LEC 4: Discriminant Analysis for Classification

Dr. Guangliang Chen

February 25, 2016

Outline

- Last time: FDA (dimensionality reduction)
- Today: QDA/LDA (classification)
- Naive Bayes classifiers
- Matlab/Python commands

Probabilistic models

We introduce a mixture model to the training data:

- We model the distribution of each training class C_i by a pdf $f_i(\mathbf{x})$.
- We assume that for a fraction π_i of the time, x is sampled from C_i .

The Law of Total Probability implies that the mixture distribution has a pdf

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum f(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in C_i) P(\mathbf{x} \in C_i) = \sum f_i(\mathbf{x}) \pi_i$$

that generates both training and test data (two independent samples from $f(\mathbf{x})$).

We call $\pi_i = P(\mathbf{x} \in C_i)$ the *prior probabilities*, i.e., probabilities that $\mathbf{x} \in C_i$ prior to we see the sample.

How to classify a new sample

A naive way would be to assign a sample to the class with largest prior probability

 $i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i \pi_i$

We don't know the true values of π_i , so we'll estimate them using the observed training classes (in fact, only their sizes):

$$\hat{\pi}_i = \frac{n_i}{n}, \quad \forall i$$

This method makes constant prediction, with error rate $1 - \frac{n_{i^*}}{n}$.

Is there a better way?

Maximum A Posterior (MAP) classification

A (much) better way is to assign the label based on the **posterior probabilities** (i.e., probabilities after we see the sample):

 $i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i P(\mathbf{x} \in C_i \mid \mathbf{x})$

Bayes' Rule tells us that the posterior probabilities are given by

$$P(\mathbf{x} \in C_i \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in C_i) P(\mathbf{x} \in C_i)}{f(\mathbf{x})} \propto f_i(\mathbf{x}) \pi_i$$

Therefore, the MAP classification rule can be stated as

$$i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i f_i(\mathbf{x})\pi_i$$

This is also called Bayes classifier.

Dr. Guangliang Chen | Mathematics & Statistics, San José State University 5/26

Estimating class-conditional probabilities $f_i(\mathbf{x})$

To estimate $f_i(\mathbf{x})$, we need to pick a model i.e., a distribution from certain family to represent each class.

Different choices of the distribution lead to different classifiers:

• LDA/QDA: by using multivariate Gaussian distributions

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma_i|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_i)}, \quad \forall \text{ Class } i$$

• Naive Bayes: by assuming independent features in $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^d f_{ij}(x_j)$$

MAP classification with multivariate Gaussians

In this case, we estimate the distribution means μ_i and covariances Σ_i using their sample counterparts (based on training data):

$$\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_i} \mathbf{x}, \text{ and } \hat{\Sigma}_i = \frac{1}{n_i - 1} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_i} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i) (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i)^T$$

This leads to the following classifier:

$$i^{*} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} \frac{n_{i}}{n(2\pi)^{d/2} |\hat{\Sigma}_{i}|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{T} \hat{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})}$$
$$= \boxed{\operatorname{argmax}_{i} \log n_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\hat{\Sigma}_{i}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{T} \hat{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})}$$

Dr. Guangliang Chen | Mathematics & Statistics, San José State University 7/26

Decision boundary

The decision boundary of a classifier consists of points that have a tie.

For the MAP classification rule based on mixture of Gaussians modeling, the decision boundaries are given by

$$\log n_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\hat{\Sigma}_{i}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{T} \hat{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})$$
$$= \log n_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\hat{\Sigma}_{j}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{j})^{T} \hat{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{j})$$

This shows that the MAP classifier has quadratic boundaries.

We call the above classifier Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA).

Equal covariance: A special case

QDA assumes that each class distribution is multivariate Gaussian (but with its own center μ_i and covariance Σ_i).

We examine the special case when $\Sigma_1 = \cdots = \Sigma_c = \Sigma$ so that the different classes are shifted versions of each other.

In this case, the MAP classification rule becomes

$$i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i \log n_i - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i)$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}$ represents the pooled estimate of Σ using all classes

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n-c} \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_i} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i) (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i)^T$$

Dr. Guangliang Chen | Mathematics & Statistics, San José State University

Decision boundary in the special case

The decision boundary of the equal-covariance classifier is:

$$\log n_i - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_i) = \log n_j - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_j)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_j)$$

which simplifies to

$$\mathbf{x}^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_j) = \log \frac{n_i}{n_j} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{\mu}_i^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_j^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\mu}_j \right)$$

This is a hyperplane with normal vector $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_j)$, showing that the classifier has linear boundaries.

We call it Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA).

Relationship between LDA and FDA

The LDA boundaries are hyperplanes with normal vectors $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_j)$.

In 2-class FDA, the projection direction is

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_{w} = \mathbf{S}_{1} + \mathbf{S}_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_{i}} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i}) (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{T} = (n-2)\hat{\Sigma}.$$

Therefore, LDA is essentially a union of 2-class FDAs (with cutoffs selected based on Bayes rule). However, they are derived from totally different perspectives (optimization versus probabilistic).

