Synthesis of Differentially Protected *myo*- and *chiro*-Inositols from D-Xylose: Stereoselectivity in Intramolecular SmI₂-Promoted Pinacol Reactions

Giovanni Luchetti,^a Kejia Ding,^b Alexander Kornienko,^{*a} Marc d'Alarcao^{*b}

Fax +1(408)9244945; E-mail: mdalarcao@science.sjsu.edu

Received 20 May 2008

Dedicated to Professor E. J. Corey on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract: Methods for the enantioselective conversion of D-xylose into differentially protected *myo*-inositol and L-*chiro*-inositol have been developed. The key transformation is a highly diastereoselective intramolecular SmI_2 -promoted pinacol coupling. The stereoselectivity was extremely dependent on the conditions, suggesting a change in mechanism. Preliminary mechanistic experiments and possible explanations for this behavior are discussed.

Key words: inositol, pinacol, samarium, stereoselective, carbohydrates

Natural phosphorylated and/or glycosylated inositols have been found to play central roles in a variety of cellsignaling pathways in animals,^{1–9} in desiccation tolerance in plants,^{10–15} and as protein anchors in all eukaryotes.^{16,17} These diverse, and in some cases medically useful, activities have stimulated great interest in the synthesis of these compounds and their analogues.^{18–29} In most of the reported syntheses, the preparation of an appropriately protected inositol is prerequisite, but the availability of these key building blocks is limited by lengthy syntheses and a need for the resolution of enantiomers in the case of preparations originating with *myo*-inositol. The challenges and successes in these approaches have been extensively reviewed.^{30–32}

Our approach to this problem has been to avoid the requirement for resolution of enantiomers by developing enatiospecific syntheses of the important differentially protected inositols from D-xylose. Our preliminary work in this area has been published previously^{33,34} and we now report the complete details of our study. Of particular importance is the observation that the SmI₂-mediated intramolecular pinacol coupling of a pseudo- C_2 -symmetric dialdehyde **6** proceeds by a different mechanism depending on the conditions, with dramatic effect on the stereoselectivity (Scheme 1).

The preceding paper³⁵ in this issue describes the conversion of 2,3,4-tribenzyl-D-xylose (1) into dienols 3 and 4 with selectivity for either depending on the conditions. However, since 3 and 4 are not easily separable, the mix-

SYNTHESIS 2008, No. x, pp 000A–000G Advanced online publication: x.x.2008 DOI: 10.1055/s-2008-1067259; Art ID: C03608SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York ture was silylated (triisopropylsilyl chloride, DMF, pyridine, $AgNO_3$), and the silylated mixture was readily separated by silica gel chromatography to produce pure **5a**



Scheme 1 Synthesis of differentially protected *myo*-inositols from D-xylose

^a Department of Chemistry, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, NM 87801, USA Fax +1(575)8355364; E-mail: akornien@nmt.edu

^b Department of Chemistry, San José State University, San José, CA 95192-0101, USA

(R = TIPS) and **12** (see Scheme 5 for structure). Treatment of **5a** with ozone at -78 °C resulted in rapid (<5 min) consumption of the starting material, producing the dialdehyde **6a** (R = TIPS), after reduction of the ozonide with dimethyl sulfide. Although the aldehyde could be isolated for ¹H NMR characterization, it was somewhat fragile and the subsequent reaction was generally conducted without isolation of **6a**. SmI₂-promoted pinacol coupling of **6a** under standard conditions³⁶ [SmI₂ (3 equiv), *t*-BuOH (3 equiv), THF, -78 to 20 °C over 5 h] produced a disappointing 1:2.5 ratio of **7a:8a** (R = TIPS). The structures of **7a** and **8a** were established as previously described³³ and confirmed by desilylation (Bu₄NF, THF), to produce known tribenzyl-*myo*-inositols **7i**^{37,38} and **8i**.³⁹

Since 7a has the correct differential protection pattern for application in the synthesis of most of the known natural *myo*-inositol glycan (IG) structures,^{26,40–51} we sought to alter the stereoselectivity in the pinacol reaction to favor 7. Accordingly, we studied the effect of the R group in 6 on the pinacol cyclization, since this group is responsible for the only difference between 7 and 8 (i.e., if R = Bn, then **6** is C_2 symmetric and **7** = **8**). Each precursor **5b**-h was prepared by desilylation of 5a (Bu₄NF, THF) to produce pure 3, then resilvation (5b–f), alkylation (5g), or acylation (5h). The results (Table 1) show that the SmI_2 -mediated pinacol reaction under standard conditions is only modestly sensitive to steric factors in the R group, and that larger R groups favor 8. In the best case (6f, R = TMS) only a modest (1.5:1) selectivity for 7 was realized, clearly unacceptable for an efficient multistep synthesis of IGs.

