




Molecular Probes



The Leader in Fluorescence Technology



Introduction to Fluorescence Techniques



Introduction to Fluorescence Techniques



Fluorescent probes enable researchers to detect particular components of complex biomolecular assemblies, including live cells, with exquisite sensitivity and selectivity. The purpose of this introduction is to briefly outline fluorescence techniques for newcomers to the field.

The Fluorescence Process

Fluorescence is the result of a three-stage process that occurs in certain molecules (generally polyaromatic hydrocarbons or heterocycles) called fluorophores or fluorescent dyes. A fluorescent probe is a fluorophore designed to localize within a specific region of a biological specimen or to respond to a specific stimulus. The process responsible for the fluorescence of fluorescent probes and other fluorophores is illustrated by a simple electronic-state diagram (Jablonski diagram) shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Jablonski diagram illustrating the processes involved in the creation of an excited electronic singlet state by optical absorption and subsequent emission of fluorescence. The labeled stages 1, 2, 3 are referred to in the text.

Stage ①: Excitation

A photon of energy $h\nu_{\text{EX}}$ is supplied by an external source such as an incandescent lamp or a laser and absorbed by the fluorophore, creating an excited electronic singlet state (S_1'). This process distinguishes fluorescence from chemiluminescence, in which the excited state is created by a chemical reaction.

Stage ②: Excited-State Lifetime

The excited state exists for a finite time (typically $1\text{--}10 \times 10^{-9}$ seconds). During this time, the fluorophore undergoes conformational changes and is also subject to a multitude of possible interactions with its molecular environment. These processes have two important consequences. First, the energy of S_1' is partially dissipated, yielding a relaxed singlet excited state (S_1) from which fluorescence emission originates. Second, not all the molecules initially excited by absorption (Stage 1) return to the ground state (S_0) by fluorescence emission. Other processes such as collisional quench-

ing, fluorescence energy transfer and intersystem crossing (see below) may also depopulate S_1 . The fluorescence quantum yield, which is the ratio of the number of fluorescence photons emitted (Stage 3) to the number of photons absorbed (Stage 1), is a measure of the efficiency of fluorescence in competition with these other processes.

Stage ③: Fluorescence Emission

A photon of energy $h\nu_{\text{EM}}$ is emitted, returning the fluorophore to its ground state S_0 . Due to energy dissipation during the excited-state lifetime, the energy of this photon is lower, and therefore of longer wavelength, than the excitation photon $h\nu_{\text{EX}}$. The difference in energy or wavelength represented by $(h\nu_{\text{EX}} - h\nu_{\text{EM}})$ is called the Stokes shift. The Stokes shift is fundamental to the sensitivity of fluorescence techniques because it allows emission photons to be detected against a low background, isolated from excitation photons. In contrast, absorption spectrophotometry requires measurement of transmitted light relative to high incident light levels at the same wavelength.

Fluorescence Spectra

The entire fluorescence process is cyclical. Unless the fluorophore is irreversibly destroyed in the excited state (an important phenomenon known as photobleaching, see below), the same fluorophore can be repeatedly excited and detected. For polyatomic molecules in solution, the discrete electronic transitions represented by $h\nu_{\text{EX}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{EM}}$ in Figure 1 are replaced by rather broad energy spectra called the fluorescence excitation spectrum and fluorescence emission spectrum, respectively. The bandwidths of these spectra are parameters of particular importance for applications in which two or more different fluorophores are simultaneously detected (see below). With few exceptions, the fluorescence excitation spectrum of a single fluorophore species in dilute solution is identical to its absorption spectrum. Under the same conditions, the fluorescence emission spectrum is independent of the excitation wavelength, due




Figure 2. Excitation of a fluorophore at three different wavelengths (EX 1, EX 2, EX 3) does not change the emission profile but does produce variations in fluorescence emission intensity (EM 1, EM 2, EM 3) that correspond to the amplitude of the excitation spectrum.

to the partial dissipation of excitation energy during the excited-state lifetime as illustrated in Figure 1. The emission intensity is proportional to the amplitude of the fluorescence excitation spectrum at the excitation wavelength (Figure 2).

Fluorescence Detection

Fluorescence Instrumentation

Four essential elements of fluorescence detection systems can be identified from the preceding discussion: (1) an excitation source, (2) a fluorophore, (3) wavelength filters to isolate emission photons from excitation photons and (4) a detector that registers emission photons and produces a recordable output, usually as an electrical signal or a photographic image. Regardless of the application, compatibility of these four elements is essential for optimizing fluorescence detection.

