



University Snapshot


Ten-Year Fall Enrollment Trend
(state-supported degree/credential programs)




Top 5 Majors for Undergrads
(fall 2016)



Degrees Awarded
(includes self-support programs)




Enrollment Headcount by Ethnicity
(fall 2016)




Applications and Admissions
(fall 2016)

Freshmen	Applied	31,555
	Admitted	16,862 (53%)
	Enrolled	3,208 (19%)
Undergrad Transfers	Applied	16,224
	Admitted	9,657 (60%)
	Enrolled	3,977 (41%)
Graduate Students	Applied	7,936
	Admitted	3,074 (39%)


Enrollment by Local Origin
(fall 2016)



Graduation Rates
(entering cohorts)



Gender Distribution by College
(both undergraduate and graduate, fall 2016)



**University Student Enrollment
by Gender and Ethnicity**

Headcount	Fall 2012			Fall 2013			Fall 2014			Fall 2015			Fall 2016		
	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total
AmInd	38	21	59	26	19	45	25	19	44	24	22	46	19	17	36
Black	538	502	1,040	512	508	1,020	528	501	1,029	521	489	1,010	495	495	990
Asian	4,610	5,155	9,765	4,710	5,379	10,089	4,840	5,541	10,381	4,884	5,635	10,519	4,787	5,495	10,282
PacIsl	114	151	265	101	128	229	115	127	242	56	59	115	58	59	117
Hisp	3,730	2,761	6,491	3,880	2,978	6,858	4,110	3,297	7,407	4,172	3,429	7,601	4,306	3,492	7,798
White	4,074	3,715	7,789	3,810	3,656	7,466	3,527	3,585	7,112	3,131	3,380	6,511	2,918	3,123	6,041
Foreign	1,095	1,063	2,158	1,331	1,359	2,690	1,645	1,935	3,580	1,808	2,177	3,985	1,730	2,224	3,954
Other	1,559	1,322	2,881	1,495	1,386	2,881	1,448	1,470	2,918	1,430	1,556	2,986	1,399	1,540	2,939
Total	15,758	14,690	30,448	15,865	15,413	31,278	16,238	16,475	32,713	16,026	16,747	32,773	15,712	16,445	32,157

Recent 5 Years
 First Previous 5 Years
 Second Previous 5 Years

Include Credential
 Exclude Credential

Gender ▼

Ethnicity ▼

Finish

Headcount	Spring 2013			Spring 2014			Spring 2015			Spring 2016			Spring 2017		
	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total
AmInd	29	15	44	27	18	45	24	17	41	20	21	41	17	13	30
Black	483	452	935	476	467	943	473	451	924	465	440	905	452	459	911
Asian	4,083	4,686	8,769	4,359	4,918	9,277	4,406	5,044	9,450	4,375	5,084	9,459	4,305	4,953	9,258
PacIsl	129	140	269	113	123	236	117	113	230	53	52	105	54	51	105
Hisp	3,378	2,499	5,877	3,606	2,761	6,367	3,738	3,007	6,745	3,756	3,100	6,856	3,860	3,187	7,047
White	3,558	3,300	6,858	3,412	3,364	6,776	3,113	3,212	6,325	2,770	2,999	5,769	2,565	2,784	5,349
Foreign	1,045	1,024	2,069	1,350	1,478	2,828	1,578	1,948	3,526	1,640	2,051	3,691	1,617	2,188	3,805
Other	1,461	1,221	2,682	1,419	1,273	2,692	1,364	1,349	2,713	1,345	1,423	2,768	1,304	1,394	2,698
Total	14,166	13,337	27,503	14,762	14,402	29,164	14,813	15,141	29,954	14,424	15,170	29,594	14,174	15,029	29,203

Headcount	Summer 2012			Summer 2013			Summer 2014			Summer 2015			Summer 2016		
	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total	F	M	Total
AmInd	1		1												
Black	3		3	1		1				3		3	2	1	3

Task Force Review — Entering Cohort Characteristics

Entering Cohort Sizes, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates by term

	First-Time Frosh			Undergraduate Transfers		
	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016
Black or African American	139	133	134	110	106	102
Hispanic or Latino	1,057	1,067	1,102	1,132	1,055	1,226

Developmental Status of African American Frosh, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Percentage of incoming frosh by remedial status as of the fall census

