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1. INTRODUCTION

This handbook is designed to provide helpful information to master’s students in the Justice Studies Department at San José State University. This handbook has been developed to provide guidance for students who plan on writing a Thesis (Plan A).

Students are not required to complete a thesis (Plan A) but if a student has a strong academic record in the core requirements (i.e., a B overall average) and submits a strong proposal, they may be permitted to write a six-unit thesis as part of the 33-unit program. In order to write a thesis, the student must speak with the graduate coordinator and a faculty member knowledgeable in the area of the proposed thesis. If the faculty member agrees to direct the thesis, the student must write and present a thesis proposal. Students should work with their Thesis Chair on the proposal format.

The Plan A program will always require that a research proposal be written and defended prior to conducting research or being allowed to write a thesis. This handbook is intended to guide students through key steps in the process of writing and defending a thesis in the MS program of the Department of Justice Studies at SJSU. University level requirements and graduate studies specific requirements are beyond the scope of this document and students should be aware that meeting all relevant deadlines are their responsibility (see Graduate Studies and Research Thesis Resources).

A MS thesis is evidence of an individual’s ability to conceptualize and carry out research and to communicate the results of that research in a coherent document. The research should address an important problem of manageable scope in the chosen field of study, and should make a significant contribution to the profession’s theory or practice. Most commonly, the work builds on previous research findings and involves the collection, analysis, and interpretation of new data. Master’s candidates benefit greatly from the input and support of faculty members, advisors, and administrators. Thesis committee members and others can offer important advice to the degree candidate in areas such as the research design, data collection procedures, and use or analysis of data. Ultimately, however, the student remains responsible for the integrity of their research.

Before beginning the thesis process, a student should become familiar with similar theses previously printed and bound by students in the department. Doing so will give the student models of the format and style of theses previously approved by the Department of Justice Studies.
2. ADVISOR

If you are doing a thesis your advisor will be your Thesis Committee Chair who must be a full time faculty member in the Department of Justice Studies.

You are entitled to expect regular contact with your Thesis Chair. Thesis Chairs should respond promptly to drafts, and should take responsibility for ensuring that you are working effectively and in a timely fashion. It is your responsibility, however, to bring to light any problems you might be experiencing with your Thesis Chair, either by discussing them with the advisor concerned, or with the Graduate Coordinator, who has ultimate responsibility for all matters involving research students.

3. AVAILABLE RESEARCH FUNDS

Funds may be available to full-time Plan A/Thesis MS students to assist with costs associated with attending conferences during their research program. A summary of financial assistance is set out below:

MS students (full-time only): Some research allowance money may be available (contingent on funding) during candidature. This funding may come from the Department of Justice Studies or the College of Social Sciences. The department chair and graduate coordinator will distribute funding accordingly.

Use of University funds is strictly regulated, and this transfers into rules and conventions about how you can use your research allowance. The most common items paid for from research allowances include conference registration, reasonable accommodations, and travel expenses associated with active participation in a regional or national conference (such participation includes but is not limited to presenting a paper or poster, for example).

Please see the financial analyst in the Department of Justice Studies at least a few months before your conference trip. You must fill out a travel authorization form 30 days before the trip. You must also complete travel reimbursements 10 days after the completion of your trip.
4. SHOULD I WRITE A THESIS?

THE MASTER'S CANDIDATE WITH THESIS OPTION

A master’s candidate is a student in good standing enrolled in a master’s program who has been approved to write a thesis as part of his/her requirements for obtaining an M.S. Degree in JS. It is the responsibility of the candidate to seek the cooperation of at least three appropriate, qualified individuals—often within his or her department—to serve on the thesis committee. The candidate must initiate dialogue with committee members, follow recommended timetables, and plan committee meetings in accordance with the recommendations of the Thesis Chair. In working relationships with committee members, the candidate should be mindful that members have many other professional responsibilities. It is emphasized here also that the successful completion of a thesis is only one of the requirements. It is the student’s responsibility to understand and satisfy all of the requirements of the individual program and of the University.