 Table 1
 Stereoselectivity in Pinacol Cyclization of 6^a

Compound	R	Additive	Ratio 7:8
6a	TIPS	none	1:2.5
6b	TBDPS	none	1:2.5
6b	TBDPS	TMSCl (6 equiv)	1:2.5
6b	TBDPS	HMPA (10%)	trans-diol
6c	TBS	none	1:2
6d	TES	none	1:1
6d	TES	TMSCl (6 equiv)	1:1
6d	TES	HMPA (10%)	trans-diol
6e	DMIPS	none	1:1
6f	TMS	none	1.5:1
6g	PMB	none	1:1

 $^{\rm a}$ Standard conditions: SmI_ (3 equiv), *t*-BuOH (3 equiv), THF, –78 to 20 °C.

Addition of HMPA (10% v/v in THF) resulted in drastically reduced production of **7** or **8**, with *trans*-diol becoming the major product. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the predominant formation of *cis*-diol products in SmI₂-promoted pinacol coupling reactions⁵² is due to chelation of the ketyl oxygens by Sm(III) during the course of the cyclization; strongly chelating HMPA presumably competes with the oxygen atoms for the Sm(III) ion leading to a predominating unchelated transition state. Addition of chlorotrimethylsilane, known to accelerate SmI₂-promoted pinacol reactions,⁵³ had no effect on the stereoselectivity (Table 1).

To evaluate the effect of changes in electronic demand of the R group in **6** on the course of the cyclization, we subjected **6g** (R = PMB) and **6h** (R = Bz) to reaction with SmI₂. In the case of **6g**, no selectivity was observed, but in the case of **6h** the reaction took a different course (Scheme 2) producing cyclopentyl aldehyde **10**, which was revealed by ¹H NMR and MALDI-TOF MS to exist as a dimer. The structure was confirmed by reduction of **10** with NaBH₄ to produce the known alcohol **11**.⁵⁴



Scheme 2 Reductive elimination of 6h produced a polyoxygenated cyclopentane

Surprisingly, a small change in the reaction conditions for the SmI₂-promoted pinacol cyclization of **6a** had a profound effect on the stereoselectivity. When **6a** was treated with 6 equivalents of SmI₂ at -78 °C for 20 minutes, followed by dropwise addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ at -78 °C and *then* warming to 20 °C, diol **7** was obtained in 64% yield (from **5**) with only a trace of isomeric **8** being observed.

To explore the sensitivity of the high stereoselectivity under these modified conditions to the R group in **6** we subjected **6a**, **6c**, **6d**, and **6g** to this reaction. The stereoselectivity under the modified conditions (Table 2) is more sensitive to the steric bulk in R than under the normal conditions and is in the opposite direction: bulkier substituents favor formation of **7**.

The dramatic change in stereoselectivity in the pinacol coupling reaction is intriguing and suggests a change in mechanism under the modified conditions. To explore the origin of this effect, we conducted a number of experiments designed to identify the important variables in the stereoselectivity (Table 3).

 Table 2
 Stereoselectivity in Pinacol Cyclization of 6^a

Compound	R	Ratio 7:8
6a	TIPS	>20:1
6c	TBS	2.9:1
6d	TES	2.7:1
6g	PMB	1:1

 a Modified conditions: SmI_2 (6 equiv), THF, –78 °C; sat. aq NaHCO_3, –78 °C; warm to 20 °C.

First, we established that the key element in the stereoselectivity is the temperature of addition of water: omission of *t*-BuOH from the standard conditions (entry 1) or omission of the NaHCO₃ from the aqueous quench under modified conditions (entry 3) did not affect the stereoselectivity. On the other hand, warming to -25 °C or above prior to addition of water (entries 1, 4, 8, and 14) always resulted in poor stereoselectivities favoring **8**, if any selectivity was seen at all.

When O_2 (air) or I_2 was admitted to the reaction mixture at -78 °C (entries 6 and 7), dialdehyde **6a** was recovered from the reaction. This suggests that the intermediate, let's call it **A**, formed from Sm(II) reduction of one or both aldehydes at low temperature is formed reversibly and can be readily reoxidized to aldehyde. However, if aqueous NaHCO₃ is added at low temperature and *then* O₂ (air) is admitted at -78 °C (entry 5), the reaction proceeds to diol product with high selectivity for **7**. In contrast, warming to 20 °C without aqueous NaHCO₃ addition and then recooling and admitting O₂ (air) results in diol products **7** and **8** without stereoselectivity (entry 8) showing that the act of warming also commits the reaction to products.