	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016
Developmental English	9%	8%	8%
Developmental Math	12%	21%	26%
Developmental Math and English	33%	19%	28%
Passed/Exempt/Unknown	46%	52%	37%
African American Total	100%	100%	100%

Developmental Status of Latin@ Frosh, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Percentage of incoming frosh by remedial status as of the fall census

	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016
Developmental English	16%	14%	11%
Developmental Math	12%	12%	19%
Developmental Math and English	18%	16%	15%
Passed/Exempt/Unknown	54%	59%	54%
Latin@ Total	100%	100%	100%

Top Local Origins of African Americans, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates (frosh and transfer)

Santa Clara	201
Los Angeles	112
Alameda	77
Sacramento	46
Contra Costa	45
Riverside	36
San Joaquin	28
San Diego	27
San Bernardino	20
US Out of State	20

Top Local Origins of Latin@s, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates (frosh and transfer)

Santa Clara	2,691
Los Angeles	520
Alameda	517
Monterey	325
San Mateo	276
Contra Costa	232
Santa Cruz	228
San Joaquin	220
San Diego	138
Riverside	129

Task Force Review — Undergraduate Majors

Top 10 Majors of African American Undergraduates, Fall 2016

Unduplicated headcount by program, including second majors



Top 10 Majors of Latin@ Undergraduates, Fall 2016

Unduplicated headcount by program, including second majors



Task Force Review — Prior Institution

Top 20 High Schools of African American Frosh, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates by prior institution

Franklin High School	9
Polytechnic High	7
Muir High	6
Santa Clara High	6
Santiago High	6
Milpitas High	5
Oak Grove High	5
Prospect High	5
Antelope High	4
Dorsey (Susan Miller) Senior H	4
Eleanor Roosevelt High	4
Junipero Serra High	4
Narbonne (Nathaniel) Senior Hi	4
San Leandro High	4
Texas	4
Bear Creek High	3
California Academy of Mathematics &..	3
Cesar Chavez High	3
Cosumnes Oaks High	3
Cupertino High	3

Top 20 High Schools of Latin@ Frosh, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates by prior institution

Lincoln (Abraham) High	73
KIPP San Jose Collegiate	69
Mt. Pleasant High	54
San Jose High Academy	42
Christopher High	39
Overfelt (William C.) High	39
Pioneer High	36
Willow Glen High	34
Santa Teresa High	33
Downtown College Prep	32
Independence High	31
Silver Creek High	31
Hill (Andrew P.) High	29
Latino College Preparatory Ac..	27
Yerba Buena High	25
Ann Sobrato High	24
Archbishop Mitty High	24
Live Oak High	24
San Benito High	24
Lick (James) High	23

Top 20 Colleges of African American Transfers, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016

Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates by prior institution

De Anza Community College	52
San Jose City College	40
Evergreen Valley College	14
Chabot College	13
Foothill College	10
West Valley College	10
Laney College	9
Contra Costa College	8
Mission College	8
City College of San Francisco	7
Diablo Valley College	7
Ohlone College	7
Los Medanos College	6
College of Alameda	5
Gavilan College	5
San Joaquin Delta College	5
American River College	4
Canada College	3
Las Positas College	3
Merritt College	3

Top 20 Colleges of Latin@ Transfers, Fall 2014 to Fall 2016


Headcount of new matriculated undergraduates by prior institution

De Anza Community College	431
Evergreen Valley College	358
San Jose City College	244
West Valley College	197
Cabrillo College	176
Hartnell Community College	160
Gavilan College	146
Foothill College	96
Ohlone College	93
Mission College	83
Canada College	73
National Hispanic University	69
San Joaquin Delta College	68
Las Positas College	52
Chabot College	51
Diablo Valley College	45
Monterey Peninsula College	45
College of San Mateo	39
Modesto Junior College	36
Allan Hancock College	35

SJSU CURRENT ACADEMIC OUTCOMES AND GOALS — AFRICAN AMERICAN FROSH

Cohort Colle..	Department	Full-Time	Sex	Ethnicity	URM Status	HS GPA	SAT Composite	SAT Math	SAT Verbal	Pell	Remedial	Residency
All	All	Full-time	All	Black	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All