Dr. Guangliang Chen | Mathematics & Statistics, San José State University 11/26

Naive Bayes

The naive Bayes classifier is also based on the MAP decision rule:

$$i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i f_i(\mathbf{x})\pi_i$$

A simplifying assumption is made on the individual features of \mathbf{x} :

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^d f_{ij}(x_j)$$
 (x₁,..., x_d are independent)

Accordingly, the decision rule becomes

$$i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i \pi_i \prod_{j=1}^d f_{ij}(x_j) = \operatorname{argmax}_i \log \pi_i + \sum_{j=1}^d \log f_{ij}(x_j)$$

Dr. Guangliang Chen | Mathematics & Statistics, San José State University 12/26

How to estimate f_{ij}

The independence assumption reduces the high dimensional density estimation problem $(f_i(\mathbf{x}))$ to a union of simple 1D problems $({f_{ij}(x)}_j)$.

Again, we need to pick a model for the f_{ij} .

For continuous features (which is the case in this course) the standard choice is the 1D normal distribution

$$f_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{ij}}} e^{-(x-\mu_{ij})^2/2\sigma_{ij}^2}$$

where μ_{ij}, σ_{ij} can be estimated similarly using the training data.

MAP classification: A summary

• General decision rule

 $i^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i f_i(\mathbf{x})\pi_i$

- Examples of Bayes classifiers
 - QDA: multivariate Gaussians
 - LDA: multivariate Gaussians with equal covariance
 - Naive Bayes: independent features x_1, \ldots, x_d

We will show some experiments with MATLAB (maybe also Python) next class.

The Fisher Iris dataset

- Background (see Wikipedia)
 - A typical test case for many statistical classification techniques in machine learning
 - Originally used by Fisher for developing his linear discriminant model
- Data information
 - 150 observations, with 50 samples from each of three species of Iris (setosa, virginica and versicolor)
 - **4 features** measured from each sample: the length and the width of the sepals and petals, in centimeters

MATLAB implementation of LDA/QDA

% fit a discriminant analysis classifier

mdl = fitcdiscr(trainData, trainLabels, 'DiscrimType', type)

% where type is one of the following:

- 'Linear' (default): LDA
- 'Quadratic': QDA

% classify new data

```
pred = predict(mdl, testData)
```

Python scripts for LDA/QDA

from sklearn.discriminant_analysis import LinearDiscriminantAnalysis

 $\# {\it from} \ {\it sklearn.discriminant_analysis} \ {\it import} \ {\it QuadraticDiscriminantAnalysis} \ {\it sis}$

```
Ida = LinearDiscriminantAnalysis()
```

pred = Ida.fit(trainData,trainLabels).predict(testData)

print("Number of mislabeled points: %d" %(testLabels != pred).sum())

The singularity issue in LDA/QDA

Both LDA and QDA require inverting covariance matrices, which may be singular in the case of high dimensional data.

Common techniques to fix this:

- Apply PCA to reduce dimensionality first, or
- Regularize the covariance matrices, or
- Use psuedoinverse: 'pseudoLinear', 'pseudoQuadratic'

MATLAB functions for Naive Bayes

% fit a naive Bayes classifier

mdl = fitcnb(trainData, trainLabels, 'Distribution', 'normal')

% classify new data

pred = predict(mdl, testData)

Python scripts for Naive Bayes

```
from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB
```

```
gnb = GaussianNB()
```

pred = gnb.fit(trainData, trainLabels).predict(testData)

print("Number of mislabeled points: %d" %(testLabels != pred).sum())

Improving Naive Bayes

- Independence assumption: apply PCA to get uncorrelated features (closer to being independent)
- Choice of distribution: change normal to kernel smoothing to be more flexible

mdl = fitcnb(trainData, trainLabels, 'Distribution', 'kernel')

However, this will be at the expense of speed.

HW3a (due in 2 weeks)

First use PCA to project the MNIST dataset into s dimensions and then do the following.

- 1. For each values of \boldsymbol{s} below perform LDA on the data set and compare the errors you get:
 - s = 154 (95% variance)
 - s = 50
 - s = your own choice (preferably better than the above two)
- 2. Repeat Question 1 with QDA instead of LDA (everything else being the same).

- 3. For each values of *s* below apply the Naive Bayes classifier (by fitting pixelwise normal distributions) to the data set and compare the errors you get:
 - s = 784 (no projection)
 - s = 154 (95% variance)

•
$$s = 50$$

• *s* = your own choice (preferably better than the above three)

Next time: Two-dimensional LDA

Midterm project 2: MAP classification

Task: Concisely describe the classifiers we have learned in this part and summarize their corresponding results in a poster to be displayed in the classroom.

In the meantime, you are encouraged to try the following ideas.

- The kernel smoothing option in Naive Bayes
- The cost option in LDA/QDA:

 $\label{eq:mdl=fitcdiscr(trainData,trainLabels,'Cost',COST) where COST is a square matrix, with COST(I,J) being the cost of classifying a point into class J if its true class is I. Default: COST(I,J)=1 if I=J, and COST(I,J)=0 if I=J.$

• What else?

Who can participate: One to two students from this class, subject to instructor's approval.

When to finish: In 2 to 3 weeks.

How it will be graded: Based on clarity, completeness, correctness, originality.