These results suggest that water reacts with the reversibly formed low-temperature intermediate \mathbf{A} to produce a more reactive intermediate \mathbf{B} that proceeds to product at low temperature and with high stereoselectivity, while warming without water ultimately results in reaction of \mathbf{A} to form products, but with poor stereoselectivity.

We performed a series of experiments to see if we could trap intermediates **A** or **B** by addition of hydrogen atom donors (entries 15, 20, and 21) or acids and bases of various types (entries 9, 10, 17–19), but without success. We also evaluated the temperature dependence of the stereoselectivity (entries 11–14) and found that the high selectivity for **7** is maintained up to -50 °C, and then disappears by -25 °C suggesting that the reaction of **A** to products occurs in this temperature range in the absence of water.

The identities of **A** and **B** remain unknown, but a hypothesis that is consistent with our data and literature precedent is shown in Scheme 3. According to this proposal, reduction of **6a** at -78 °C results in ketyl **A1** that rapidly proceeds via **A2** to cyclized radical anion **A3**. This cyclization is expected to proceed preferentially via a transition state in which the incipient alkoxy radical is in the

Table 3 The effect of Reaction Conditions on the Stereoselectivity of SmI_2 -Promoted Pinacol Cyclization of $6a^a$

Entry	Reaction conditions	Quench conditions	Ratio 7:8
1	–78 °C, 10 min, then 20 °C, 5 h	NaHCO ₃ at 20 °C	1:2.5
2	–78 °C, 10 min	NaHCO ₃ at –78 °C	>20:1
3	–78 °C, 10 min	H_2O at -78 °C	>20:1
4	–78 °C, 10 min, then 20 °C, 10 min	O ₂ (air)	1:1
5	–78 °C, 10 min	NaHCO ₃ at -78 °C, then O ₂ (air) at -78 °C	>20:1
6	–78 °C, 10 min	O_2 (air) at -78 °C	_b
7	–78 °C, 10 min	I_2 at -78 °C	_b
8	–78 °C, 10 min, then 20 °C, 10 min, then –78 °C, 10 min	NaHCO ₃ at –78 °C	1:1
9	–78 °C, 10 min	1 M NH ₄ OH at -78 °C	>20:1
10	–78 °C, 10 min	2 M HCl at -78 °C	>20:1
11	–72 °C, 30 min	NaHCO ₃ at -72 °C	>20:1
12	–61 °C, 30 min	NaHCO ₃ at -61 °C	>20:1
13	–50 °C, 30 min	NaHCO ₃ at -50 °C	>20:1
14	–25 °C, 30 min	NaHCO ₃ at -25 °C	1:1.5
15	–78 °C, 10 min	Bu ₃ SnH, then NaHCO ₃ at –78 °C	>20:1
16	–78 °C, 10 min	CuBr, then NaHCO ₃ at -78 °C	>20:1
17	–78 °C, 10 min	MeOH, then NaHCO ₃ at –78 °C	>20:1
18	–78 °C, 10 min	PhCO ₂ H, then NaHCO ₃ at -78 °C	>20:1
19	–78 °C, 10 min	CF ₃ CO ₂ H, then NaHCO ₃ at -78 °C	>20:1
20	–78 °C, 10 min	Bu ₃ SnH, then O ₂ (air) at -78 °C	_b
21	–78 °C, 10 min	PhSH, then O ₂ (air) at -78 °C	_b

 $^{\rm a}$ The reactions were performed with 6 equiv of $\rm SmI_2$ in THF under the conditions listed.

^b Starting material **6a** was recovered.

equatorial position (Scheme 4), leading to the A3 isomer in which the samarium-chelated oxygens are *trans* to the OTIPS group (*trans*-A3). A similar stereoselectivity has been reported in other 6-*exo-trig* radical cyclizations.⁵⁵

Since SmI₂ reduction of aldehydes is known to be an inner sphere process requiring bonding of the Sm(II) center to the electron acceptor,⁵⁶ A3 is stable at -78 °C, because the reducible oxygen atom is flanked by the bulky Sm(III)



Scheme 3 A hypothesis for the origin of the observed change in stereoselectivity in the SmI_2 -promoted cyclization of **6a** as a function of temperature of quenching



Scheme 4 Stereoselective formation of 7a

and TIPS centers, precluding further coordination. Intermediate A3 would be expected to reoxidize to 6a when treated with O₂ or I₂, as observed. If water is admitted to the reaction at low temperature, the samarium alkoxyl bond hydrolyzes leading to intermediate B that is now accessible to an irreversible inner sphere electron transfer by a second Sm(II) to produce products retaining the stereochemical integrity of A3, thus leading to 7a with high selectivity.

