

**SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY**

**Engineering 285/287**

**Academic Senate**

**2 p.m. - 4 p.m.**

**1999/2000 Academic Senate**

**MINUTES  
May 8, 2000 (Last)**

**I. The meeting was called to order at 2:10 p.m. and attendance was noted. Forty-one senators were present.**

**Ex Officio:**

Present: Davila, Gorney-Moreno,  
Stacks, Hegstrom, McNeil, Shifflett

**CASA Representatives:**

Present: Briggs, Malloy, Saltmarch

**Administrative Representatives:**

Present: Bain, Caret, Kassing, Lee,  
Rascoe

Absent: Payne

**COB Representatives:**

Present: Canziani, Nellen

**Deans:**

Present: Dorosz, Novak, Sigler

**ED Representatives:**

Absent: Hidalgo

Present: Katz, Lessow-Hurley

**Students:**

Present: Rodriguez, Shetty,

**ENG Representatives:**

Present: Sree Harsha,

Absent: Greenlee, Jimenez, Mitchell,  
White-Clark

Absent: Huang, Singh

**H&A Representatives:**

**Alumni Representative:**

Present: Butler, Stork, Van Hooff, Vanniarajan

Absent: Manning Huebner

**Emeritus Representative:**

Present: Buzanski

**SCI Representatives:**

Present: Pearce, Valdes,

**Honorary Senators (Non-Voting):**

Present: Norton

Absent: Edgar, Garcia, Veregge

Absent: Young

**SOS Representatives:**

Present: Bienenfeld, Brent, Nuger, Roth

**General Unit Representatives:**

Present: Carolin, Mullen, Thames

**SW Representative:**

Present: Merighi

**II. Approval of Minutes**

The minutes from [May 1, 2000](#) were approved with corrections.

### III. Communications

#### A. From the Chair of the Senate

The Chair thanked the senators for a wonderful year. She stated that she knew how hard they have worked and how much time they have given to the Senate. She noted that their work has come to fruition in many initiatives. A majority of referrals sent to policy committees resulted in resolutions that have made it to the floor of the Senate for your consideration. One overriding theme for this year may be improving communication with our larger public. This was the title of one of our resolutions and we are evaluating how well we did in this by a questionnaire. She requested the senators complete the questionnaire so that we are able to assess our progress. She stated that she received a call from Becky Bartindale of the Mercury News. Becky explained that we were one of her assigned areas of responsibilities and asked about the joint library. The Chair stated that she explained the naming policy and that all of our discussions regarding it were confidential. Becky responded that she knew that because she had already viewed this entire years minutes on the web. The Chair noted that people are coming to the Senate for information regularly and finding our website and office helpful.

#### B. From the President of the University

The President greeted senators. He gave an overview of the budget surplus. He stated that SJSU might be receiving increased money for capital projects. A question was asked about the Hotel project. He stated that the University is trying to pick up other pieces of land to add to campus. The next question asked was would the Senate be able to have any input before decisions are made. He stated that always, as with the library, projects would come to the Senate for extensive dialogue and discussion. He also noted that the University could not begin to move forward on ideas like this unless we examine them. He stated that just because we are looking at different ideas does not mean they will be accepted. It may be decided that they are not right for the University. He said we do have to discuss them and sometimes that does lead to frustration as can be read in some of the articles in the paper. There is no way to explore alternatives if we do not discuss the original idea. No quick decisions will be made on any ideas. A question was asked about how much capital money the University might have from the technology and telecommunication money given to the CSU if approved by the Governor because the University already started those projects. He stated that on the telecommunication side we already have our infrastructure but we are scheduled in the system budget for refresh money of about 3 to 3.5 million dollars in year three of the build up. This may accelerate the money coming to campus, which would allow us to expand or upgrade different facilities. Second, there is one-time money that is also aimed at the new CMS (Computer Management System), if we could get that money it would decrease the amount we as a campus have to pay directly. Third, all of our existing capital projects would have a much better chance of getting funded because we free up that bond money each year particularly this year so it has a lot of benefits to us if we can get it. A question was asked if other universities have private enterprise projects that have been successful. President Caret stated yes but in different ways. There are hotels on campuses all across the country. CSU Fullerton is a campus in our system that has a hotel on its campus. There are also other kinds of facilities being looked at by other campus such as Northridge

is looking at building a strip mall and renting it out and San Diego State is looking at building retail space. There are a lot of projects going on not only in California or in our system but also around the country as state budgets are not able to do what they use to do in the past. We have to remember we are not real estate developers and we are not in the leasing business we are educational institutions so we should only do these things when it works for us. We are keeping ourselves very, very focused and we are not looking for these projects the developers bring them to us. If somebody comes up with a great idea that we like we will take them up on it. A question was asked about what the university is doing to evaluate or upgrade our admission process and be more responsive to our applicants. President Caret stated that the university has received double the number of applicants on campus in the past five years and we have done that with fairly limited growth in staff in those areas. He also stated that we are much better off than we were five years ago in providing that service in terms of growth. However he noted our service function is the one he felt that in the last five years we have made the least progress on of the things he set on the agenda. To improve it we have had a full-time consultant for about a year who has done a lot of great things in terms of streamlining the processing. We also have the DARS system implementation that will handle 60% of the questions by using this online system at home. Finally, People Soft will help in the processing of the applicants.

