

2018/2019 Academic Senate

MINUTES  
May 13, 2019

**I. The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Fifty Senators were present.**

**Ex Officio:**

Present: Frazier, Van Selst, Manzo,  
Rodan  
Absent: None

**CHHS Representatives:**

Present: Schultz-Krohn, Shifflett, Grosvenor, Sen, Chin  
Absent: None

**Administrative Representatives:**

Present: Ficke, Wong(Lau),  
Faas, Day, Papazian  
Absent: Wong(Lau)

**COB Representatives:**

Present: He, Bullen, Khavul  
Absent: None

**Deans / AVPs:**

Present: Olin, Ehrman, Elliott, Stacks  
Absent: None

**EDUC Representatives:**

Present: Marachi, Mathur  
Absent: None

**Students:**

Present: Fernandez-Rios, Gallo, Gill  
Kethepalli, Rodriguez  
Absent: Pang

**ENGR Representatives:**

Present: Sullivan-Green, Kumar  
Absent: Ramasubramanian

**Alumni Representative:**

Absent: Walters

**H&A Representatives:**

Present: Khan, Ormsbee, McKee, Riley, Mok  
Absent: None

**Emeritus Representative:**

Present: McClory

**SCI Representatives:**

Present: Cargill, French, White  
Absent: Kim

**Honorary Representative:**

Present: Lessow-Hurley

**SOS Representatives:**

Present: Peter, Wilson, Curry, Trulio, Raman  
Absent: None

**General Unit Representatives:**

Present: Trousdale, Hurtado,  
Higgins, Emmert  
Absent: Monday

**II. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes–** The Senate minutes of April 22, 2019 were approved as edited by Senator Shifflett.

**III. Communications and Questions –**

**A. From the Chair of the Senate –**

Chair Frazier presented the University Governance Award to departing student Senators, and Senate Service Awards to departing Senators.

**B. From the President of the University –**

President Papazian thanked Senators for their service. The upcoming budget should allow us to maintain where we are and perhaps do a few good things. However, we need to remember this is still a year-to-year budget and we still need to move to a three-

year budget.

The President asked for help from the Senate with commencement. For some reason during convocation, students and their families felt as if they could leave after their student crossed the stage and that doesn't show any respect for the class or the ceremony itself. Only 50 students were left by the end of the convocation ceremony to hear the phenomenal keynote speaker, Susan Verducci. Every student that heard her speech was glued to every word of it. We have told students now and have written it into the materials, but we need the faculty to remind the students that they need to show that respect to their fellow students.

The President made an announcement on the Interim VP for University Advancement, Peter Smits, last week. His role is to guide us until we hire a new VP for University Advancement. Peter has over 40 years of advancement experience. The President hopes to have a new VP in place early in the new calendar year.

The President is very close to announcing the new VP of Research and Innovation. The announcement will go out in the next couple of days.

The President is also putting out a call for search committee members for the Dean of Health and Human Sciences.

President Papazian presented Chair Frazier with a plaque in honor of his service to the University for the past two years. The Senate gave Chair Frazier and standing ovation.

#### **IV. Executive Committee Report:**

##### **A. Minutes of the Executive Committee:**

**Executive Committee Minutes of April 15, 2019-** No questions.

**Executive Committee Minutes of April 29, 2019-** No questions.

##### **B. Consent Calendar:** No calendar.

##### **C. Election Calendar of 2020:** The Senate voted and the calendar was approved.

##### **D. Executive Committee Action Items:**

Vice Chair Mathur made a motion to suspend the rules and present a resolution from the floor of the Senate. The motion was seconded and approved. Vice Chair Mathur presented a Sense of the Senate Resolution, Honoring and Thanking Dr. Stefan J.S. Frazier for His Service to the Senate and the University. **The Senate voted and the resolution was approved as written unanimously.**

**V. Unfinished Business:**

**VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items (In rotation)**

**A. Professional Standards Committee (PS):**

**B. Organization and Government Committee (O&G):** Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1742, Policy Recommendation, Amendment A to University Policy S10-5; Charge and Membership of Sustainability Board (First Reading)*.

Questions:

Q: Are the faculty representatives that are on the consent calendar for the first meeting of the Senate of 2019-2020 grandfathered in here as Faculty-at-Large on that consent calendar, or will they be removed?

A: This is only a first reading, but once the President approves the policy then those faculty members could submit their statement of interest to be considered by the Executive Committee as we do the other Faculty-at-Large seats on committees.

Q: The policy calls for one representative from the MLK Library designated by the Dean so is that a faculty member or just anybody?

A: It could be any person?

Q: For those of us that don't know how Associated Students is organized, there is one member from transportation solutions and can you explain who that is and why the AS Executive Director instead of the AS President should appoint that person?

A: AS Transportation Solutions includes everything from our Clipper Cards to engagement with transportation and transit. This falls under the AS Executive Director's supervision.

Q: Having sat on the taskforce that recommended the Sustainability Board be created and then having sat on that board for many years, I can tell you that it is very important to have representation from every college. Has O&G asked the Sustainability Board how the operation of the board will change without representation from each college?

A: The question was not asked in terms of operation. The question was asked in terms of what would be ideal? Is it representation by college or fewer faculty with expertise? We didn't get feedback until after O&G had met and reviewed it, but O&G thought it would be representation by college and it is.