In contrast, when the reaction mixture is warmed above -50 °C, the rate of the reverse sequence (i.e., $A3 \rightarrow A2 \rightarrow A1$) would increase significantly. Reversibility in the addition of ketyls to carbonyls has been previously reported.^{57–59} The uncoordinated aldehyde in A1 would then be accessible to be reduced by Sm(II) to produce diketyl C, which upon ligand exchange to produce D would cyclize very rapidly. Because of the high reactivity of D, the selectivity is lower, producing a 1:2.5 ratio of 7a to 8a.

Furthermore, the weak dependence of the stereoselectivity in the cyclization on the size of the R-group in **6** (Table 1) under the higher temperature conditions is consistent with the high reactivity of **D**, whereas the much stronger dependence of the selectivity on R under the modified conditions (Table 2) is consistent with the change in steric influence of R on the energies of the transition states shown in Scheme 4 as OTIPS is replaced with smaller groups.

A second mechanistic possibility that cannot be ruled out from our data is that **6a** does not react with SmI₂ at -78 °C at any appreciable rate. When water is added, the SmI₂ becomes a stronger reducing agent,⁶⁰ accelerating the reduction of 6a, with the resulting ketyl proceeding on to product via any of several pathways. In the absence of water, reaction only occurs when the temperature exceeds -50 °C and the rate of reduction becomes appreciable. According to this hypothesis, the difference in stereoselectivity is due to the large difference in the temperature at which the cyclization occurs, with the lower temperature cyclization producing high selectivity for 7a. This proposal is consistent with our inability to trap any intermediates at -78 °C, but the large magnitude of the change in stereoselectivity is surprising. This may reflect a different reduction mechanism with the more reactive H_2O-SmI_2 species, perhaps to an outer sphere process. Clearly, additional mechanistic studies are required to further clarify the origin of this remarkable change in stereoselectivity.

The conversion of the other diene isomer **12** into a cyclitol was much simpler because a single isomer was obtained, as expected based on the literature precedent³⁶ (Scheme 5). Accordingly, ozonolysis of **12** produced dialdehyde **13**, which was only moderately stable and hence used further without purification. Pinacol cyclization under standard conditions³⁶ (3 equiv SmI₂, 3 equiv *t*-BuOH, THF, –78 to 20 °C over 5 h) produced desired L-*chiro*inositol **14** in 58% overall yield. The structure of **14** was confirmed by its conversion into pentabenzyl-(–)-quebrachitol as previously described.³⁴

In conclusion, highly efficient syntheses of differentially protected *myo*- and L-*chiro*-inositols have been developed. An intriguing change in mechanism affecting stereoselectivity has been observed in the SmI_2 -promoted pinacol reaction warranting further study. It should also be noted that since both enantiomers of aldehyde **2** are readily available from the very inexpensive D-xylose pre-



Scheme 5 Synthesis of a differentially protected *chiro*-inositol via SmI₂-promoted pinacol coupling

cursor,⁶¹ the procedure described here also provides a straightforward preparation of the D-*chiro*-inositol skeleton.

Unless otherwise noted, all commercially obtained reagents were used without purification. THF was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use. CH2Cl2 was distilled from CaCl2. Reactions were carried out under argon in oven-dried glassware using standard syringe, cannula, and septa techniques. Ozonolysis was performed with a flow of O₃ in dry O₂ produced by a Welsbach ozonator operating at 0.05 CFM. Reactions were monitored by TLC (Silica Gel 60 F_{254} , 250 μ m) and visualized with UV light and/or heating with a *p*-anisaldehyde stain (2.5% *p*-anisaldehyde, 3.5% H₂SO₄, 1% AcOH, 93% EtOH) or a Haines-Isherwood stain (1 g $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ ·4H₂O, 10 mL of aq 1 M HCl, 3 mL of HClO₄, 90 mL H₂O). Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (32-63 μm). Optical rotations were measured with an Autopol III automatic polarimeter. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz, Jeol 300 MHz, or a Varian Inova 400 MHz spectrometer.

