

6  
7  
8  
9 **POLICY RECOMMENDATION**  
10 **Amendment A to F81-7**  
11 **“Appointment Procedures for**  
12 **Grant-Related Instructional**  
13 **Faculty of Exceptional Merit”**  
14 **(GRIF)**

15  
16  
17 **Resolved:** That F81-7 be amended with the revisions shown, effective immediately.

18  
19 **Rationale:** *F81-7 is our campus policy regulating the appointment of a very small*  
20 *number of faculty who are appointed with annual salary supplements above*  
21 *that of the CSU/CFA contract. There are at present only 2 such faculty at*  
22 *SJSU. The number of these faculty are limited to 100 system wide, and the*  
23 *size of their supplementary salary is currently limited to 5-35% of their*  
24 *normal salary. The supplements are paid by non-state dollars, including*  
25 *grants, gifts, or foundation resources, and are designed “to permit*  
26 *campuses to appoint individuals of regional and national professional*  
27 *stature.” These have become known as Grant-Related Instructional Faculty*  
28 *(GRIF.)*

29  
30 *This program is nearly 40 years old and is currently regulated by CSU*  
31 *coded memorandum HR 2005-37. The coded memo requires that*  
32 *campuses create their own procedures for the selection of GRIF faculty, and*  
33 *F81-7 is our campus’s document to comply with this system requirement.*

34  
35 *Some of the parameters have changed since our campus policy was first*  
36 *approved in 1981, such as the change from 25% to 35% for the maximum*  
37 *supplementary salary. These amendments are designed to bring our policy*  
38 *into compliance with the current coded memo, and to make it less likely that*  
39 *it will need to be amended in the future as new coded memos are released.*  
40 *We have, for example, removed the specific reference to the size of the*  
41 *award since it has changed and since the procedures should apply even if it*  
42 *were to change again.*

43  
44 *In drafting these amendments, Professional Standards was loathe to*  
45 *become too specific about the process for appointment or renewal given*  
46 *that the policy concerns such a tiny number of cases. Instead, both the old*  
47 *and the revised policy rely upon the relevant actors to develop procedures*  
48 *as they go, within certain broad boundary lines. While faculty committees*  
49 *must be involved in approval, the policy is deliberately silent about which*  
50 *committees they will be—whether an existing personnel committee or a*  
51 *specially appointed committee. The nitty gritty details are primarily left to*  
52 *the Provost, as they are now.*

53  
54  
55  
56 **Approved:** April 16, 2018  
57 **Vote:** 8-0-0  
58 **Present:** Chin, He, Marachi, McKee, Peter, Donahue, Kimbarow  
59 **Absent:** White, Kauppila  
60 **Financial Impact:** None.  
61 **Workload Impact:** None.  
62  
63

- 64 1. Definition and Minimum Qualifications for Grant-Related Instructional Faculty (GRIF)  
65  
66 1.1. As a result of action taken by the CSU Board of Trustees, instructional faculty  
67 members meeting specified criteria may be appointed with additional to two  
68 classes (10-month and 12-month); each provides for compensation from grants,  
69 individual gifts or bequests, or foundation allocations at a 5-25% differential above  
70 the salary for their regular rank and step. In addition to the education and  
71 experience normally required for the academic rank to which they are to be  
72 appointed, the criteria are that the candidates have exceptional professional merit  
73 in scholarship and teaching as evidenced by regional or national recognition.  
74  
75 1.2. CSU coded memo (HR 2005-37 at the time of this policy recommendation, or its  
76 successor memo if subsequently changed) FSA 75-55 further describes these  
77 classes appointments and should be referenced whenever making a GRIF  
78 appointment. The most important provisions of the coded memo include the  
79 following:  
80  
81  
82 1.2.1. Each appointment is to be made ~~Each appointment to one or the other~~  
83 ~~class is to be made, as appropriate,~~ for one academic year or 12 month period  
84 only, subject to additional appointments by the president after faculty  
85 consultation and within funding limits. ~~the limits of the grant support.~~  
86  
87 1.2.2. No tenure accrual or salary rights attach to a GRIF appointment either class  
88 separate from the tenure rights and salary normally accruing from regular full-  
89 time faculty appointment. Appointment to either class does not constitute a  
90 promotion; nor does termination of an appointment without renewal constitute  
91 a demotion.  
92  
93 1.3. Qualifications. Candidates recommended for GRIF designation should be of  
94 “regional or national professional stature” and should be of “exceptional merit.”  
95 Particular qualifications for positions shall be identified either by the fund grantor,  
96 subject to the approval of the appropriate department, college, or university  
97 committees and administrators, or by consultation among the appropriate  
98 committees and administrators. Normally, department recruitment committees,  
99 college school policy committees, department chairs, and college school deans  
100 should be consulted, with final approval from the Provost Academic Vice President  
101 and the President.  
102  
103  
104 2. Appointment Procedures.  
105  
106 ~~Appointment procedures for these classes shall be developed as follows:~~  
107  
108 2.1. GRIF faculty must first be appointed using university procedures for the  
109 recruitment and selection of faculty (S15-6 at the time of this policy  
110 recommendation or its successor policy.) No appointment may be made without  
111 the recommendation of the appropriate faculty committee(s) and administrator(s)  
112 in the unit to which the appointment is made, and without the approval of the  
113 Provost and the President.  
114

115 2.2. Designation of a new or existing faculty position as a GRIF position shall be  
116 subject to the review of an appropriate faculty committee, with final approval from  
117 the Provost and the President.  
118

119 2.3. Specific selection procedures. Procedures for selection of recipients of particular  
120 grants shall be developed either by the fund grantor, subject to the approval of the  
121 appropriate department, college, or university committees and administrators, or  
122 by consultation among the appropriate committees and administrators.

123 ~~Procedures for selection of recipients of particular grants shall be developed by a~~  
124 ~~similar process of consultation.~~ Procedures will necessarily vary because of  
125 differences in the nature and terms of funding arrangements, but should include  
126 specific provisions relating to recruitment of candidates (whether by national  
127 ~~affirmative action~~ search; nomination by grantor, university faculty, university  
128 administrators, etc.) and the final selection. ~~Whenever possible, normal university~~  
129 ~~procedures for the recruitment and selection of faculty should be used. No~~  
130 ~~appointment may be made without the recommendation of the appropriate faculty~~  
131 ~~committee(s) and administrator(s) in the unit to which the appointment is made,~~  
132 ~~and without the approval of the Academic Vice President and the President.~~  
133

134 2.4. Renewal of a GRIF designation in subsequent years may be expedited according  
135 to procedures determined by the Provost, but shall require annual review by an  
136 appropriate faculty committee.