

2011/2012 Academic Senate

MINUTES
November 14, 2011

I. The meeting was called to order at 2:08 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Forty-Six Senators were present.

Ex Officio:

Present: Von Till, Kaufman,
Sabalius, Van Selst,
Lessow-Hurley
Absent: Kolodziejak

CASA Representatives:

Present: Fee, Schultz-Krohn, Semerjian, Johnson
Absent: Correia

Administrative Representatives:

Present: Selter, Nance, Bussani,
Bibb
Absent: Qayoumi

COB Representatives:

Absent: Campsey, Reade, Nellen

EDUC Representatives:

Present: Kimbarow, Swanson, P.

Deans:

Present: Merdinger, Chin
Stacks, Bienenfeld

ENGR Representatives:

Present: Gleixner, Backer, Du

Students:

Present: Minks, Uweh, Choy,
Swanson, K., Sharma
Absent: Salazar

H&A Representatives:

Present: Brown, Frazier, Fleck, Desalvo, Mok, Haramaki

SCI Representatives:

Present: McClory, d'Alarcao, Wharton, Bros-Seemann

Alumni Representative:

Present: Walters

SOS Representatives:

Present: Heiden, Ng, Peter, Rudy, Terry

Emeritus Representative:

Present: Buzanski

Honorary Senators (Non-Voting):

Absent: Norton

General Unit Representatives:

Present: Peck, Bettencourt
Absent: Kauppila

II. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes–

The Senate unanimously approved the minutes of October 10, 2011, as amended by Senator Sabalius.

III. Communications and Questions –

A. From the Chair of the Senate:

Chair Von Till announced that a past chair of the Senate, Louis Barozzi, passed away just recently. Dr. Barozzi served on the Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA) in 1982 and 1983, and was Senate Chair from 1987-1988. Dr. Barozzi was very active in what is now International and Extended Studies (IES). There will be a memorial service this Saturday, November 19, 2011, 10 a.m. at the International House.

Chair Von Till welcomed the Senate's two newest Senators, Senator Gordon Haramaki from the College of Humanities and the Arts, and Senator Katie Minks from Associated Students.

Chair Von Till thanked Senators for nominating their colleagues for the four faculty awards (Outstanding Professor, Outstanding Lecturer, Distinguished Service, and President's Scholar). At last month's Senate meeting we had only five nominees, but as of the deadline we had 38 nominees for the four awards.

Chair Von Till thanked those Senators that attended the Provost forum with the first candidate, Dr. Chandler, this morning, November 14, 2011. Chair Von Till encouraged Senators to attend the other two forums scheduled for November 18th, and 21st also in Engineering 189, the Auditorium, at 10:30 a.m. The search committee is collecting feedback forms at the door after each of the forums, and Senators may also fill out the online form. The forms must be submitted no later than noon the day after each forum. In addition, tape recordings of all the forums will be available on November 21st.

Chair Von Till announced that President Qayoumi sent his regrets for not being able to attend today's Senate meeting. President Qayoumi was on vacation last month, and is attending the retirement luncheon for President Joleen Kester, CSU Northridge, today.

President Qayoumi asked Chair Von Till to explain his concerns about the Housing policy. The President told Chair Von Till that he was not comfortable signing the policy as it was amended on the Senate floor. Chair Von Till suggested that the President allow the policy to be brought back to the Senate Executive Committee, and to the full Senate for further discussion.

Chair Von Till announced that the President would like for the full Senate to reconsider the policy, and Robert's Rules allows for this with a 2/3^{rds} majority vote. Senator Peter clarified that it would not be a motion to reconsider, but a "motion to amend something previously adopted, and would require a majority vote, not a 2/3^{rds} vote." The Senate will be provided copies of the original policy recommendation along with the other materials for consideration at the December 5, 2011 Senate meeting.

B. From the President of the University – No report.

IV. Executive Committee Report –

A. Executive Committee Minutes –

October 24, 2011 – No questions.