(4*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-Tribenzyloxy-(3*S*)-triisopropylsilyloxyocta-1,7-diene (5a)

To the crude mixture of **3** and **4** (3:1, 2.4 g, 5.4 mmol) obtained as described in the preceding paper,³⁵ in DMF (12 mL) and pyridine (1.2 mL) was added AgNO₃ (3.7 g, 22 mmol) followed by triisopropylsilyl chloride (2.3 mL, 10.8 mmol) at 20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C, after which it was diluted with Et₂O (40 mL) and H₂O (40 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with additional Et₂O (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed (hexane–Et₂O, 97:3) to give **12** (0.69 g, 18% over 3 steps) and **5a** (2.07 g, 54% over 3 steps); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +9.7 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.35–7.23 (m, 15 H), 6.13–6.01 (m, 1 H), 5.86–5.74 (m, 1 H), 5.27–5.05 (m, 4 H), 4.79–4.58 (m, 5 H), 4.40–4.35 (m, 2 H), 4.09 (ψt, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.79 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.65 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 5.2 Hz, 1 H), 0.75–1.60 (m, 21 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 139.2, 138.9, 138.6, 136.1, 128.3, 128.2, 128.17, 128.13, 127.9, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 118.8, 115.2, 81.9, 80.2, 78.1, 74.5, 74.1, 73.8, 70.6, 18.2, 12.6.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{38}H_{52}O_4Si + Na (M + Na)^+$: 623.3533; found: 623.3517.

Desilylation of 5a,12

To **5a** or **12** (40 mg, 0.067 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added TBAF (0.32 mL of 1.0 M soln in THF, 0.32 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was then warmed to r.t. and stirred for 40 min. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed (hexane–EtOAc, 6: 1) to give pure **3** (24 mg, 82%) or **4** (26 mg, 87%).

SPECIAL TOPIC

(3*S*,4*R*,5*R*)-Tribenzyloxy-(6*S*)-hydroxyocta-1,7-diene (3 = 5i) $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +9.7 (*c* 0.4, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.32–7.25 (m, 15 H), 6.02–5.82 (m, 2H), 5.37–5.14 (m, 4 H), 4.77–4.61 (m, 5 H), 4.36 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.10 (m, 2 H), 3.74 (m, 2 H), 2.63 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 138.3, 138.2, 137.9, 135.3, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 119.3, 116.3, 81.8, 81.4, 80.2, 74.8, 72.8, 72.0, 70.8.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{29}H_{32}O_4$ + Na (M + Na)⁺: 467.2198; found: 467.2201.

(3*S*,4*R*,5*R*)-Tribenzyloxy-(6*R*)-hydroxyocta-1,7-diene (4) $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +24.8 (*c* 1.8, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.25 (m, 15 H), 5.98–5.82 (m, 2 H), 5.41–5.22 (m, 4 H), 4.90 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.76–4.56 (m, 4 H), 4.43 (m, 2 H), 4.24 (ψt, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.62 (ψt, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.20 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 138.7, 138.5, 138.4, 138.0, 135.4, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 119.1, 115.6, 82.1, 82.0, 80.0, 75.3, 75.1, 72.0, 70.5.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{29}H_{32}O_4$ + Na (M +Na)⁺: 467.2198; found: 467.2190.

$(3S,4S,5R)\mbox{-}Tribenzyloxy\mbox{-}(2R)\mbox{-}triisopropylsilyloxy\mbox{-}1,6\mbox{-}hex-anedial (6a)$

A solution of **5a** (1.0 g, 1.6 mmol) in pyridine (0.5 mL), CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL), and MeOH (20 mL) was cooled to -78 °C and subjected to a flow of O₃ in dry O₂ (0.05 CFM). When TLC indicated complete disappearance (about 5 min) of **5a** [hexane–EtOAc, 9:1, R_f (**5a**) = 0.55], Me₂S (5 mL) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to 20 °C and kept for 1 h, after which it was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in Et₂O (40 mL), and the Et₂O layer was washed with aq 1 M NH₄Cl (20 mL), H₂O (20 mL), brine, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated to give 990 mg of crude **6a**. This residue was co-evaporated with heptane (2 × 10 mL) and toluene (2 × 10 mL) and then used directly in the next reaction.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.80 (s, 1 H), 9.78 (s, 1 H), 7.40– 7.15 (m, 15 H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.63 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.38 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.29 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.05–3.94 (m, 2 H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.04 (m, 21 H).

3,4,5-Tri-O-benzyl-6-O-triisopropylsilyl-D-myo-inositol (7a) and 4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-3-O-triisopropylsilyl-D-myo-inositol (8a)

To a 0.1 M solution of SmI₂ in THF (100 mL) was added dropwise a solution of the above crude dialdehyde **6a** (990 mg) in THF (50 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 20 min, then aq sat. NaHCO₃ (40 mL) was added dropwise via a syringe. The cold bath was removed and after 10 min, the flask was opened to the atmosphere and allowed to warm up. H₂O (100 mL) was added and the white slurry was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 150 mL). The organic layer was washed with 10% aq Na₂S₂O₃ (100 mL), brine, and dried (MgSO₄). The product was purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂-benzene–EtOAc, 50:50:2) to give 632 mg of pure **7a** (64% yield over 2 steps) followed by 30 mg of pure **8a**.