LINK TO PREVIOUS MS JUSTICE STUDIES THESSES:


❖ We strongly recommend students do not follow someone else's published thesis as a template; reviewers and rules change, this is frequently a setup for rejection

THESIS ROADMAP FALL ENROLLMENT

1. **Explore research interests**
   a. Tailor coursework to investigate different topics

2. **Speak with faculty members:**
   a. If you are interested in their research specialization
   b. If you are interested in working with them

1. **Finalize thesis topic**

2. **Select thesis chair**

3. **Discuss topic with committee members**

4. **Review academic sources for literature review**

1. **Start thesis proposal:**
   September 1st

2. **Defend proposal:**
   October 1st

3. **Submit IRB application:**
   October 10th

4. **Finish data collection:**
   December 1st

1. **Defend thesis:**
   March 15th

2. **Submit thesis to graduate college:**
   April 1st
EXPLORE RESEARCH INTERESTS

Students are strongly encouraged to attend Graduate Studies and Research information sessions offered at the beginning of each semester. These sessions include information on the Thesis process and IRB protocols. When selecting a thesis topic, candidates should keep these key points in mind:

❖ Tailor coursework to investigate different topics of interest
❖ Students could develop components of the Review of Relevant Literature section of their thesis when writing the papers in MS Justice Studies classes
❖ The Department of Justice Studies reserves the right to determine whether a student’s proposed area of study is sufficiently relevant to their graduate program
❖ Students interested in writing a thesis must consult with the graduate coordinator prior to starting the process

SPEAK WITH FACULTY MEMBERS

This selection process is important because committee members will be called on to advise the student throughout the process in areas appropriate to their expertise and interests. Prior to selecting thesis committee members, candidates should correspond with faculty members, if candidates are interested in working with them or their research specialization.
5. BEFORE WRITING THE PROPOSAL

ESTABLISHING A THESIS COMMITTEE

During the process of selecting a topic, the student should find an appropriate faculty member from the Department of Justice Studies willing to assume the role of Thesis Chair. A co-chair arrangement is also possible. Master’s students taking a thesis option will work with their Thesis Chair to decide upon the thesis topic and to identify two additional committee members. Committee members are responsible for evaluating and approving the completed thesis, and must comment on written materials developed by the master’s candidate prior to final submission. Lastly, all members should be present during the final defense of the thesis.

THE MASTER’S THESIS COMMITTEE CHAIR (THESIS CHAIR)

The master’s thesis committee chair is the master’s degree candidate’s primary advisor during all phases of the thesis research and writing process. The chair is the leader of the committee and its liaison with the student, the program, and the department. The thesis chair convenes and conducts the thesis defense meeting. In conjunction with other committee members, the chair is responsible for providing technical and content advice and assistance. The thesis chair must be a tenured or tenure-track faculty member of the department, however, otherwise qualified individuals outside the department may serve in a co-chair capacity if the department approves such an arrangement.

THE MASTER’S THESIS COMMITTEE

Individuals who hold doctoral degrees or master’s degrees in appropriate fields are eligible to serve as members of the master’s thesis committee. The master’s thesis committee ordinarily should have at least three members, including the thesis chair. At least two of the members should be tenured or tenure-track full time faculty from the department offering the master’s program, and it is permissible for all members to be from the same program. Though continuity of committee membership throughout the thesis process is extremely important, a member of the thesis committee can resign at any time. Replacement members are required if the committee size drops below three. In any case, students should find a replacement for a resigning member, in consultation with the thesis committee chair. Similarly, members can be added at any time during the process. University allows up to 5 on a committee (but 3 have to be campus faculty). Most thesis committees are composed of 3 members but you are allowed up to 5 members at maximum.

Thesis committees should be constituted so that a range of expertise is represented that is pertinent to the topic under study and the methodology likely to be used. Committee members will be called on to advise the student throughout the process in areas appropriate to their expertise and interests. Members will also comment on written materials developed by the master’s candidate when these are presented in a timely manner. Members are responsible for evaluating and approving the completed thesis. All members should be present during the final defense of the thesis.

❖ Chair: tenure/tenure-track faculty member in Department of Justice Studies
❖ Second committee member: Tenure/tenure-track faculty member in Department of Justice Studies
❖ Third committee member: Demonstrated expertise/ qualified individual to thesis topic (the department determines qualification for serving on the committee) CV required by Graduate Studies
❖ Fourth committee member: Demonstrated expertise/ qualified individual to thesis topic (the
department determines qualification for serving on the committee) CV required by Graduate Studies

❖ **Fifth committee member**: University faculty member with demonstrated expertise

**FINALIZE THESIS TOPIC**

It is assumed that by the time students are ready to begin a thesis or project, they already have strong areas of interest that can be developed into research. Still, converting an area of interest into a researchable project is often the most daunting step in the MS process. Before selecting members for a Thesis Committee or proceeding with a full proposal, students are advised to develop a research concept paper, which is used for discussion purposes. This paper should include approximately 3–5 pages of text and an initial reference list to assist in the selection of committee members as well as a basis for further development of the proposal itself. Its purpose is to describe the research idea, present a brief commentary on the literature, and propose an appropriate methodology to conduct the inquiry. Discussing the research concept paper with a potential committee chair or with other potential committee members will enable the student to obtain advice early in the MS process as to the suitability of the topic as a worthwhile study and as to whether or not the research questions and methods are appropriate. Students are strongly encouraged to write this document during their first semester, in consultation with their JS 201 or JS 202 instructor.