November 7, 2011 –

Senator Peter asked for clarification as to what policy Chair Von Till was referring to in the last paragraph in item 8. Chair Von Till explained that because the name change would not require any curricular changes; because there are no faculty involved; and because there are no RTP issues the change does not require the approval of the Senate. However, President Qayoumi wanted to consult with the Senate. Chair Von Till has spoken with other campuses that have made this type

of name change and not only did it give the program more prestige, but it also made it easier for international students to get VISAs. Senator Buzanski elaborated that Chair Von Till was correct that this was not a Senate matter for approval, except that if there were a new Vice President appointed, and he/she were made a member of the Senate, then that would require additional faculty to be added to the Senate. Chair Von Till suggested that the AVP of IES, who is also a Dean, is represented by the elected deans on the Senate.

Senator Lessow-Hurley suggested that the Executive Committee consider rewording the second paragraph of item 9.f. Senator Sabalius said, “This was a direct quote from the Chancellor.”

Senator Ng asked that item 9.c. be changed to show that the policy number in the fourth sentence should be S98-8. Senator Ng acknowledged that the November 7, 2011 Executive Committee Minutes had been reviewed and extensively modified by the Executive Committee prior to being approved by email vote on November 10, 2011.

B. Consent Calendar – A motion was made to approve the consent calendar. The motion was seconded. **The Senate voted and the motion to approve the consent calendar, as amended by AVC McClory, was approved.** [The amendment was to remove Beverly Grindstaff from the Sustainability Board.]

C. Election Calendar – A motion was made to approve the election calendar for 2012. The motion was seconded. **The Senate voted and the Election Calendar for 2012 was approved.**

D. Executive Committee Action Items: None

V. Unfinished Business - None

VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items. In rotation.

A. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA) –

Senator Gleixner presented *AS 1467, Policy Recommendation, Scheduling of Advance Registration and Priority Registration, Amends F09-1 (Final Reading)*. **The Senate voted and AS 1467 was approved unanimously.**

Senator Gleixner reported that the I&SA Committee is working on several policies including one regarding amplified sound on campus. The use of amplified sound is currently covered in the presidential directive on Time, Place, and Manner.

The I&SA Committee is also working on a policy designating where posters and banners can be placed. The presidential directive on Time, Place, and Manner designated the locations for posting posters and banners, but most of these locations no longer exist.

The I&SA Committee is also working on an update to a 1966 policy on the use of student contact information. Situations have come up where legitimate organizations have asked for student contact information, but have then passed this information on to other organizations.

In addition, the I&SA Committee is working on a policy regarding the inappropriate use of the SJSU Logo. There have been some issues with the SJSU logo being used for things that don't represent the mission of the university, in particular drugs and alcohol.

The I&SA Committee is also working on a deadline they have as a result of the new Scheduling Policy that was passed last spring, and they hope to be bringing a policy to the Senate in early Spring 2012.

Questions:

Senator Peter asked if the policy on posters and banners would apply to political posters that faculty might have. Senator Gleixner responded that this is also part of Time, Place, and Manner, but what I&SA is focusing on is where posters can be placed, and how to get approval, etc.

B. University Library Board (ULB) –

Senator Kaufman reported that the ULB continues to discuss affordable learning solutions. The ULB is looking into ways that electronics, as well as other methods, could be used to decrease student learning costs. ULB is holding a workshop on December 7, 2011. The workshop is not open to the public, but representatives from other CSU campuses will be coming to the workshop to discuss affordable learning solutions on their campuses. Senator Kaufman will report back to the Senate after that workshop.

Senator Fleck asked whether the university had a policy regarding student theses and the embargo. Senator Kaufman and Senator Stacks responded that there is a policy, and Senator Stacks noted that the Graduate Studies and Research Committee is currently reviewing this policy.

Senator Choy asked if there was a policy that required faculty to use the latest edition of textbooks, and Senator Kaufman replied that although this was not a ULB matter, but he did know that there was no such policy and that the faculty can use whatever textbooks and materials they want in their classes. However, Senator Kaufman also noted that there was a policy regarding early adoption of textbooks that encouraged faculty to seek less costly textbooks and materials for student use, and to get this information to the bookstore early so that the bookstore can purchase these textbooks at cheaper prices.

Chair Von Till reminded Senators that all policies are located on the Senate website and that they can do a subject, or chronological search of them. Senator Lessow-Hurley noted that the policy Senator Kaufman referred to was S07-3.

C. Professional Standards Committee (PS) –

Senator Ng reported that the Office Hours policy would be coming back to the Senate at the December meeting. The Office Hours policy passed by the Senate last year was not signed by the President.

