

1 **SAN JOSÉ STATE UNIVERSITY**
2 **Academic Senate**
3 **Professional Standards Committee**
4 **March 22, 2021**
5 **Final Reading**

AS 1805

6
7
8 **POLICY RECOMMENDATION**

9
10 **Amendment E to University Policy S15-8**
11 **Retention, Tenure and Promotion for Regular Faculty Employees: Criteria and**
12 **Standards**
13 **To provide for “The Scholarship of Engagement”**

14
15 **Resolved:** That S15-8 be amended as indicated by the strikeout and underline in the
16 following excerpt of the policy, renumbering existing paragraphs as
17 appropriate; be it further

18
19 **Resolved:** That these changes become effective for the 2021-2022 academic year
20 and not before.

21
22 **Rationale:** Beginning with the influential Boyer model of scholarship in the 1990s an
23 increasing number of universities have expanded the range of
24 achievements that can be considered as “scholarship.” One area, referred
25 to by Boyer initially as “the scholarship of application” was renamed in a
26 later edition as “the scholarship of engagement.” This category
27 acknowledges the important role played when faculty expertise is
28 “engaged” in the community. In this amendment we add the category of
29 “The Scholarship of Engagement” based upon descriptions used at a
30 number of other universities (Purdue, Oregon State, and Michigan State in
31 part) to make clear that SJSU values and will reward this kind of activity.
32 Professional Standards holds that engaged scholarship is particularly
33 appropriate for SJSU, which seeks to deploy a diverse faculty with
34 expertise that can benefit the many professional and local communities of
35 which we are an integral part.

36
37 **Approved:** March 15, 2021

38 **Vote:** 11-0-0

39 **Present:** Peter, Wang, Raman, Smith, Cargill, Saldamli, Quock, Mahendra, Barrera,
40 Monday, Riley

41

42 **Absent:** None

43 **Financial Impact:** No direct impact

44 **Workload Impact:** No direct impact

45 **POLICY RECOMMENDATION**
46 **Amendment E to University Policy S15-8**
47 **Retention, Tenure and Promotion for Regular Faculty Employees: Criteria and**
48 **Standards**
49 **To provide for “The Scholarship of Engagement”**
50

51 2.3 Scholarly/Artistic/Professional Achievement
52

53 2.3.1 The second basic category for evaluation is scholarly/artistic/professional
54 achievement. Such contributions to a faculty member's discipline or professional
55 community, or application of scholarly expertise to improve the community, are
56 expected for continuation and advancement in the university. This category is
57 subdivided into several areas for ease of description and reference. ~~three areas:~~
58 ~~scholarly, artistic, and professional; this division is for ease of reference only.~~ These
59 ~~three~~ areas are not perfectly distinct and some candidates will demonstrate their
60 disciplinary expertise within two or more ~~all three~~ of the areas. Some achievements may
61 have characteristics of more than one area. The overarching principle should be to
62 reward significant scholarly/artistic/professional achievement regardless of the form it
63 may take.
64

65 2.3.1.1 The nature of the expected contributions will vary according to the
66 discipline, and may be more specifically defined in each department's
67 guidelines.
68

69 2.3.1.2 The nature of contributions will also vary according to the faculty
70 member's professional interests. Scholarly/Artistic/Professional
71 Achievements may include original research that advances knowledge; or
72 the synthesis of information across disciplines, topics, or time; or the
73 engaged application of disciplinary expertise within or outside the
74 University; or the systematic study of teaching and learning within the
75 discipline; or a combination of these forms of achievement.
76

77 2.3.1.3 Evaluation must be made by disciplinary peers. Acceptance of scholarly
78 or artistic work by an editorial or review board (or jury) constitutes an
79 evaluation of that work. Professional contributions should be evaluated by
80 persons in a position to assess the quality and significance of the
81 contributions. Candidates may request that disciplinary experts provide
82 evaluations of any of their work to be included in the dossier. Such
83 evaluations should characterize the broad impact, scope, or significance
84 of the work, whether within academic fields or beyond. Significant
85 contributions that would not otherwise be peer reviewed should be

86 evaluated in this manner. External reviewers must be objective, and any
87 relationships that could compromise objectivity should be disclosed in the
88 evaluation.