6. STEPS FOR COMPLETING PROPOSAL

See the next section for a description of the components of a formal thesis research proposal. The proposal is generally written in several drafts, each revised in response to feedback from the Thesis Chair. When the chair and the student agree that the proposal is ready for defense, the committee chair will be in charge of the format and scheduling of the defense.

**STRUCTURE OF THESIS PROPOSAL**

After the committee chair approves the research concept, work on the formal research proposal can begin. This proposal, in which the author’s project is typically described in the future tense, includes information that will form the basis of the first three chapters of the Final thesis and should be 10-15 pages in length. Please use subheadings appropriately within each chapter.

**CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM**

The introduction presents the problem to be addressed by the research. The introduction should describe a critical issue in a field of investigation and explain the importance of conducting a study to help resolve that issue. Terms likely to be used throughout the proposal should be defined in this chapter.

**CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

A review of literature concerning the topic places the thesis in the context of previous research. The review should focus only on literature and conclusions directly pertinent to the subject and the problem addressed in the thesis. Any pilot work done should be described in this chapter. This chapter should also present the specific hypotheses or research questions to be addressed by the thesis clearly relating these to lines of investigation and conjecture detailed in current literature.
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODS

The research design and methodology section describes in detail how the study will be conducted. This chapter is typically divided into labeled subsections. Often a subsection describing participants or subjects is followed by subsections describing specific hypotheses and identifying independent variables, dependent variables, and control variables used in the study. The next section should include testing or other measurement procedures to be undertaken with the participants and a subsection describing how the resulting measurements will be analyzed to help resolve the problems stated in the introduction. At this point, a short discussion of any likely methodological limitations should also be provided.

REFERENCES

The reference list at the end of the proposal should include all works cited in the proposal; conversely, all items listed as references must have been cited in the text of the proposal. This list is expected to be robust and reflect the relevant peer-reviewed research on the topic.

APPENDICES

Appendices of the proposal should include data-collection tools, such as IRB materials, consent forms, letters of introduction to subjects, questionnaires, survey forms, and the like. The appendices section should begin with its own cover page, followed by its own table of contents page. Each appendix may have its own cover page. The word “appendix” should appear in all capital letters.

THE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD (IRB) FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

The Report of the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects and the Code of Federal Regulations 45 and 46 dictate principles of conduct for research with human subjects. In compliance with these dictates, all research projects involving SJSU faculty, staff, or students—either as investigators or as participants—must be submitted to the SJSU Institutional Review Board (IRB) for review prior to data collection. Master’s candidates writing a thesis involving human subjects must submit an IRB submission form, including an abstract of their proposed project. IRB procedures protect the rights and welfare of research subjects and assure their informed consent to research procedures. Information on submission procedures and how to contact the current chair of the IRB is available online. For additional information on IRB’s policies and procedures, please see Graduate Studies and Research IRB.
7. STEPS FOR COMPLETING THESIS

CONDUCTING THE RESEARCH

It should be pointed out that data collection should not begin until the committee approves the proposal and IRB approval has been obtained. The committee is under no obligation to sanction the use of data collected prior to approval of the proposal. Pilot data may be collected before the proposal is approved, but note that pilot studies involving human subjects must be reviewed by the IRB before data collection begins. Also, it cannot be overemphasized that the student is responsible for maintaining high standards of ethical behavior and integrity in conducting the research project.

STRUCTURE OF THESIS

The document should follow in sequence the steps of the research process. The JS department requires that students abide by the writing styles described in the most recent editions of the American Sociological Association or Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association. It is strongly advised that students refer to the Graduate Studies and Research thesis web pages to familiarize themselves with Graduate Studies requirements.

THESIS TITLE

The title of the thesis should summarize the project, should not generally exceed 15 words, but should include relationships between or among key variables. It may be appropriate for the title to change from the proposal to the thesis draft to account for major changes that occurred prior to the completion of the research or for other reasons suggested by the committee. A title change requires the consent of the committee. The title should include a statement of the relationships between or among the key research variables.

SIGNATURE PAGE AND TABLE OF CONTENTS

On the signature page the following should appear, in order: the title of the thesis, the author’s name, the words “Approved by,” and blank underlines, below which should appear the names of the thesis committee members, followed by their degrees. Committee members (beginning with the chair) sign their names on these lines and indicate the dates of approval. Chapter titles and subheadings should be clearly marked with appropriate page numbers.