The PS Committee also has several referrals. The first referral is a request to insert language regarding assessment into S98-8. The second referral is a request from Faculty Affairs to update the policy dealing with Faculty Personnel Action Files, S73-9. The last referral is about whether students can tape recording professors without their knowledge while giving during class lectures.

D. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R) –

Senator Bros-Seemann reported that C&R is working on the credit hour requirement. This requirement came about as a result of a federal regulation that requires proof that universities have instructed their students that for every one hour of class time a student is enrolled in, that student is also aware that they are required to complete two hours of out of class work. C&R will attempt to comply with this requirement by modifying the existing Greensheet policy.

C&R is also working on a certificate policy. Currently, SJSU offers a number of certificates, but there is no process to evaluate them, and/or to ensure the quality of the instruction being offered.

C&R has also reviewed and approved several programs including the Doctorate in Nursing Practice. Students will be required to complete this program in five semesters. Students in the Doctorate in Nursing Practice program will also be required to complete 1,000 hours of clinical practice. This is a joint program with CSU Fresno, and CSU Fresno has the lead.

Questions:

Senator Peter asked about how these students could complete a Doctorate in five semesters. Senator Bros-Seemann responded that it was “a different type of degree—a practical degree sort of like the Ed.D.” Senator Stacks also responded that students entering the program will already have completed their masters degree.

Senator Du noted that the program also includes management classes.

Senator Rudy asked if the committee had considered the cost of the research materials that would need to be available in the library for these students. Senator Bros-Seemann responded that the committee had considered this, but there are already faculty at SJSU that can teach this practical program and according to them the materials that would be needed for the type of research projects that these students will be doing are already available in the library.

Senator Kaufman asked how many students would be in the first cohort. Senator Bros-Seemann responded that she was not sure. Senator Buzanski noted that there were 18.

E. Organization and Government Committee (O&G) –

Senator Kimbarow reported that O&G is finishing the oral testimony phase of the hearings, under S06-7, regarding the proposed mergers in the college of Humanities and the Arts. The final hearing will be held on November 21, 2011 from 2-4 p.m. in SH 331. Senator Kimbarow thanked his colleagues on the O&G Committee for their hard work, and for maintaining a tone of neutrality and collegiality. Senator Kimbarow stated, “I think it is fair to say that what we have learned is that there are multiple realities.” All testimony was videotaped and will be available after the final report is submitted. Chair Von Till thanked Senator Kimbarow and the O&G committee for their efforts.

VII. Special Committee Reports –

Jonathan Karpf gave the California Faculty Association (CFA) annual report. The CFA has been in bargaining for the past 17 months with the Chancellor, but both sides are very far apart. The CFA has made a number of compromise offers, but none of them have been accepted.

In terms of salary, the Chancellor’s team is not only offering no raises, GSIs, etc. for the next three years, but the chief negotiator stated, “We’re not asking for a reduction in the salary schedule, or for take backs in your health benefits now, but if the economy continues on the path that it is going....”

The CFA has bargaining scheduled on Friday. They will see if there is any kind of improvement after Thursday’s strikes.

The CFA had a contract that expired in June 2010, but because they are bargaining in good faith, all articles are being enforced except for salary and FERP. However, the CFA did sign a MOU extending FERP another year. When that contract was bargained in 2007, the GSIs for the last two years were back-loaded into it. This was done to give the Chancellor’s Office some flexibility to meet demands at the bargaining table. However, when the compact signed by the Chancellor and then Governor Schwarzenegger (that promised a baseline level of funding for the CSU) went unmet in 2008, the CFA reopened Article 31. The CFA contract requires this, as do all contracts in the State of California, when there are economic cutbacks.

The CFA made several offers. The CFA offered to hold off on the GSIs for several months. The CFA also offered to take only 90% to 95% of the negotiated raises since the CSU didn’t receive the promised level of funding in the compact. However, the Chancellor’s bargaining team proposed 0% GSIs, 0% SSIs, 0% second-year equity for Associate Full Professors in 2008-2009, and the same thing happened again in 2009-2010. There was no attempt to compromise by the Chancellor’s team.

Mr. Karpf explained the reason for the actions proposed on Thursday, November 17, 2011. According to Mr. Karpf, during the three years that faculty did not receive any raises, and suffered a 10% reduction in salary due to the furlough year, the Chancellor paid \$6 million in equity raises to Chancellor’s Office executives. The Chancellor also paid \$1 million a year for outside labor consultants.