7a

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –18.2 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35–7.15 (m, 15 H), 4.95 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.83 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.74–4.64 (m, 4 H), 4.17 (m, 1 H), 4.11 (ψ t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.94 (ψ t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.53 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.42 (m, 1 H), 3.33 (ψ t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.54 (br s, 1 H), 2.48 (br d, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 138.9, 138.4, 137.7, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 126.8, 79.0, 78.7, 78.5, 78.1, 77.9, 76.1, 75.7, 75.4, 18.2, 18.1, 13.1.

FAB-HRMS (NBA/NaI): m/z calcd for $C_{36}H_{50}O_6Si + Na (M + Na)^+$: 629.3276; found: 629.3272.

8a

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –20.4 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.37–7.15 (m, 15 H), 4.95 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1 H), 4.88 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1 H), 4.84 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1 H), 4.82 (s, 2 H), 4.78 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1 H), 4.10 (m, 1 H), 3.88–3.75 (m, 3 H), 3.53 (br d, J = 10 Hz, 1 H), 3.46 (ψ t, J = 10 Hz, 1 H), 2.55 (br s, 1 H), 2.41 (br s, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 138.9, 138.6, 138.4, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.0, 79.1, 78.8, 78.5, 78.2, 77.9, 76.1, 75.8, 75.5, 18.1, 12.7.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{36}H_{51}O_6Si (M + H)^+$: 607.3449; found: 607.3463.

3,4,5-Tri-O-benzyl-D-myo-inositol (7i)

To a solution of **7a** (4.0 mg) in THF (0.5 mL) was added 1 M Bu₄NF in THF (0.1 mL, containing 5% H₂O) and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 3 h, and then evaporated. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), washed with H₂O (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (10% MeOH–CH₂Cl₂) to produce pure **7i** (1.5 mg, 51%), whose ¹H NMR spectrum was identical to that reported previously.³⁸

4,5,6-Tri-O-benzyl-D-myo-inositol (8i)

To a solution of **8a** (8 mg, 13.2 µmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added 1 M Bu₄NF in THF (0.2 mL, containing 5% H₂O) and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 15 h, and then evaporated. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL), washed with H₂O (15 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography (10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give pure **8i** (4.9 mg, 83%) whose ¹H NMR spectrum was identical to that reported previously.³⁹

(4*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-Tribenzyloxy-(3*R*)-triisopropylsilyloxyocta-1,7-diene (12)

To the crude mixture of **3** and **4** (1:8.5, 0.72 g, 1.6 mmol) obtained as described in the previous paper,³⁵ in DMF (3.8 mL) and pyridine (0.38 mL) was added AgNO₃ (1.1 g, 6.5 mmol) followed by triisopropylsilyl chloride (0.7 mL, 3.3 mmol) at 20 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C, after which it was diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with additional Et₂O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed (hexane–Et₂O, 97:3) to give **5** (0.072 g, 7% over 3 steps) and **12** (0.62 g, 57% over 3 steps); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +18.2 (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.35–7.24 (m, 15 H), 6.15–6.04 (m, 1H), 5.96–5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.29–5.15 (m, 4 H), 4.88 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.80–4.67 (m, 4 H), 4.62–4.56 (m, 2 H), 4.08 (dd, *J* = 6.1, 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.59 (ψ t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.50–0.80 (m, 21 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 139.4, 138.9, 138.6, 138.5, 135.8, 128.5, 128.3, 128.27, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.6, 127.3, 118.7, 116.0, 84.2, 82.0, 81.4, 77.4, 75.9, 75.0, 70.8, 18.3, 12.5.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{38}H_{52}O_4Si + Na (M + Na)^+$: 623.3533; found: 623.3522.