First-Time Frosh Trends



Detail for First-Time Frosh


Semester of Entry	Cohort Size	Contin. after 1 term	Contin. after 1 year	Contin. after 2 years	Degree within 4 years	Contin. after 4 years	Degree within 5 years	Contin. after 5 years	Degree within 6 years	Contin. after 6 years
Fall 2002	209	90.9%	70.8%	54.1%	2.9%	44.0%	15.8%	23.4%	26.8%	9.6%
Fall 2003	96	93.8%	81.3%	64.6%	3.1%	47.9%	16.7%	31.3%	37.5%	10.4%
Fall 2004	147	95.2%	77.6%	65.3%	7.5%	46.3%	29.3%	20.4%	43.5%	6.1%
Fall 2005	191	90.6%	74.3%	65.4%	4.2%	46.6%	23.0%	26.7%	37.2%	11.5%
Fall 2006	224	92.0%	74.1%	64.3%	4.5%	47.3%	21.9%	28.1%	35.7%	11.2%
Fall 2007	258	94.6%	70.5%	59.7%	5.0%	44.6%	24.8%	20.2%	36.8%	5.4%
Fall 2008	236	93.6%	76.3%	67.8%	5.1%	47.5%	25.0%	21.2%	39.0%	6.4%
Fall 2009	143	95.1%	73.4%	66.4%	5.6%	49.0%	30.8%	23.1%	41.3%	9.8%
Fall 2010	94	97.9%	80.9%	70.2%	12.8%	50.0%	41.5%	20.2%	55.3%	5.3%
Fall 2011	207	95.7%	78.7%	69.6%	5.3%	53.1%	30.4%	18.8%		
Fall 2012	123	98.4%	83.7%	76.4%	13.0%	57.7%				
Fall 2013	148	94.6%	84.5%	71.6%						
Fall 2014	133	96.2%	80.5%	74.4%						
Fall 2015	124	96.0%	84.7%							
Fall 2016	122									

All figures are for fall, full-time entering cohorts. Annual continuing (still enrolled) rate is the share of cohort members enrolling in classes in the subsequent fall term. Students have until the trailing summer of their 4th, 5th, etc. years to be included in the graduation rate for that year. College and department are based on the first major at entry.

SJSU CURRENT ACADEMIC OUTCOMES AND GOALS — AFRICAN AMERICAN UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS

Cohort College All	Department All	Full-Time Status All	Sex All	Race/Ethnicity Black	URM Status All	GPA at Previous College All	Pell Recipient All	Residency All
-----------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	------------	-------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------

Transfer Trends



Detail for Upper Division Transfers


Semester of Entry	Cohort Size	Contin. after 1 term	Contin. after 1 year	Degree within 2 years	Contin. after 2 years	Degree within 3 years	Contin. after 3 years	Degree within 4 years	Contin. after 4 years	Degree within 5 years	Contin. after 5 years
Fall 2002	72	86.1%	76.4%	13.9%	55.6%	29.2%	34.7%	47.2%	16.7%	59.7%	4.2%
Fall 2003	46	89.1%	80.4%	6.5%	47.8%	34.8%	23.9%	50.0%	13.0%	56.5%	4.3%
Fall 2004	63	84.1%	73.0%	6.3%	52.4%	22.2%	28.6%	36.5%	6.3%	44.4%	1.6%
Fall 2005	92	90.2%	80.4%	12.0%	59.8%	41.3%	27.2%	57.6%	14.1%	62.0%	6.5%
Fall 2006	93	90.3%	77.4%	10.8%	58.1%	28.0%	33.3%	45.2%	16.1%	52.7%	10.8%
Fall 2007	103	84.5%	71.8%	12.6%	45.6%	32.0%	19.4%	43.7%	11.7%	49.5%	5.8%
Fall 2008	80	96.3%	87.5%	13.8%	60.0%	46.3%	22.5%	60.0%	10.0%	70.0%	3.8%
Fall 2009	46	97.8%	84.8%	17.4%	58.7%	43.5%	30.4%	63.0%	8.7%	73.9%	4.3%
Fall 2010	71	93.0%	81.7%	9.9%	66.2%	47.9%	26.8%	59.2%	14.1%	66.2%	4.2%
Fall 2011	77	88.3%	87.0%	15.6%	58.4%	54.5%	16.9%	64.9%	7.8%	68.8%	1.3%
Fall 2012	74	97.3%	83.8%	17.6%	59.5%	47.3%	33.8%	66.2%	10.8%		
Fall 2013	98	92.9%	86.7%	23.5%	55.1%	53.1%	21.4%				
Fall 2014	91	89.0%	82.4%	26.4%	49.5%						
Fall 2015	95	92.6%	86.3%								
Fall 2016	95										

All figures are for fall entering cohorts, both full- and part-time, who transferred from a California community college and entered at the junior or senior level. Annual continuing (still enrolled) rate is the share of cohort members enrolling in classes in the subsequent fall term. Students have until the trailing summer of their 4th, 5th, etc. years to be included in the graduation rate for that year. College and department are based on the first major at entry. SAT scores are usually not available for transfers and are not shown here.