In 2006-2007, the Chancellor's Office hired Barnes and Associates, a well-known labor relations team, in an attempt to reduce the adversarial relationship between faculty and the Chancellor's Office. Initially, Barnes and Associates proved were successful in this effort. However, then things went back business as usual in the Chancellor's Office. The Chancellor then hired Barnes and Associates, but this time the objective was to supplement the Chancellor's in-house labor relations team. This means that the CFA is now sitting across the bargaining table from one of the chief labor relations negotiators in the country.

Also, at the same Board of Trustees meeting where student fees were raised 12%, the salary maximum for incoming CSU presidents was raised. Shortly thereafter, the incoming president of San Diego State was given a 25%, or \$100,000 raise over the salary of the departing president. At San Luis Obispo, the incoming president was given a \$50,000 raise over his predecessor who had been there for 30 years.

Mr. Karpf stated, "In an ideal world, the Chancellor of the largest public higher education system in the country would not have disdain for the faculty and the staff who further the core mission of the university. In an ideal world, we would have a Chancellor who believed in transparency and shared governance, who would not make major policy decisions such as mandatory early start, deliverology, the online CSU, and the extension expansion without faculty input. In an ideal world, we would not see the ratio of MPP to faculty salaries go from 2.7 to 1 in 1998 (when Chancellor Reed came into the system) to 3.8 to 1 today." Mr. Karpf noted that when adjusted for inflation, the chancellor's salary has increased 20% since 1998, while faculty salaries have actually decreased 10%.

According to Mr. Karpf, the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 negotiated faculty raises went through the statutory process, non-binding mediation, and then non-binding fact-finding. The CFA had two independent fact-finders, and two independent neutral third parties hear both the Chancellor's and the CFA's best arguments about the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 negotiated raises. Both of these teams agreed the Chancellor currently has the money to pay at least some of these raises. The Chancellor has \$2 million in reserves. In addition, this fall the CSU offered to loan the state of California \$700 million in surplus funds.

Mr. Karpf noted that when asked if the reason that the Chancellor didn't want to pay the negotiated raises was because the CSU didn't have the money in the 2008-2009 reopener, the Chancellor's bargaining team said it was because they had "competing operational needs." The CFA believes it is clear based on what has happened over the last three years what those competing operational needs are and they aren't the faculty, or the curriculum and coordination of the university.

On Thursday, November 17, 2011, there will be a one-day faculty strike at CSU Dominguez Hills, and CSU East Bay. Mr. Karpf encouraged all faculty that are not working that day to attend at CSU East Bay. There will be buses leaving every hour starting at 7 a.m. from the 7th Street Garage. However, Mr. Karpf reminded faculty that they cannot cancel classes here as faculty are not on strike at SJSU.

Questions:

Senator Ng inquired as to what the negotiated raises for 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 were. Mr. Karpf responded that 2008-2009 had 5% GSI raises, 2.65% SSI, and 2nd year equity for Associate Full Professors, and for 2009-2010 there were supposed to be 6% GSI raises, and the 2.65% SSI. Senator Ng asked what the fact finding recommendation was. Mr. Karpf responded that the fact finding team recommended that the Chancellor pay the 2.65% SSI for both years and the 2nd year equity for Associate Full Professors.

Senator Kimbarow asked what the next step would be if the strike did not achieve the desired results on Thursday. Mr. Karpf responded that the CFA would wait to see what happens after Thursday and whether or not the Chancellor's team responds. If they don't, strikes could happen on campuses closer to home in the spring.

Mr. Karpf noted that the CSU Stanislaus Academic Senate is currently in the process of drafting a Sense of the Senate Resolution in support of the action on November 17th.

Senator Sharma said that some students felt uncomfortable about supporting faculty that are striking because on the one hand students are asking for more classes, but if they support the faculty they would be walking out of these same classes they asked for. Mr. Karpf explained that the CFA would hope that the students would do as they did the last time and support their faculty. Mr. Karpf explained that there has been a loss of 500 tenure-line faculty since 2007. There has been a total loss of 3,000 faculty since 2007. In addition, 10,000 qualified students have been turned away from the CSU. Mr. Karpf further explained that when the CFA says that the students are paying more for less, they really are.

