# SJSU

# 2DLDA and Its Applications on the MNIST handwritten digits Classification Problems Xixi Lu and Terry Situ

San Jose State University



## About This Study

In this study, we are going to investigate how the algorithms of (2D) matrix-based linear discriminant analysis (LDA) perform on the classification problems of the MNIST handwritten digits dataset, and to compare its performance to the traditional (1D) vector-based dimension reduction method: Principal Compnet Analysis (PCA).

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) is most commonly used as dimensionality reduction technique in the pre-processing step for pattem-classification and machine learning applications. The goal is to project a dataset onto a lower-dimensional space with good classseparability in order to avoid overfitting ("curse of dimensionality") and also reduce computational costs. The main ideas behind 2DLDA are that they are based on 2D matrices as opposed to the traditional LDA, which are based on 1D vector. [1]

With all the benefits come with 2DLDA, you may ask: "how does it performs with different classification methods (e.g. k nearest neighbors algorithm and LDA classification)?" or "is it better than 1D-PCA?"

We investigate these questions and analyze extensively them based on a large database of handwritten digits. The database contains 60,000training images and 10,000 testing images. In addition, each image contains  $28 \times 28$  pixels. [3]

The study is conducted in two parts:

1). We use 2DLDA to reduced the dimension of the **MNIST** handwritten digit dataset and applied different classification methods on the reduced dataset.

2). We compare the performance between 2DLDA and PCA (in term of test errors) by using different classifiers.

We compared the accuracy of those classifiers in term of test error rates.

### How Does 2dlda Work?

2DLDA transforms  $r \times c$  images to smaller  $r' \times c'$  images. Let  $X \in R^{rec}$  be a given image. The transformation is defined by two matrices with orthonormal columns,  $L \in R^{rec}$  and  $R \in R^{rec}$ 

$$Y = L^T X R \in R^{r' \times r'}$$

Like FDA, 2DLDA finds the best transformations *L*, *R* by preserving the most discriminatory information in the projection space:

 $\max_{L,R} \frac{between - class \ scatter}{within - class \ scatter}$ 

And the between-class scatter and within-class scatter are defined as follow:

Within-class scatter:

 $\tilde{s}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{i} \sum_{X \in \Pi_{i}} \| L^{T} (X - M_{i}) R \|_{F}^{2} \qquad M_{i} = \frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{X \in \Pi_{i}} X$ 

Between-class scatter:

## $\tilde{s}_{b}^{2} = \sum_{i} n_{i} \| L^{T} (M_{i} - M) R \|_{F}^{2} \quad M = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} n_{i} M_{i}$





Figure 3 – 6: Different distant metrics with kNN. The lowest test error we obtained is 0.0359 with Cosine Angle distant function & the data size is 9 x 9.

## Investigations & Results: Case III 2DLDA vs. PCA



Figure 7: LDA: 2DLDA vs. PCA. The lowest test error(0.1229) obtained when using PCA with data size 11 x 11.



Figure 8: QDA: 2DLDA vs. PCA. The lowest test error(0.0364) obtained when using PCA with data size 7 x 7.



Figure 9: Naïve Bayes (Normal): 2DLDA vs. PCA. The lowest test error(0.1185) obtained when using PCA with data size 8 x 8.



Figure 10: Naïve Bayes (kernel): 2DLA vs. PCA. The lowest test error(0.1138) obtained when using PCA with data size 12 x 12.

## **Conclusions & Limitations**

- The higher the projected dimension will not always produce significant lower test error;
- \* 2DLDA vs. PCA:
- ♦ Both of LDA and QDA will produce lower min. test error rates PCA;♦ LDA & Naïve Bayes does not yield good classifying results;

#### ✤ Limitations:

- Due to the resource constrains, we DID NOT implement exhaustive search to obtain the global optimal combination(s) of projected dimension and classifier for either 2DLDA or PCA;
- Even though we had obtained the global optimal combination here, that may ONLY apply to MNIST dataset.

#### References

 Zheng, W., Lai, J., & Li, S. Z. (2008). 1D-LDA vs. 2D-LDA: When is vector-based linear discriminant analysis better than matrix-based? Pattern Recognition, 41(7), 2156-2172.
Raschka, S. (2014, August 3). Linear Discriminant Analysis – Bit by Bit. Retrieved March 30, 2016, from <u>http://sebastlanraschka.com/Articles/2014\_python\_ida.html</u>
MISIT database. (n.d.). Retrieved April 03, 2016, from

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MNIST\_database [4]. Liang, Z (2008) 2DLDA PK LDA for feature extraction (Version 1.0) [Source code]. http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/lifeexchange/20174-2dlda-pk-lda-for-feature-extraction