SJSU CURRENT ACADEMIC OUTCOMES AND GOALS — LATIN@ FROSH

Cohort Colle.. All	Department All	Full-Time Full-time	Sex All	Ethnicity Hispanic	URM Status All	HS GPA All	SAT Composite All	SAT Math All	SAT Verbal All	Pell All	Remedial All	Residency All
--------------------	----------------	---------------------	---------	--------------------	----------------	------------	-------------------	--------------	----------------	----------	--------------	---------------

First-Time Frosh Trends



Detail for First-Time Frosh


Semester of Entry	Cohort Size	Contin. after 1 term	Contin. after 1 year	Contin. after 2 years	Degree within 4 years	Contin. after 4 years	Degree within 5 years	Contin. after 5 years	Degree within 6 years	Contin. after 6 years
Fall 2002	418	92.1%	77.3%	65.8%	5.3%	48.3%	21.3%	28.7%	35.2%	14.8%
Fall 2003	332	93.1%	78.9%	66.9%	6.3%	51.8%	25.9%	28.6%	39.5%	13.6%
Fall 2004	478	92.1%	74.7%	62.3%	4.2%	51.5%	20.9%	29.3%	35.8%	13.4%
Fall 2005	467	92.1%	74.5%	64.7%	4.3%	50.1%	21.0%	28.9%	36.0%	14.8%
Fall 2006	520	94.2%	76.0%	64.2%	4.8%	49.0%	22.9%	28.1%	37.7%	11.9%
Fall 2007	658	93.3%	74.6%	62.3%	4.3%	50.9%	27.4%	23.4%	40.3%	10.3%
Fall 2008	760	93.3%	76.3%	68.9%	7.8%	50.9%	29.2%	26.1%	44.9%	12.8%
Fall 2009	601	93.5%	79.2%	71.5%	4.5%	54.1%	29.6%	27.0%	45.1%	12.5%
Fall 2010	741	96.2%	83.9%	76.0%	7.8%	60.5%	38.3%	27.4%	54.3%	10.3%
Fall 2011	1,072	94.1%	77.3%	68.2%	6.9%	52.3%	34.0%	22.8%		
Fall 2012	852	95.1%	84.2%	73.6%	11.0%	55.3%				
Fall 2013	1,055	95.4%	82.6%	72.8%						
Fall 2014	1,034	93.3%	81.4%	69.8%						
Fall 2015	1,019	93.0%	81.1%							
Fall 2016	1,047									

All figures are for fall, full-time entering cohorts. Annual continuing (still enrolled) rate is the share of cohort members enrolling in classes in the subsequent fall term. Students have until the trailing summer of their 4th, 5th, etc. years to be included in the graduation rate for that year. College and department are based on the first major at entry.

SJSU CURRENT ACADEMIC OUTCOMES AND GOALS — LATIN@ UNDERGRADUATE TRANSFERS

Cohort College All	Department All	Full-Time Status All	Sex All	Race/Ethnicity Hispanic	URM Status All	GPA at Previous College All	Pell Recipient All	Residency All
-----------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	------------	----------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------