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

As in the proposal, the introduction presents the problem addressed by the research. The subject of the thesis is described in such a way that readers will know in a general way the current status of research conclusions on the topic, as well as some theoretical implications associated with the results of previous research on the subject. As in the proposal, the introduction should describe the nature and purpose of the study and explain the significance of and justification for conducting the study. Terms likely to be used throughout the thesis should be defined in this chapter. As an option, a brief summary of the introduction may appear at the end of the chapter. Summaries may also optionally be used to conclude the subsequent chapter.

CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The review of the literature in the thesis places the present study in the context of previous research. The review should be similar to the review of literature in the proposal but should reflect any change of focus or direction that resulted from the research process. Again, this chapter should present the hypothesis...
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODS

The method section of the thesis should build on the description of methods outlined in the proposal. Labeled subsections similar to those in the proposal should be included. These may include a section describing participants or subjects, a section describing the hypothesis and identifying independent, dependent and control variables, testing or other measurement procedures undertaken with the participants, and a section discussing limitations of the methodology. (The descriptions of the analyses which appeared in the proposal are usually incorporated in the results section of the final thesis.)

CHAPTER IV: RESULTS

The results section summarizes the data collected and details the statistical treatment of that data. After a brief statement of the main results or findings of the study, the data are reported in sufficient detail to justify the conclusions. Tables and illustrations (e.g., figures et al.) may be used to report data when these methods are seen to present the data more clearly and economically. All tables and illustrations used should be mentioned in the text, with appropriate titles or captions and enough explanation to make them readily identifiable.

CHAPTER V: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In the discussion section, the results are summarized, evaluated, and interpreted with respect to the original research questions and hypotheses. In this section, the investigator is free to examine, interpret, and qualify the results, as well as to draw inferences from them. Theoretical and practical consequences of the results and the validity of conclusions may appropriately be discussed in this section. The limitations of the study and suggestions for future work may also be included. Include a brief, summarizing conclusion to the study with its own subheading.

REFERENCES

The reference list at the end of the thesis should list all works cited in the thesis, and all items listed as references must have been cited in the thesis text. Special attention should be given to ensure appropriate citations of less common sources, such as unpublished manuscripts.

APPENDICES

Materials that document important components of the thesis research process that would be too lengthy, awkward, or distracting to include within the text should be included as appendices in the final document. These materials may include pertinent raw data, and—as in the proposal—IRB materials, consent forms, letters of introduction to subjects, questionnaires, survey forms, and the like. The appendix section should begin with its own cover page. Each appendix may have its own cover page. The word “APPENDIX” should appear in all capital letters.

Please submit your thesis for publication after the Graduate Studies Office has approved your thesis.
**SCHEDULING A DEFENSE**

Once the candidate has completed the research process, has written a thesis, and has had its final version reviewed and deemed ready for defense by the thesis chair and the other committee members, the chair will request a thesis defense. A master’s candidate should not ask the chair to schedule a defense until the thesis represents the candidate’s best effort to create a final document, incorporating all the content and stylistic requirements set forth in the graduate studies handbook and those recommended by the candidate’s committee. The candidate should be defending a final document, not a draft. However, this does not mean that revisions will not be requested at or after the defense; it simply means that every effort to create a final document should be made by the candidate prior to the defense.

No later than three weeks before the established defense date, the committee chair will send the graduate coordinator a notification of the thesis defense by email that includes the thesis title, abstract, location, date, and time. The graduate coordinator will make a public announcement of the thesis defense at least one week prior to the date.

**LAYOUT OF DEFENSE**

The Thesis Committee Chair leads the defense meeting, in which the candidate presents and defends the thesis in the presence of the members of the thesis committee, the Graduate Coordinator, and other individuals (observers) who choose to attend. The chair first introduces the principal members present, including the candidate. The candidate is then expected to provide an overview of the research, lasting no more than 30 minutes, which highlights significant aspects of the work. Committee members may ask questions at any time during the defense. At the discretion of the chair, observers may be invited to ask questions. After the overview, observers are excused, and further questions may be posed by the committee. Only members of the committee may participate during the final deliberations on the candidate's performance. The candidate is excused for the final deliberations, and is then brought back before the committee which shares its decision and comments. If the committee decides that the defense was successful, multiple copies of a signature page (which will later be bound into copies of the thesis) are signed by the committee members.

**FINAL SUBMISSION OF THE THESIS**

It is probable that further suggestions for revision will be made during the defense, so candidates must expect to continue the process of revising the final document even after the thesis has been successfully defended. During its deliberations at the conclusion of the defense, the committee will establish a deadline for submission of the final document. Once the thesis has been accepted and all committee members have approved the content of the final thesis draft, the draft must be prepared in accordance with Graduate Studies and Research’s requirements of style and format, by following its style sheet for manuscript preparation. It is strongly advised that, unless there is a compelling reason not to do so, candidates complete all minor revisions by two weeks after the defense.