#### **IV. Executive Committee Report**

A. Minutes of Executive Committee  
[May 1, 2000](#) minutes were approved

B. Consent Calendar :approved with additions.

#### **V. Policy Committee Action Items. In rotation.**

A. Curriculum and Research Committee

[A.S. 1112 Policy Recommendation Reporting of Organized Research and Training Units \(ORUs\)](#)

Senator Roth presented A.S. 1112 for the Curriculum and Research Committee. He explained the resolution and requested that the rules regarding first and final readings be waived. A vote was taken and approved his waiver making A.S. 1112 a final reading. The Senate moved to questions and then debate. A friendly amendment was offered by Senator Shifflett to add, "organized to research units" in letter g. of the proposal. It was accepted as friendly. Senator Shifflett offered another friendly amendment to add, "Curriculum to Research Committee" through out the document. It was accepted as friendly. The Senate then voted and passed A.S. 1112 (S00-8) unanimously.

B. Organization and Government Committee

[A.S. 1109 Resolution to move SERB reporting to Professional Standards](#)

Senator Katz presented A.S. 1109 for the Organization and Government Committee. He explained the resolution and took questions. The Senate then moved to debate. Senator Stacks offered a friendly amendment to add, "and for individual faculty members interested in improving their instruction" and to remove, "primarily" from the first Whereas statement. It was accepted as friendly. Senator Norton offered a friendly amendment to add, "Resolved, The Instruction and Student Affairs Committee may consult with the SERB

board on any matter relevant to the committee's charge". It was accepted as friendly. The Senate then voted and passed A.S. 1109 (SM-S00-5) unanimously.

C. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee

[A.S. 1113 Policy Recommendation Academic Renewal Policy](#)

Senator Stork presented A.S. 1113 for the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee. She explained the resolution and took questions. The Senate then moved to debate. Senator Malloy offered a friendly amendment to add, "a in the Resolve clause instead to emendment". It was accepted as friendly. She also offered a friendly to seventh paragraph to add, "for instead of f". It was accepted as friendly. Senator Stacks offered a friendly to change in the seventh paragraph, "instructor's to department's." It was not accepted as friendly. After much debate the question was called and approved. A vote on Senator Stacks' motion was taken and the motion was approved. The Senate then voted and passed A.S. 1113 (S00-10) with two abstentions.

[A.S. 1114 Policy Recommendation Exemption to Drop Policy](#)

Senator Stork presented A.S. 1114 for the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee. She explained the resolution and took questions. The Senate then moved to debate. Senator Hegstrom offered an amendment to number 6 to take out, "Colleges or departments and dean, or chairs, leaving schools and directors", in the second sentence and in the third sentence removing, "circumstances and adding would only be granted when licensing is required by law." The amendment was approved with one abstention. A friendly amendment was offered to add, "Appeals to director may be made to the Academic Services Director". It was accepted as friendly. Senator Brent called the question and the calling of the question was defeated. Senator Stacks suggested referring back to committee. The Senate moved to vote on referring back to committee and the motion to refer back to committee was approved.

D. University Library Board :None

E. Professional Standards

[A.S. 1111 Policy Recommendation for Outstanding Professor, President's Scholar and Distinguished Service Award](#)

Senator Nellen presented A.S. 1111 for the Professional Standards Committee. She explained the resolution and took questions. The Senate then moved to debate. Senator Norton offered a friendly amendment to change "this to these" in roman numeral IV section B. It was accepted as friendly. Senator Malloy offered a friendly amendment to add "and" to roman numeral II section A. It was accepted as friendly. Senator Nellen offered a friendly amendment to numeral II section C to change "will to must" and add after President "by date established by the President in conjunction with each committee chair but no later than March 1." It was accepted as friendly. She also offered a friendly amendment to change in numeral II the second "C to D" and "D to E". It was also accepted as friendly. Senator Nellen offered a friendly amendment to numeral II section D to add, "Confidentiality of all information will be maintained at all times". It was accepted as friendly. Senator Roth offered a friendly amendment to numeral III section B second ii first bullet to add, "/or to and". It was accepted as friendly. Senator Roth offered an amendment to remove the third bullet in the first i in section B numeral III. After some debate Senator Malloy offered a substitute amendment that was defeated. A vote on Senator Roth's amendment was taken

and it was approved. The Senate moved to vote and passed A.S. 1111 (S00-9) with one abstention.

## **VI. Special Business**

Senator Shifflett made a motion to move the clock by ten minutes for the chair to conduct Special Business. It was seconded and approved. The Chair thanked all Senators and handed out certificates to Senators not returning for the next academic year. The Chair brought forward three honorary resolutions. Ken Peter presented A.S. 1115 Sense of the Senate honoring Provost Linda L. Bain. The Senate voted and passed A.S. 1115. Senator Norton presented A.S. 1116 Sense of the Senate honoring Daniel Buerger, Executive Assistant to the President. The Senate voted and passed A.S. 1116. Chair Gorney-Moreno presented A.S. 1117 Sense of the Senate honoring Edd Burton, Associate Vice President of Institutional Planning and Academic Resources. The Senate voted and passed A.S. 1117.

**VII.** A motion was made to adjourn and the chair declared the meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

### *Consent Calendar*

#### Student Success Committee

Susan McClory	Seat F	2003
---------------	--------	------

#### Program Planning Committee

Celestine Villa	Seat F	2003
-----------------	--------	------

#### Graduate Studies and Research Committee

David Matthes	Seat F	2003
---------------	--------	------

### *Information Items*

#### Special Agency

Victoria Harper Athletics Board 2003