Fluorescence instruments are primarily of three types, each providing distinctly different information:

- A **spectrofluorometer** measures the *average* properties of bulk (μL to mL) samples.
- A **fluorescence microscope** resolves fluorescence as a function of spatial coordinates in two or three dimensions.
- A **flow cytometer** measures fluorescence per cell in a flowing stream, allowing subpopulations within a large sample to be identified and quantitated.

Each type of instrument produces different measurement artifacts and makes different demands on the fluorescent probe. For example, although photobleaching is often a significant problem in fluorescence microscopy, it is not a major impediment in flow cytometry because the dwell time of individual cells in the excitation beam is short.

Fluorescence Signals

Fluorescence intensity is quantitatively dependent on the same parameters as absorbance — defined by the Beer–Lambert law as the product of the molar extinction coefficient, optical pathlength and solute concentration — as well as on the fluorescence quantum yield of the dye and the excitation source intensity and fluorescence collection efficiency of the instrument. In dilute solutions or suspensions, fluorescence intensity is linearly proportional to these parameters. When sample absorbance exceeds about 0.05 in a 1 cm pathlength, the relationship becomes nonlinear and measurements may be distorted by artifacts such as self-absorption and the inner-filter effect.¹ Because fluorescence quantitation is dependent on the instrument, fluorescent reference standards are essential for calibrating measurements made at different times or using different instrument configurations.^{2–4} To meet these requirements, Molecular Probes offers high-precision fluorescent microsphere reference standards for fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry.

A spectrofluorometer is extremely flexible, providing continuous ranges of excitation and emission wavelengths. Laser-scanning microscopes and flow cytometers, however, require probes that are excitable at a single fixed wavelength. In contemporary instruments, the excitation source is usually the 488 nm spectral line of the argon-ion laser. As shown in Figure 3, separation of the fluorescence emission signal (S1) from Rayleigh-scattered excitation light (EX) is facilitated by a large fluorescence Stokes shift (i.e., separation of A1 and E1). Biological samples labeled with fluorescent probes typically contain more than one fluorescent species, making signal-isolation issues more complex. Additional optical signals,

represented in Figure 3 as S2, may be due to background fluorescence or to a second fluorescent probe.

Background Fluorescence

Fluorescence detection sensitivity is severely compromised by background signals, which may originate from endogenous sample constituents (referred to as autofluorescence) or from unbound or nonspecifically bound probes (referred to as reagent background). Detection of autofluorescence can be minimized either by selecting filters that reduce the transmission of E2 relative to E1 or by selecting probes that absorb and emit at longer wavelengths. Although narrowing the fluorescence detection bandwidth increases the resolution of E1 and E2, it also compromises the overall fluorescence intensity detected. Signal distortion caused by autofluorescence of cells, tissues and biological fluids is most readily minimized by using probes that can be excited at >500 nm. Furthermore, at longer wavelengths, light scattering by dense media such as tissues is much reduced, resulting in greater penetration of the excitation light.

Multicolor Labeling Experiments

A multicolor labeling experiment entails the deliberate introduction of two or more probes to simultaneously monitor different biochemical functions. This technique has major applications in flow cytometry,^{5,6} DNA sequencing,^{7,8} fluorescence *in situ* hybridization^{9,10} and fluorescence microscopy.^{11,12} Signal isolation and data analysis are facilitated by maximizing the spectral separation of the multiple emissions (E1 and E2 in Figure 3). Consequently, fluorophores with narrow spectral bandwidths, such as Molecular Probes' BODIPY® dyes, are particularly useful in multicolor applications.⁷ An ideal combination of dyes for multicolor labeling would exhibit strong absorption at a coincident excitation wavelength and well-separated emission spectra (Figure 3). Unfortunately, it is not easy to find dyes with the requisite combination of a large extinction coefficient for absorption and a large Stokes shift.¹³

Ratiometric Measurements

In some cases, for example the calcium indicators fura-2 and indo-1 and the pH indicators BCECF, SNARF® and SNAFL®, the free and ion-bound forms of fluorescent ion indicators have different emission or excitation spectra. With this type of indicator, the ratio of the optical signals (S1 and S2 in Figure 3) can be used to monitor the association equilibrium and to calculate ion concentrations. Ratiometric measurements eliminate distortions of data caused by photobleaching and variations in probe loading and retention, as well as by instrumental factors such as illumination stability.¹⁴




Figure 3. Fluorescence detection of mixed species. Excitation (EX) in overlapping absorption bands A1 and A2 produces two fluorescent species with spectra E1 and E2. Optical filters isolate quantitative emission signals S1 and S2.