Senator Sabalius suggested that students not be concerned about missing one day of instruction, but be more concerned about the "constant erosion of the quality of their education over the years. It would be nice if they joined the faculty in the protest of the current trend and the conditions." Senator Sabalius suggested there are other ways that faculty could strike such as withholding grades and not giving finals, but the faculty don't want to align themselves against students. However, the faculty hope that students will support them in their effort to provide a quality education.

Senator Kimbarow asked about taking personal days. Mr. Karpf responded that faculty can use November 17th as their personal holiday if they choose to.

VIII. New Business – No report.

IX. State of the University Announcements. Questions. In rotation.

A. VP for Administration and Finance – No report. Senator Peter asked if Senator Bibb would be giving the annual Budget Report to the Senate soon, and Chair Von Till noted that it is scheduled for the December Senate meeting.

B. VP of Student Affairs – Senator Nance announced that the new SJSU website would go live tomorrow.

SJSU's enrollment target for 2012 is the same as it was for this year, 21,045 resident FTES.

The Spring 2012 intent to enroll deadline is tomorrow, November 15, 2011. There are about 1,000 transfer students that have indicated their intent to enroll. However, our Fall applications are running about 5% below fall of last year.

Senator Buzanski asked if SJSU would be penalized if we are 2% above the 3% over target that the Chancellor will allow. Senator Bibb commented that we will be penalized, and that the student tuition portion of everything above the 3% would go back to the Chancellor. Senator Nance commented that for 2012-2013 the Chancellor has raised the limit to 5% over target before we begin to be penalized. Senator Peter asked where the money goes when we pay the penalty. Senator Van Selst commented that it is "redistributed to other needs." Senator Peter asked if that meant that our money went to help fund small campuses. Senator Van Selst responded, "Theoretically, yes."

Senator Heiden asked what the dollar figure was that would have to be given back to the Chancellor. Senator Nance responded that it is about \$1 million per 1%. Senator Heiden asked what the rationale was for the Chancellor taking the money back. Senator Bibb responded, "We negotiate with the State of California to fund us up to the target. One of the fears is that if we continue teaching that number of students at below the marginal cost rate plus the student fee, we are telling them it is okay we can teach more than 331,000 at the state level system-wide at that reduced rate." It is a political stand.

Senator Nance commented that, "If we demonstrate that we can teach that higher number of students with our current allocation, then they have no reason to give us a higher allocation." Senator Heiden explained that she understood, but our targets have changed a lot and that hardly seemed fair. Senator Bibb responded, "They don't care."

Senator Bibb explained that we had purposely went over target by a few percent in order to prove that we could handle the extra enrollment. Historically, those campuses that have proven that they can handle the extra enrollment have received additional funds first when they have been received by the CSU. Last year we controlled our enrollment, but those campuses that did not control their enrollment received additional permanent money, and we did not.

C. AS President – No report.

D. VP for University Advancement –

Senator Bussani announced that the Public Affairs Department had spent thousands of hours preparing for the new website to go live and they are very excited about it. Public Affairs will also be collecting survey data about the new website.

University Advancement collected \$3 million in their fundraising efforts this last month bringing the fundraising campaign up to \$164 million. This \$3 million is a planned gift targeted for general scholarships for the campus from an elderly alum. This alum would prefer to remain anonymous.

E. CSU Statewide Senators–

Senator Sabalius noted that the CSU Statewide Senate met the week before last, but he felt the most important work took place in the committees. Senator Sabalius commented that there was “very strong anger and dissatisfaction sparked by the online initiative, but it was done over the, all too often, top-down decisions that affect curricular affairs that should be the purview of the faculty that is decided by the Chancellor and the Chancellor’s Office, or initiated by the Board of Trustees, with very little or no faculty input, or just token faculty input.” Senator Sabalius further elaborated that various strategies to combat this have been discussed in their committee meetings. The CSU Statewide Senate is still considering how they can interact with the Chancellor’s Office in the future, and better ways they can represent the faculty as opposed to passing resolution after resolution calling for more faculty input into curricular decisions that are ignored. Senator Sabalius noted that a majority of the CSU Statewide Senators are “seriously angry about the way business has been done and want things to change.”