(3*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-Tribenzyloxy-(2*S*)-triisopropylsilyloxy-1,6-hexanedial (13)

A solution of **12** (65 mg, 0.11 mmol) in pyridine (20 μ L) and CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was cooled to -78 °C and subjected to a flow of O₃ in dry O₂ (0.05 CFM). When TLC indicated the complete disappearance (about 5 min) of **12** [hexane–EtOAc, 9:1, R_f (**12**) = 0.6], Me₂S (0.2 mL) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to 20 °C and kept for 1 h, after which it was treated with H₂O (1.5 mL) and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was reextracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 2 ML), the combined CH₂Cl₂ layers were dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated to produce crude **13**. This residue was used directly in the next reaction.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.68 (s, 1 H), 9.63 (s, 1 H), 7.40– 7.15 (m, 15 H), 4.75 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 2 H), 4.58 (s, 2 H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.25 (s, 1 H), 4.12– 4.05 (m, 2 H), 3.95 (ψt, *J* = 5 Hz, 1 H), 1.04 (m, 21 H).

2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-1-O-triisopropylsilyl-L-chiro-inositol (14)

The crude dialdehyde **13** from above was diluted with *t*-BuOH (30 µL, 0.3 mmol) in THF (6 mL) and added dropwise to a cold (–78 °C) solution of SmI₂ (0.6 mmol) in THF (6 mL). The mixture was stirred at –78 °C for 3 h and then overnight at 20 °C. Aq sat. NaHCO₃ (6 mL) was added and the white slurry was extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with aq 10% Na₂S₂O₃, brine, and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography (hexane–EtOAc, 8:2) afforded **14** (38 mg, 58%) followed by an unidentified isomeric diol (3 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –39.0 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.38–7.26 (m, 15 H), 5.01 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.97 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.81 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.77 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.31 (dd, J = 3.8, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.02–3.89 (m, 3 H), 3.80 (dd, J = 9.8, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.63 (ψt, J = 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.3 (br s, 1 H), 1.65 (br s, 1 H), 1.02 (m, 21 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 138.45, 138.42, 138.3, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.81, 127.77, 127.73, 127.69, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 81.8, 81.0, 80.1, 75.2, 75.1, 73.5, 71.7, 70.9, 70.8, 17.9, 17.8, 12.2.

FAB-HRMS (NBA/NaI): m/z calcd for $C_{36}H_{50}O_6Si + Na (M + Na^+)$: 629.3276; found: 629.3278.

Acknowledgment

A. K. would like to acknowledge the financial support from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (RR-16480 and CA-99957) under the BRIN/INBRE and AREA programs. M.D. acknowledges financial support from the National Institutes of Health (DK-44589 and GM-84819). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Potter, B. V. L.; Lampe, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1933.
- (2) Berridge, M. J.; Irvine, R. F. Nature 1989, 341, 197.
- (3) Varela-Nieto, I.; Leon, Y.; Caro, H. N. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., B: Comp. Biochem. 1996, 115, 223.
- (4) Jones, D. R.; Varela-Nieto, I. Mol. Med. 1999, 5, 505.
- (5) Stralfors, P. Bioessays 1997, 19, 327.

Synthesis 2008, No. x, A-G © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

- (6) Berridge, M. J. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1987, 56, 159.
- (7) Bogdanowicz, P.; Pujol, J. P. M/S Med. Sci. 2001, 17, 577.
- (8) Shashkin, P. N.; Wasner, H. K.; Ortmeyer, H. K.; Hansen, B. C. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* **2001**, *17*, 273.
- (9) McConville, M. J.; Menon, A. K. Mol. Membr. Biol. 2000, 17, 1.
- (10) Obendorf, R. L.; Horbowicz, M.; Dickerman, A. M.; Brenac,
 P.; Smith, M. E. *Crop Sci.* **1998**, *38*, 78.
- (11) Obendorf, R. L. Seed Sci. Res. 1997, 7, 63.
- (12) Szczecinski, P.; Gryff-Keller, A.; Horbowicz, M.; Lahuta, L. B. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2000, 48, 2717.
- (13) Ma, J. M.; Horbowicz, M.; Obendorf, R. L. Seed Sci. Res. 2005, 15, 329.
- (14) Horbowicz, M.; Brenac, P.; Obendorf, R. L. *Planta* 1998, 205, 1.
- (15) Horbowicz, M.; Obendorf, R. L. Crop Sci. 2005, 45, 1264.
- (16) Ferguson, M. A. J. J. Cell. Sci. 1999, 112, 2799.
- (17) Chatterjee, S.; Mayor, S. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2001, 58, 1969.
- (18) Plourde, R.; d'Alarcao, M.; Saltiel, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2606.
- (19) Jaramillo, C.; Chiara, J. L.; Martin-Lomas, M. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3135.
- (20) Khiar, N.; Martin-Lomas, M. Strategies for the Synthesis of Inositol Phosphoglycan Second Messengers, In Carbohydrate Mimics: Concepts and Methodology; Chapleur, Y., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998, 443.
- (21) Lopez-Prados, J.; Martin-Lomas, M. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2005, 24, 393.
 (22) Existence of the provided states of the provided state
- (22) Frick, W.; Bauer, A.; Bauer, J.; Wied, S.; Muller, G. *Biochemistry* 1998, *37*, 13421.
- (23) Jaworek, C. H.; Iacobucci, S.; Calias, P.; d'Alarcao, M. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2001, *331*, 375.
- (24) Chakraborty, N.; d'Alarcao, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 13, 6732.
- (25) Xue, J.; Guo, Z. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 2015.
- (26) Mayer, T. G.; Weingart, R.; Munstermann, F.; Kawada, T.; Kurzchalia, T.; Schmidt, R. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 2563.
- (27) Murakata, C.; Ogawa, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 671.
- (28) Gigg, R.; Gigg, J. Synthesis of Glycosylphoshatidylinositol Anchors, In Glycolipids and Related Compounds; Large, D.; Warren, C. D., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997, 327.
- (29) Prestwich, G. D.; Dorman, G.; Elliott, J. T.; Marecak, D. M.; Chaudhary, A. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1997**, *65*, 222.
- (30) Billington, D. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1989, 18, 83.
- (31) Gultekin, M. S.; Celik, M.; Balci, M. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *8*, 1159.
- (32) Sureshan, K. M.; Shashidhar, M. S.; Praveen, T.; Das, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 4477.
- (33) Kornienko, A.; Turner, D. I.; Jaworek, C. H.; d'Alarcao, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 2783.