Fluorescence Output of Fluorophores

Comparing Different Dyes

Fluorophores currently used as fluorescent probes offer sufficient permutations of wavelength range, Stokes shift and spectral bandwidth to meet requirements imposed by instrumentation (e.g., 488 nm excitation), while allowing flexibility in the design of multi-color labeling experiments (Figure 4). The fluorescence output of a given dye depends on the efficiency with which it absorbs and emits photons, and its ability to undergo repeated excitation/emission cycles. Absorption and emission efficiencies are most usefully quantified in terms of the molar extinction coefficient (ϵ) for absorption and the quantum yield (QY) for fluorescence. Both are constants under specific environmental conditions. The value of ϵ is specified at a single wavelength (usually the absorption maximum), whereas QY is a measure of the total photon emission over the entire fluorescence spectral profile. Fluorescence intensity per dye molecule is proportional to the product of ϵ and QY, the measure used to produce the rank ordering of the fluorophores in Figure 4. The range of these parameters among fluorophores of current practical importance is approximately 5000 to 200,000 cm²M⁻¹ for ϵ and 0.05 to 1.0 for QY. Phycobiliproteins such as R-phycoerythrin have multiple fluorophores on each protein and consequently have much larger extinction coefficients (on the order of 2×10^6 cm²M⁻¹) than low molecular weight fluorophores.

Photobleaching

Under high-intensity illumination conditions, the irreversible destruction or photobleaching of the excited fluorophore becomes the factor limiting fluorescence detectability. Recent investigations have provided a detailed description of the multiple photochemical reaction pathways responsible for photobleaching of fluorescein.^{15,16} Some pathways include reactions between adjacent dye molecules, making the process considerably more complex in

labeled biological specimens than in dilute solutions of free dye. In all cases, photobleaching originates from the triplet excited state, which is created from the singlet state (S_1 , Figure 1) via an excited-state process called intersystem crossing.

The most effective remedy for photobleaching is to maximize detection sensitivity, which allows the excitation intensity to be reduced. Detection sensitivity is enhanced by low-light detection devices such as CCD cameras, as well as by high-numerical aperture objectives and the widest emission bandpass filters compatible with satisfactory signal isolation. Alternatively, a less photolabile fluorophore may be substituted in the experiment. Molecular Probes' Alexa™ 488 dye is an important new fluorescein substitute that provides greater photostability than fluorescein, yet is compatible with standard fluorescein optical filters. Antifade reagents such as Molecular Probes' *SlowFade*® and *ProLong*® products can also be applied to reduce photobleaching; however, they are usually incompatible with live cells. In general, it is difficult to predict the necessity for and effectiveness of such countermeasures because photobleaching rates are dependent on the fluorophore's environment.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Signal Amplification

The most straightforward way to enhance fluorescence signals is to increase the number of fluorophores available for detection. Fluorescent signals can be amplified using 1) avidin–biotin or antibody–hapten secondary detection techniques¹⁹, 2) enzyme-labeled secondary detection reagents in conjunction with fluorogenic substrates²⁰ or 3) probes that contain multiple fluorophores such as phycobiliproteins and Molecular Probes' FluoSpheres® fluorescent microspheres.

Simply increasing the probe concentration can be counterproductive and often produces marked changes in the probe's chemical and optical characteristics. It is important to note that the effective intracellular concentration of probes loaded by bulk permeabilization methods is usually much higher (>10-fold) than the extracellular incubation concentration. Also, increased labeling of proteins or membranes ultimately leads to precipitation of the protein or gross changes in membrane permeability. Antibodies labeled with more than four to six fluorophores per protein may exhibit reduced specificity and reduced binding affinity. Furthermore, at high degrees of substitution, the extra fluorescence obtained per added fluorophore typically decreases due to self-quenching.




Figure 4. Absorption and fluorescence spectral ranges for 23 fluorophores of current practical importance. The range encompasses only those values of the absorbance or the fluorescence emission that are >25% of the maximum value. Fluorophores are arranged vertically in rank order of the product $\epsilon_{\text{max}} \times \text{QY}$, in either methanol or aqueous buffer as specified. Some important excitation source lines are indicated on the upper horizontal axis.

