty also US-inbound, and could qualify for FDII rate

Inbound Structures
US Reseller of Goods

- BEAT generally not a problem
- Outbound payment is COGS
- Not a BEAT payment unless Parent is a new SFC
- Identify additional costs that can be capitalized to inventory cost

US Manufacturer of Goods

- BEAT should be manageable
- Royalty for licensed IP
- Royalty avoids BEAT if included in COGS under 263A
- May require change of accounting method
US Reseller of Services

- In contrast to goods, resale of services is a problem
- Outbound payment is deductible, not a reduction in gross receipts
- BEAT payment unless services cost method applies

Convert US Reseller to Sales Agent

- No more outbound payment
- Royalty-free trademark license
- Not always easy/possible to change the contracting party
Shared Services Hub

- BEAT on round-tripping of costs
- US share is BEAT, even if originally incurred in US
- This type of services may qualify for services cost method
- Still have issue on mark-up

Cost Contribution Arrangement

- Avoid round-tripping
  - Pool and allocate costs rather than charge in both directions
  - Recharge costs within US
  - Can reduce or eliminate US-outbound payments
Questions