89
90 2.3.1.4 Published or otherwise completed works that are peer-reviewed,
91 evaluated by an objective disciplinary expert, or juried will normally receive
92 the greatest weight. Achievements that have a broad impact, scope, or
93 significance are particularly valued, and department guidelines may
94 explain the most appropriate evidence for making this determination. Work
95 in progress and unpublished work should be assessed whenever possible.
96 In cases where there is no external evaluation of an achievement the
97 department committee will review the work and indicate the extent of its
98 quality and significance.
99

100 2.3.2 Scholarly achievement includes work based on research and entailing theory,
101 analysis, discovery, interpretation, explanation, or demonstration. Examples: books,
102 articles, reviews, technical reports, computer software and hardware development,
103 positively reviewed grant proposals, papers read to scholarly associations,
104 documentaries, works of journalism, patents, copyrights, trademarks, translations, etc.
105

106 2.3.3 Artistic achievement includes, but is not limited to, the creation of original work in
107 poetry, fiction, drama, dance, the aural, visual and computationally generated arts; or
108 performances or direction in music, theatre and dance often requiring interpretation,
109 mastery of a skill, formal experimentation, or the curatorial arrangement of such works
110 in an original and interpretive manner.
111

112 2.3.4 Professional achievements involve the application of disciplinary expertise
113 whether within or outside the University. Professional achievements will usually be
114 evaluated within the category of service, except when department guidelines establish
115 that professional activities are the primary method of demonstrating expertise within the
116 discipline. Such disciplines shall adopt department guidelines that explain appropriate
117 standards for evaluating these activities and distinguishing them from the service
118 category of achievement. Examples of achievements that could qualify when explicated
119 by guidelines are listed under “Service to the Profession/Discipline” below but may also
120 include ongoing professional requirements for currency in an applied discipline, such as
121 licensure.
122

123 2.3.5 Scholarship of Engagement. Similar to professional achievements, the scholarship
124 of engagement requires the application of expertise and/or talent grounded in the
125 candidate’s discipline or interdisciplinary fields. Achievements that do not require such
126 expertise and/or talent shall be evaluated under the category of service. This form of

127 scholarship engages significant problems, needs, issues, and reforms in the
128 professional, academic, local, or broader public/global communities.

129

130 2.3.5.1 The scholarship of engagement may take place in a wide range of fields,
131 and often exhibits a reciprocal, collaborative relationship between the
132 expert and the public, and may involve student participation. Examples of
133 such relationships would include, among others: engagement with
134 government, private sector, non-profit sector, educational and cultural
135 institutions, community groups, and environmental, humanitarian and civil
136 rights organizations.

137

138 2.3.5.2 Examples of achievements growing from such relationships could
139 include, among many others:

140 2.3.5.2.1 the integration of expertise into university-community
141 partnerships and collaborations;

142 2.3.5.2.2 community-based participatory research, participatory
143 action research, intervention research, applied
144 developmental science, knowledge mobilization, and
145 translational scholarship.

146 2.3.5.2.3 change-based, issue-based, place-based, and/or who-
147 based “socially engaged art” or “art as social practice”
148 collaborations/outcomes

149 2.3.5.2.4 dissemination of expertise or original work to the public
150 (sometimes known as “public scholarship” or “public
151 humanities”)

152 2.3.5.2.5 the enactment of legislation or production of advisory
153 reports;

154 2.3.5.2.6 tangible evidence of any of the various forms of
155 entrepreneurship, when consistent with the university’s
156 policies on conflicts of interest and intellectual property;

157 2.3.5.2.7 significant changes in professional practice;

158 2.3.5.2.8 evidence-based improvements to the management or
159 administration of organizations.