Environmental Sensitivity of Fluorescence

Fluorescence spectra and quantum yields are generally more dependent on the environment than absorption spectra and extinction coefficients. For example, coupling a single fluorescein label to a protein reduces fluorescein's QY ~60% but only decreases its ϵ by ~10%. Interactions either between two adjacent fluorophores or between a fluorophore and other species in the surrounding environment can produce environment-sensitive fluorescence.

Fluorophore–Fluorophore Interactions

Fluorescence quenching can be defined as a bimolecular process that reduces the fluorescence quantum yield without changing the fluorescence emission spectrum; it can result from transient excited-state interactions (collisional quenching) or from formation of nonfluorescent ground-state species. Self-quenching is the quenching of one fluorophore by another; it therefore tends to occur when high loading concentrations or labeling densities are used.

The substrates in several of Molecular Probes' EnzChek™ Assay Kits are heavily labeled, and therefore highly quenched biopolymers, that exhibit dramatic fluorescence enhancement upon enzymatic cleavage.²¹ Studies of the self-quenching of carboxyfluorescein show that the mechanism involves energy transfer to nonfluorescent dimers.²²

Excimer (excited-state dimer) formation is similar to self-quenching except that in this case the dimer is fluorescent, with an emission spectrum that is clearly resolved from that of the monomeric fluorophore. Lipid analogs labeled with pyrene or BODIPY FL dyes are prominent examples of excimer-forming probes. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) is a strongly distance-dependent excited state interaction in which the emission of one fluorophore (the "acceptor") is coupled to the excitation of another (the "donor"). A typical manifestation of FRET is increased emission from the acceptor with a compensating decrease of donor emission as the separation of the two fluorophores decreases.

Because they all depend on the interaction of adjacent fluorophores, self-quenching, FRET and excimer formation can be exploited for monitoring a wide array of molecular assembly or fragmentation processes such as membrane fusion, nucleic acid hybridization, ligand–receptor binding and polypeptide hydrolysis.

Other Environmental Factors

Many other environmental factors exert influences on fluorescence properties. The three most common are:

- Solvent polarity (solvent in this context includes interior regions of cells, proteins, membranes and other biomolecular structures)
- Proximity and concentrations of quenching species
- pH of the aqueous medium

Fluorescence spectra may be strongly dependent on solvent. This characteristic is most usually observed with fluorophores that have large excited-state dipole moments, resulting in fluorescence spectral shifts to longer wavelengths in polar solvents. Representative fluorophores include the aminonaphthalenes such as prodan, and dansyl, which are effective probes of environmental polarity in, for example, a protein's interior.²³

Binding of a probe to its target can dramatically affect its fluorescence quantum yield. Probes that have a high fluorescence quantum yield when bound to a particular target but are otherwise effectively nonfluorescent yield extremely low reagent background signals (see above). Molecular Probes' ultrasensitive SYBR®,

SYTO®, PicoGreen® and OliGreen® nucleic acid stains are prime examples of this strategy. Similarly, fluorogenic enzyme substrates, which are nonfluorescent until they are converted to fluorescent products by enzymatic cleavage (see below), allow sensitive detection of enzymatic activity.

Extrinsic quenchers, the most ubiquitous of which are paramagnetic species such as O₂ and heavy atoms such as iodide, reduce fluorescence quantum yields in a concentration-dependent manner. If quenching is caused by collisional interactions, as is usually the case, information on the proximity of the fluorophore and quencher and their mutual diffusion rate can be derived. Many fluorophores are also quenched by proteins. Examples are NBD, fluorescein and BODIPY dyes, in which the effect is apparently due to charge-transfer interactions with aromatic amino acid residues.^{24–26} Consequently, antibodies raised against these fluorophores are effective and highly specific fluorescence quenchers.

Fluorophores such as BCECF and carboxy SNARF-1 that have strongly pH-dependent absorption and fluorescence characteristics can be used as physiological pH indicators. Fluorescein and hydroxycoumarins (umbelliferones) are further examples of this type of fluorophore. Structurally, pH sensitivity is due to a reconfiguration of the fluorophores' π -electron system that occurs upon protonation. Molecular Probes' BODIPY FL fluorophore, which lacks protolytically ionizable substituents, provides a spectrally equivalent alternative to fluorescein for applications requiring a pH-insensitive probe.