- (34) Kornienko, A.; d'Alarcao, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 6497.
- (35) Luchetti, G.; Ding, K.; d'Alarcao, M.; Kornienko, A. Synthesis 2008, 3142.
- (36) Chiara, J. L.; Cabri, W.; Hanessian, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 1125.
- (37) Desai, T.; Fernandez-Mayoralas, A.; Gigg, J.; Gigg, R.; Payne, S. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1990**, *205*, 105.
- (38) Chung, S. K.; Yu, S. H.; Chang, Y. T. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1998, 17, 385.
- (39) Gilbert, I. H.; Holmes, A. B.; Pestchanker, M. J.; Young, R. C. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1992, 234, 117.
- (40) Hederos, M.; Konradsson, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3414.
- (41) Lopez-Prados, J.; Cuevas, F.; Reichardt, N. C.; de Paz, J. L.; Morales, E. Q.; Martin-Lomas, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 764.
- (42) Kwon, Y. U.; Soucy, R. L.; Snyder, D. A.; Seeberger, P. H. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2005, 11, 2493.
- (43) Smith, T. K.; Crossman, A.; Brimacombe, J. S.; Ferguson, M. A. J. *EMBO J.* **2004**, *23*, 4701.
- (44) Lu, J.; Jayaprakash, K. N.; Schlueter, U.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7540.
- (45) Lu, J.; Jayaprakash, K. N.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 879.
- (46) Xue, J.; Guo, Z. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 16334.
- (47) Reichardt, N. C.; Martin-Lomas, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4674.
- (48) Tailler, D.; Ferrieres, V.; Pekari, K.; Schmidt, R. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 679.
- (49) Mayer, T. G.; Schmidt, R. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 1153.
- (50) Baeschlin, D. K.; Chaperon, A. R.; Charbonneau, V.; Green, L. G.; Ley, S. V.; Lucking, U.; Walther, E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3423.
- (51) Murakata, C.; Ogawa, T. Carbohydr. Res. 1992, 235, 95.
- (52) Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Munnich, I.; Nowitzki, O.; Stucke, H.; Williams, D. J. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 11783.
- (53) Honda, T.; Katoh, M. Chem. Commun. 1997, 369.
- (54) Chenede, A.; Pothier, P.; Sollogoub, M.; Fairbanks, A. J.; Sinay, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1373.
- (55) Hiramatsu, N.; Takahashi, N.; Noyori, R.; Mori, Y. Tetrahedron **2005**, *61*, 8589.
- (56) Miller, R. S.; Sealy, J. M.; Shabangi, M.; Kuhlman, M. L.; Fuchs, J. R.; Flowers, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7718.
- (57) Clerici, A.; Porta, O. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 1239.
- (58) Clerici, A.; Porta, O. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5099.
- (59) Clerici, A.; Porta, O.; Riva, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 1043.
- (60) Hasegawa, E.; Curran, D. P. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 5008.
- (61) Kireev, A. S.; Breithaupt, A. T.; Collins, W.; Nadein, O. N.; Kornienko, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 742.