Q: Could you explain the reason for O&G's language Provost's designee instead of "or designee"?

A: I think there are two points there. The first is that the VPs themselves are probably not going to sit on the Sustainability Board, so why have the seat belong to a VP. The second point is that a representative from University Advancement is deemed to be important by the Sustainability Board.

Q: Could it then say something like AVP FDO or designee instead of AVP FDO's designee?

A: From the beginning the AVP FDO was deemed to be someone needed on the Sustainability Board. In cases where it is really not possible for that person to be there then it could be the designee. That is my understanding.

---

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1743, Amendment B to University Policy S16-8, Selection and Review of Administrators (First Reading)*.

Senator Shifflett made a motion to suspend the rules and move to a final reading. The motion was seconded and approved by the Senate with 1 Nay. Senator Wilson presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to remove "for" from line 58 where it reads, "administrators for in their division." After discussion, Senator Peter made a motion to postpone the final reading until the first fall meeting of 2019. The Peter motion was seconded and approved with 2 Nays.

**C. University Library Board (ULB):**

Professor Taylor presented *AS 1744, Sense of the Senate Resolution, In Support of Increased Funding for the California University System Electronic Core Collection (Final Reading)*.

Senator Shifflett presented an amendment to line 46 to replace, "expansion of the ECC" with "update of the ECC." The Shifflett amendment was seconded. Senator Van Selst presented an amendment to the Shifflett amendment to change "expansion of the ECC" with "expansion and update." The Van Selst Amendment to the Shifflett Amendment was seconded and approved. The Senate voted and the Shifflett/Van Selst Amendment failed. **Senator Rodan presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to update the attachment with the March version instead of the November version. The Senate voted and AS 1744 was approved as amended unanimously.**

**D. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):**

Senator White presented *AS 1737, Policy Recommendation, Combined Bachelor's and Master's Programs Policy (Final Reading)*. **The Senate voted and AS 1737 was approved as written unanimously.**

**E. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):**

Senator Sullivan-Green presented *AS 1739, Policy Recommendation, Rescind and Replace S75-12, Max Unit Load During Intersession; Summer Session, Credit Hours (Final Reading)*.

Senator Mathur presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to change the title on the 1<sup>st</sup> page to the title on the 2<sup>nd</sup> page. **The Senate voted and AS 1739 was approved as amended unanimously.**

**F. Professional Standards Committee (PS):**

**VII. Special Committee Reports:**

## VIII. New Business:

### IX. State of the University Announcements:

A. **Chief Diversity Officer (CDO):** Not present.

B. **CSU Faculty Trustee (by Standing Invitation):** The CSU Faculty Trustee submitted his report electronically to the Academic Senate.

C. **Statewide Academic Senators:** No updates. The next plenary is next Thursday and Friday.

D. **Provost:** Not present.

E. **Associated Students President:**

AS President Manzo announced that AS had allocated \$20,000 for graduate and undergraduate student travel and professional development that will be implemented in the fall. There is a \$750 cap per student.

AS passed a resolution to acknowledge the indigenous land that SJSU is built on at every meeting.

AS President Manzo thanked Senators for their support over the last two years and wished Senators the best in the future.

F. **Vice President for Administration and Finance:**

VP Faas announced that the Lieutenant Governor would be on campus and was very interested in learning about our deferred maintenance issues.

The Faculty/Staff Dining Room is complete in IRC 302. The grand opening will be in August.

G. **Vice President for Student Affairs (VPSA):**

VP Day gave an enrollment update. We are right on track. We are still a little early in the process. To date we have had 36,316 applicants. This is about 2.7% down from last year at this point. Last year about this time we were at 35,337 applications. Again there is a lot of adjustment that will happen between here and the fall. We are right about where we want to be. That is for full-time first-time freshmen. As for transfer students, we are about 3.3% down from where we were last year. We are at about 17,154. Our graduate total is up 6% at about 8,400 applicants.

As for first-time freshmen that have indicated intent-to-enroll, we are up 6%. That is good news. Our upper division transfers are up 16%. Our graduates are down about 11%. I'm not sure what is happening there. Our international freshmen are down 32%. You are talking about going down from 96 to 65 this year. However, our international transfers are up. It is still early. We are right about where we

need to be to have the class we want. We believe we will have a robust class.

Our summer orientation sessions will start soon. We have moved back to a two-day orientation experience.

Questions:

Q: Is moving to a two-day orientation going to add expenses such as for housing them overnight, etc.?

A: Yes, we either spend that money on the front end with orientation or on the backside when we lose students. We think bringing students into the university the right way is critically important.

Q: Where are we with regard to our request regarding our region?

A: We were granted the supplemental admission requirements that we wanted to add per major, but the expansion of the local admission area was denied for this academic year. We can go back and ask again.

Q: There has been some discussion of adding three CSUs and one is in the Peninsula area, so in the next request would you be able to justify expansion in replacement of adding a whole new CSU?

A: I think it is definitely something we need to look at. This is a multi-year process before it will come on line. It also depends on what the focus of the new CSU campus would be. I think we have a uniqueness in some of our offerings that would be very hard for another CSU campus to replicate. I do think we have to do our due diligence to find out what makes sense.

**X. Adjournment:** The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.