Modifying Environmental Sensitivity of a Fluorophore

The environmental sensitivity of a fluorophore can be transformed by structural modifications to achieve a desired probe specificity. For example, conversion of the prototropic 3'- and 6'-hydroxyl groups of fluorescein to acetate esters yields fluorescein diacetate. This derivatization causes fluorescein to adopt the non-fluorescent lactone configuration that is also prevalent at low pH²⁷; cleavage of the acetates by esterases under appropriate pH conditions releases fluorescent anionic fluorescein. Fluorogenic substrates for other hydrolytic enzymes can be created by replacing acetates with other appropriate functional groups (e.g. fluorescein diphosphate for alkaline phosphatase). Furthermore, unlike fluorescein, fluorescein diacetate is uncharged and therefore somewhat membrane permeant. This property forms the basis of an important noninvasive method for loading polar fluorescent indicators into cells in the form of membrane-permeant precursors that can be activated by intracellular esterases.²⁸

1. Analyst 119, 417 (1994); 2. Meth Cell Biol 42, 605 (1994); 3. Meth Cell Biol 30, 113 (1989); 4. *Luminescence Applications in Biological, Chemical, Environmental and Hydrological Sciences* (ACS Symposium Series 383), M.C. Goldberg, Ed., American Chemical Society (1989) pp. 98–126; 5. Meth Cell Biol 41, 61 (1994); 6. Methods: Comp Meth Enzymol 2, 192 (1991); 7. Science 271, 1420 (1996); 8. Anal Biochem 223, 39 (1994); 9. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89, 1388 (1992); 10. Cytometry 11, 126 (1990); 11. Meth Cell Biol 38, 97 (1993); 12. Meth Cell Biol 30, 449 (1989); 13. Haugland, R.P. in *Optical Microscopy for Biology*, B. Herman and K. Jacobson, Eds., Wiley-Liss (1990) pp. 143–157; 14. Meth Cell Biol 56, 237 (1998); 15. Biophys J 70, 2959 (1996); 16. Biophys J 68, 2588 (1995); 17. J Cell Biol 100, 1309 (1985); 18. J Org Chem 38, 1057 (1973); 19. J Immunol Meth 122, 115 (1989); 20. J Histochem Cytochem 43, 77 (1995); 21. Anal Biochem 251, 144 (1997); 22. Anal Biochem 172, 61 (1988); 23. Nature 319, 70 (1986); 24. Biophys J 69, 716 (1995); 25. Biochemistry 16, 5150 (1977); 26. Immunochimistry 14, 533 (1977); 27. Spectrochim Acta A 51, L7 (1995); 28. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 55, 134 (1966).

Selected Books and Articles on Fluorescence Techniques

The preceding discussion has introduced some general principles involved in biological applications of fluorescence detection techniques. For in-depth treatments of fluorescence techniques and their biological applications, the reader is referred to the many excellent books and review articles listed opposite.

Fluorescence: Fundamentals and Applications

Brand, L. and Johnson, M.L., Eds., *Fluorescence Spectroscopy (Methods in Enzymology, Volume 278)*, Academic Press (1997). **Available from Molecular Probes.**

Cantor, C.R. and Schimmel, P.R., *Biophysical Chemistry Part 2*, W.H. Freeman (1980) pp. 433–465.

Dewey, T.G., Ed., *Biophysical and Biochemical Aspects of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Plenum Publishing (1991).

Goldberg, M.C., Ed., *Luminescence Applications in Biological, Chemical, Environmental and Hydrological Sciences (ACS Symposium Series 383)*, American Chemical Society (1989).

Guilbault, G.G., Ed., *Practical Fluorescence, Second Edition*, Marcel Dekker (1990).

Fluorescence Microscopy

Denk, W. and Svoboda, K., “Photon Upmanship: Why Multiphoton Imaging is more than a Gimmick,” *Neuron* 18, 351 (1997).

Herman, B., *Fluorescence Microscopy, Second Edition*, BIOS Scientific Publishers (1998). **Available from Molecular Probes.**

Inoué, S. and Spring, K.R., *Video Microscopy*, Second Edition, Plenum Publishing (1997).

Jovin, T.M. and Arndt-Jovin, D.J., “Luminescence Digital Imaging Microscopy,” *Annu Rev Biophys Biophys Chem* 18, 271 (1989).