Transfer Trends



Detail for Upper Division Transfers

Semester of Entry	Cohort Size	Contin. after 1 term	Contin. after 1 year	Degree within 2 years	Contin. after 2 years	Degree within 3 years	Contin. after 3 years	Degree within 4 years	Contin. after 4 years	Degree within 5 years	Contin. after 5 years
Fall 2002	322	91.6%	79.2%	16.1%	56.2%	41.3%	24.5%	55.6%	11.5%	62.7%	4.7%
Fall 2003	214	90.7%	76.2%	14.0%	56.5%	39.7%	23.8%	51.4%	12.1%	59.3%	5.6%
Fall 2004	310	88.7%	82.9%	14.2%	59.7%	43.5%	26.5%	58.7%	12.3%	65.8%	3.9%
Fall 2005	407	88.7%	76.7%	8.8%	57.2%	37.6%	25.8%	49.9%	12.3%	57.5%	5.9%
Fall 2006	436	92.4%	82.1%	14.9%	61.0%	43.1%	25.9%	58.7%	12.4%	67.0%	4.6%
Fall 2007	498	89.2%	80.9%	13.5%	58.6%	44.2%	25.3%	57.8%	9.4%	64.5%	5.0%
Fall 2008	476	91.6%	81.9%	17.6%	57.8%	48.7%	24.2%	62.2%	11.1%	69.3%	5.0%
Fall 2009	437	92.7%	79.2%	14.6%	58.6%	43.9%	28.6%	60.4%	10.3%	67.7%	4.1%
Fall 2010	617	93.5%	87.0%	16.4%	63.4%	50.1%	24.5%	66.9%	8.6%	71.3%	4.1%
Fall 2011	645	91.6%	84.7%	18.1%	61.7%	55.5%	21.9%	67.8%	8.5%	72.7%	3.7%
Fall 2012	728	93.8%	87.1%	23.5%	57.6%	59.2%	18.3%	71.3%	6.7%		
Fall 2013	939	93.5%	86.5%	25.2%	55.8%	60.9%	17.6%				
Fall 2014	1,001	92.9%	84.1%	25.4%	54.2%						
Fall 2015	1,011	94.0%	85.8%								
Fall 2016	1,158										

All figures are for fall entering cohorts, both full- and part-time, who transferred from a California community college and entered at the junior or senior level. Annual continuing (still enrolled) rate is the share of cohort members enrolling in classes in the subsequent fall term. Students have until the trailing summer of their 4th, 5th, etc. years to be included in the graduation rate for that year. College and department are based on the first major at entry. SAT scores are usually not available for transfers and are not shown here.

From the San Francisco Business Times:

<https://www.bizjournals.com/sanfrancisco/news/2017/01/24/bay-area-colleges-social-mobility.html>

These Bay Area colleges drive the most social mobility

Jan 24, 2017, 1:23pm PST Updated: Jan 24, 2017, 1:29pm PST

Higher education has often been touted as the method through which people can climb the social and economic ladder.

The idea being that access to a good college – along with some hard work – can lead to a good career and ultimately more wealth and opportunity. But how well do the numbers prove that story out?

Check out our slideshow to see what Bay Area colleges ranked the best for economic mobility. >>>



SAN JOSE CITY COLLEGE

Researchers at **Stanford**, **Harvard** and Brown Universities examined more than 30 million anonymous tax records that sketch out the income earnings of American college students and graduates from the early 2000s across time. They then tabulated the data in a variety of different methods to determine a social mobility report card for universities, namely by highlighting statistics for student access and economic mobility.

To determine access for low income students, the researchers looked at the percentage of students with parents in the lowest quartile of income distribution. Student "mobility rates," were calculated by what percentage of students from the lowest income bracket were able to make it to the top income bracket, essentially which poor students became rich adults.

On the whole, Bay Area colleges did quite well when compared to the national average mobility rate of 2 percent. According to the data, 21 regional colleges and universities beat that number, with the top school, **San Jose State** University, more than doubling it with a mobility rate with 5.4 percent. Coming in at second place was the California Maritime Academy, which had a 5 percent mobility rate, driven by the school's strong focus on nautical business and engineering.

Trending: These are the 25 highest Yelp-ranked restaurants in the Bay Area

Here are the best and worst Bay Area cities for families, new study says

Nationally speaking, the top college in the country by mobility rate was California State University, Los Angeles, which saw nearly 10 percent of its low-income students move upward to become rich adults. Generally the researchers found that universities with the highest upward mobility rates are "typically mid-tier public schools that have both large numbers of low-income students and very good outcomes."

Ironically enough, the researchers' home universities didn't rank that highly in the study when considering economic mobility. Stanford, Harvard and Brown scored 2.2 percent, 1.8 percent and 1.5 percent, respectively on the report's mobility rate.

See the full data set from the researchers **here**.

Join the conversation: Follow @SFBusinessTimes on Twitter, "Like" us on Facebook and sign up for our free email newsletters.

Kevin Truong
Multimedia producer
San Francisco Business Times