The CSU Statewide Senate had a presentation from the CSU Long Beach President, King Alexander. President Alexander compiled data that shows that the CSU has very low costs for graduating students with a quality education. The Chancellor has been sending President Alexander across the nation to present this information. Senator Sabalius noted that, “The data proves that the CSU is doing a very economic job. Even the Chancellor was surprised how efficiently we operate. However, this also means that we are spending less money on our students.”

Another issue that was discussed involved International Travel Insurance that faculty are forced to take out when they travel abroad. Several CSU Statewide Senators were concerned that an insurance company could impose limits on their academic freedom by telling them where they could and couldn’t travel. There were also concerns that the faculty members must bear the cost of the high risk travel insurance. However, when Risk Management was contacted, they made it clear that a country designated as high risk didn’t mean a faculty member couldn’t travel there. What it did mean is that Risk Management must negotiate travel insurance separately and it is much more costly. It generally costs over \$3,000 to insure a faculty member travelling to a high risk area for 15 days. The insurance is taken out in 15 day increments. Senators were very pleased to find out that they could travel to additional countries for personal reasons during the same business trip within the

same 15 day period, and still be covered by the insurance as long as they specified this on their travel request.

Senator Van Selst reported that the last CSU Statewide Senate meeting was very uncomfortable. The meeting consisted of complaints about how the Executive Committee and the Senators were interacting with each other. It was a very honest discussion. A number of issues came up. In terms of resolutions, there was a good deal of discussion about how to provide oversight of the Education and Professional Doctorate programs, and what that oversight would look like.

The CSU Statewide Senate also believes The Board of Trustees has favored access over quality of education, and there was a resolution about this. The CSU Statewide Senate discussed marginal cost and the quality of education. Senator Van Selst noted that, “Whenever we take extra students without being compensated for it, the quality of the education goes down. Whenever we do this we get less money from the state.”

Senator Van Selst said, “The various segments of K-12, and higher education worked together to protect CPAC data. Otherwise, under federal law, the entire 30 years of CPAC data would have disappeared on November 4th or 6th, whenever CPAC disappeared. This data was moved to a private site and there is now protection around that data.”

The CSU Statewide Senate also gave advice to the Board of Trustees that giving a more public presentation of presidential compensation with a clear reason and a comparison would be much easier for people to understand and accept.

Online education led to much of the discontent, because this was a top-down initiative with very little faculty input.

Other areas of discussion included SB 1440, online learning, credentials and the Ed.D. program, early start, disability and accommodation, and the lack of an advocate for the CSU in the legislature.

Senator Lessow-Hurley noted that her interpretation of the CSU Statewide meeting was that it was “less of a gripe session and more about an ongoing feeling that Senators have that there is limited faculty input into major initiatives. Faculty input is sought after the initiatives have been framed in many cases, such as with the online initiative. The online initiative will create another body, like an online campus. The status of that online campus and the status of those faculty that will be hired for that campus, along with who will determine the curriculum for that campus, etc. are very important questions that SJSU should be involved in.” This also came up when discussing Early Start. The English Council was very uncomfortable about Early Start, still they came and sat at the table anyway, but they weren’t happy with what was going on. However, they then got listed as a group that had been consulted. The English Council has now passed a resolution separating themselves from the Early Start program.

We now have a Faculty Trustee, Dr. Bernadette Cheyne. Dr. Cheyne was a former CSU Statewide Senator that is held in very high regard. Dr. Cheyne was scheduled to visit SJSU, but there were problems arranging the schedule to allow her to meet with all the faculty. The Executive Committee has consulted with Dr. Cheyne and her visit to the campus has been rescheduled.

Questions:

Senator Buzanski noted that it was his understanding that each of the 23 campuses donated \$50,000 towards the online campus program, and inquired as to where our \$50,000 came from. Senator Bibb responded that it came out of the operating funds.

Senator Buzanski asked what the Senate's involvement in approving the pool of money had been, and whether this will create a new Vice Chancellor. Senator Van Selst responded that it looked like there would not be a 24th campus, but online programs would be encouraged on the individual campuses. Senator Van Selst also noted that the CSU Statewide Senate has asked for another seat on the online oversight committee. Senator Sabalius noted that this would "most likely be the CSU Statewide Senate Chair and one additional Senator." Senator Van Selst noted that there is "the demand for online education, so there is the opportunity to provide a quality online education."

F. Provost – No report.

X. Adjournment – The meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.