Matsumoto, B., Ed., *Cell Biological Applications of Confocal Microscopy (Methods in Cell Biology, Volume 38)*, Academic Press (1993).

Flow Cytometry

Darzynkiewicz, Z., Robinson, J.P. and Crissman, H.A., Eds., *Flow Cytometry, Parts A and B (Methods in Cell Biology, Volumes 41 and 42)*, Academic Press (1994).

Davey, H.M. and Kell, D.B., “Flow Cytometry and Cell Sorting of Heterogeneous Microbial Populations: The Importance of Single-Cell Analyses,” *Microbiological Rev* 60, 641 (1996).

Gilman-Sachs, A., “Flow Cytometry,” *Anal Chem* 66, 700A (1994).

Girvan, A.L., *Flow Cytometry: First Principles*, Wiley-Liss (1992).

Fluorophores and Fluorescent Probes

Adams, S.R. and Tsien, R.Y., “Controlling Cell Chemistry with Caged Compounds,” *Annu Rev Physiol* 55, 755 (1993).

Berlman, I.B., *Handbook of Fluorescence Spectra of Aromatic Molecules, Second Edition*, Academic Press (1971).

Czarnik, A.W., Ed., *Fluorescent Chemosensors for Ion and Molecule Recognition (ACS Symposium Series 538)*, American Chemical Society (1993).

Drexhage, K.H., “Structure and Properties of Laser Dyes” in *Dye Lasers, Third Edition*, F.P. Schäfer, Ed., Springer-Verlag, (1990) pp. 155–200.

Giuliano, K.A. *et al.*, “Fluorescent Protein Biosensors: Measurement of Molecular Dynamics in Living Cells,” *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 24, 405 (1995).

Griffiths, J., *Colour and Constitution of Organic Molecules*, Academic Press (1976).

Harris, D.A. and Bashford, C.L., Eds., *Spectrophotometry and Spectrofluorimetry: A Practical Approach*, IRL Press (1987).

Hemmilä, I.A., *Applications of Fluorescence in Immunoassays*, John Wiley and Sons (1991).

Lakowicz, J.R., Ed., *Topics in Fluorescence Spectroscopy: Techniques (Volume 1, 1991); Principles (Volume 2, 1991); Biochemical Applications (Volume 3, 1992); Probe Design and Chemical Sensing (Volume 4, 1994); Nonlinear and Two-Photon Induced Fluorescence (Volume 5, 1997)*, Plenum Publishing.

Lakowicz, J.R., *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Plenum Publishing (1983).

Pawley, J.B., Ed., *Handbook of Biological Confocal Microscopy, Second Edition*, Plenum Publishing (1995).

Rost, F.W.D., *Fluorescence Microscopy, Volumes 1 and 2*, Cambridge University Press (1992).

Rost, F.W.D., *Quantitative Fluorescence Microscopy*, Cambridge University Press (1991).

Rubbi, C.P., *Light Microscopy: Essential Data*, John Wiley and Sons (1994).

Sheppard, C.J.R. and Shotton, D.M., *Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy*, BIOS Scientific Publishers (1997).

Grogan, W.M. and Collins, J.M., *Guide to Flow Cytometry Methods*, Marcel Dekker (1990).

Jensen, B.D. and Horan, P.K., “Flow Cytometry: Rapid Isolation and Analysis of Single Cells,” *Meth Enzymol* 171, 549 (1989).

Lloyd, D., Ed., *Flow Cytometry in Microbiology*, Springer-Verlag (1993).

Melamed, M.R., Lindmo, T. and Mendelsohn, M.L., Eds., *Flow Cytometry and Sorting, Second Edition*, Wiley-Liss (1990).

Haugland, R.P., *Handbook of Fluorescent Probes and Research Chemicals, Sixth Edition*, M.T.Z. Spence, Ed., Molecular Probes, Inc. (1996). **Available from Molecular Probes.**

Haugland, R.P., “Coupling of Monoclonal Antibodies with Fluorophores” in *Monoclonal Antibody Protocols (Methods in Molecular Biology, Volume 45)*, W.C. Davis, Ed., Humana Press (1995) pp. 205–221.

Haugland, R.P., “Spectra of Fluorescent Dyes Used in Flow Cytometry,” *Meth Cell Biol* 42, 641 (1994).

Hermanson, G.T., *Bioconjugate Techniques*, Academic Press (1996). **Available from Molecular Probes.**

Johnson, I.D., Ryan, D. and Haugland, R.P., “Comparing Fluorescent Organic Dyes for Biomolecular Labeling” in *Methods in Nonradioactive Detection*, G.C. Howard, Ed., Appleton and Lange (1993) pp. 47–68.

Johnson, I.D., “Fluorescent Probes for Living Cells,” *Histochem J* 30, 123 (1998).

Mathies, R.A., Peck, K. and Stryer, L., “Optimization of High-Sensitivity Fluorescence Detection,” *Anal Chem* 62, 1786 (1990).

Warner, I.M., Soper, S.A. and McGown, L.B., “Molecular Fluorescence, Phosphorescence, and Chemiluminescence Spectrometry,” *Anal Chem* 68, 73R (1996).

Parker, C.A., *Photoluminescence of Solutions*, Elsevier (1968).

Royer, C.A., “Approaches to Teaching Fluorescence Spectroscopy,” *Biophys J* 68, 1191 (1995).

Taylor, D.L. *et al.*, Eds., *Applications of Fluorescence in the Biomedical Sciences*, A.R. Liss (1986).

Stevens, J.K., Mills, L.R. and Trogadis, J.E., Eds., *Three-Dimensional Confocal Microscopy: Volume Investigation of Biological Systems*, Academic Press (1994).

Taylor, D.L. and Wang, Y.L., Eds., *Fluorescence Microscopy of Living Cells in Culture, Parts A and B (Methods in Cell Biology, Volumes 29 and 30)*, Academic Press (1989).

Tsien, R.Y., “Fluorescence Imaging Creates a Window on the Cell,” *Chem Eng News* 72, 34 (1994).

Wang, X.F. and Herman, B., Eds., *Fluorescence Imaging Spectroscopy and Microscopy*, John Wiley and Sons (1996).

Ormerod, M.G., Ed., *Flow Cytometry: A Practical Approach, Second Edition*, IRL Press (1994).

Robinson, J.P., Ed., *Handbook of Flow Cytometry Methods*, Wiley-Liss (1993).

Robinson, J.P., Darzynkiewicz, Z., Dean, P.N., Dressler, L., Rabinovitch, P.S., Stewart, C.C., Tanke, H.J. and Wheelless, L., Eds., *Current Protocols in Cytometry*, John Wiley and Sons (1997).

Shapiro, H.M., *Practical Flow Cytometry, Third Edition*, Wiley-Liss (1994).

Kasten, F.H., “Introduction to Fluorescent Probes: Properties, History and Applications” in *Fluorescent and Luminescent Probes for Biological Activity*, W.T. Mason, Ed., Academic Press (1993) pp. 12–33.

Mason, W.T., Ed., *Fluorescent and Luminescent Probes for Biological Activity*, Academic Press (1993). **Available from Molecular Probes.**

Tsien, R.Y., “The Green Fluorescent Protein,” *Annu Rev Biochem* 67, 509 (1998).

Waggoner, A.S., “Fluorescent Probes for Cytometry” in *Flow Cytometry and Sorting, Second Edition*, M.R. Melamed, T. Lindmo and M.L. Mendelsohn, Eds., Wiley-Liss (1990) pp. 209–225.

Wells, S. and Johnson, I., “Fluorescent Labels for Confocal Microscopy” in *Three-Dimensional Confocal Microscopy: Volume Investigation of Biological Systems*, J.K. Stevens, L.R. Mills and J.E. Trogadis, Eds., Academic Press (1994) pp. 101–129.

MOLECULAR PROBES, INC.

Eugene, Oregon USA

Technical Assistance: (541) 465-8353

Technical Assistance Fax: (541) 465-4593

E-mail: tech@probes.com

Customer Service: (541) 465-8338

Customer Service Fax: (541) 344-6504

E-mail: order@probes.com

For USA and Canada

Toll-Free Order: (800) 438-2209

Toll-Free Order Fax: (800) 438-0228

MOLECULAR PROBES EUROPE BV

Leiden, The Netherlands

Technical Assistance: +31-71-5233431

Technical Assistance Fax: +31-71-5233419

E-mail: eurotech@probes.nl

Customer Service: +31-71-5236850

Customer Service Fax: +31-71-5233419

E-mail: eurorder@probes.nl

www.probes.com

