

2018/2019 Academic Senate

**MINUTES
March 4, 2019**

I. The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. and roll call was taken by the Senate Administrator. Fifty-Two Senators were present.

Ex Officio:

Present: Frazier, Van Selst, Manzo,
Lee, J., Rodan
Absent: None

CHHS Representatives:

Present: Schultz-Krohn, Shifflett, Grosvenor, Chin, Sen
Absent: None

Administrative Representatives:

Present: Ficke, Wong(Lau),
Faas, Day
Absent: Papazian

COB Representatives:

Present: He, Bullen, Khavul
Absent: None

Deans / AVPs:

Present: Olin, Ehrman, Stacks
Absent: Elliott

EDUC Representatives:

Present: Marachi, Mathur
Absent: None

Students:

Present: Fernandez-Rios, Gallo, Gill
Kethepalli, Pang, Rodriguez
Absent: None

ENGR Representatives:

Present: Ramasubramanian, Sullivan-Green, Kumar
Absent: None

Alumni Representative:

Present: Walters

H&A Representatives:

Present: Khan, Riley, Ormsbee, McKee
Absent: Mok

Emeritus Representative:

Present: McClory

SCI Representatives:

Present: Cargill, French, Kim, White
Absent: None

Honorary Representative:

Present: Lessow-Hurley

SOS Representatives:

Present: Peter, Wilson, Curry, Trulio, Raman
Absent: None

General Unit Representatives:

Present: Trousdale, Hurtado,
Higgins, Monday, Emmert
Absent: None

II. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes– The Senate minutes of February 11, 2019 were approved as is.

III. Communications and Questions –

A. From the Chair of the Senate –

Chair Frazier announced that the President is out of town receiving the Woman of the Year Award for District 28 in Sacramento, California.

Chair Frazier welcomed and introduced Senators David Emmert and Priya Raman.

B. From the President of the University – Not present

IV. Executive Committee Report:

A. Minutes of the Executive Committee:

Executive Committee Minutes of January 28, 2019 – No Questions.

Executive Committee Minutes of February 4, 2019 – No Questions.

Executive Committee Minutes of February 18, 2019 – No Questions.

B. Consent Calendar:

The consent calendar of March 4, 2019 was approved.

C. Executive Committee Action Items:

Chair Frazier presented *AS 1733, Senate Management Resolution, Establishing a Special Committee to Coordinate and Prepare a Campus Response to the GE Task Force Report from February 2019 (Final Reading)*.

Senator Rodan presented an amendment to add a Resolved clause after the third resolved clause to read, “Resolved, that the committee shall be charged as follows:

1. It shall seek from the ASCSU any clarification questions it deems necessary to proceed.” The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Rodan amendment failed. **The Senate voted and AS 1733 passed unanimously.**

V. Unfinished Business:

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1720, Senate Management Resolution, Amendment to Standing Rule 10 (Motions) (Final Reading)*. **The Senate voted and AS 1720 passed unanimously.**

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1719, Senate Management Resolution, Charge and Membership of Senate Policy Committees (Final Reading)*. Senator Stacks presented an amendment to change line 112 to read, “Dean, College of Graduate Studies (EXO).” The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Stacks amendment passed with 2 Nays. Senator Riley presented an amendment to line 112 to remove the Emeritus Faculty Representative (EXO). The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Riley amendment was approved. Senator White presented an amendment to line 111 to strike the AVP of IEA. The motion was seconded. The Senate voted and the White amendment was approved with 8 Nays. Senator Shifflett presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to line 110 to change it from AVP of Graduate Studies to AVP of Undergraduate Studies. **The Senate voted and AS 1719 passed as amended.**

Senator Sifflett presented *AS 1722, Policy Recommendation, Charge and Membership of University Committees (Final Reading)*. Chair Frazier presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to delete “should” from all resolved clauses. Senator Rodan presented an amendment to restore the strikeouts to the Sustainability Board until the Sustainability Board has the opportunity to comment on the suggested changes in lines 349, 350, 351, 356 and 362. The Rodan amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Rodan amendment failed. Senator Rodan presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to remove and return the section on the Sustainability Board to O&G to discuss with the Sustainability

Board proposed changes. Senator Gill presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to change line 123 from the AS Director of Faculty Affairs to the AS Director of Academic Affairs. **The Senate voted and AS 1722 passed unanimously as amended.**

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1656, Senate Management Resolution, Modification of Bylaw 1.10 (Final Reading)*. **The Senate voted and AS 1656 passed unanimously as written.**

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1717, Senate Management Resolution, Amendment of bylaw 15a; Editorial Changes – Senate Documents (Final Reading)*. Senator Van Selst presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to add a comma after “official” in line 29. **The Senate voted and AS 1717 was approved unanimously.**

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1721, Policy Recommendation, Amendment A to S13-9, Policy for Merging, Dividing, Transferring, and Eliminating Academic Units (Final Reading)*. Senator Shifflett presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to change S13-06 to S13-9 in line 44. Senator Riley presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to change S06-07 to S06-7 throughout the document for consistency. Senator Lee made a motion to return to committee. There was no second. Senator Peter presented an amendment to the title to strike, “Amend S13-9” and replace it with, “Rescind S06-7.” The amendment was seconded. Senator Shifflett presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to amend the Peter amendment to also change the first resolved to read, “Resolved that S06-7 be rescinded.” Senator Riley presented an amendment to change line 38 to read, “Resolved that S06-7 be rescinded,” and to strike line 41. The amendment was seconded. Senator Peter called the question. The Senate voted and the question was called with 3 Nays. Senator Van Selst presented an amendment to change the title to read, “Rescind S06-7 in Support of S13-9; Policy for Merging, Dividing, Transferring, Eliminating Academic Units.” The Senate voted and the Van Selst Amendment passed with 2 Nays. **The Senate voted and AS 1721 was approved with 1 Nay.**

VI. Policy Committee and University Library Board Action Items (In rotation)

A. University Library Board (ULB): No report.

B. Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R):

C. Instruction and Student Affairs Committee (I&SA):

Senator Sullivan-Green presented *AS 1730, Policy Recommendation, Amendment A to University Policy S15-3, Leaves of Absences for Students (First Reading)*.

This resolution is a result of changes in the Executive Orders from the Chancellor’s Office and some confusion students have over leaves. Unfortunately, the background and rationale were accidentally dropped off the policy in the packet. Senator Sullivan-Green read the background and rationale. In addition, all military leave in

the policy has been clarified to mean U.S. military leave. Those protections do not apply to international military leave. International military service is under the personal leave portion of the policy. All references to EO 665 that referenced remedial status of students has been removed since students are no longer admitted in remedial status. The language in the sections pertaining to retroactive leave and graduate students in RP status has been improved.

Questions:

Q: Would the committee consider changing the language in the section pertaining to graduate students in RP status to say they may not drop out and must maintain continuous enrollment and then go on to say except under rare circumstances? Also, have you considered what the rare circumstances will be and who will determine that?

A: The RP status language was provided by the AVP of Graduate Studies who felt this language would cover a majority of situations.

Q: Could you clarify this in the policy, because it is not entirely clear who would have jurisdiction to determine what is a rare circumstance.

A: The committee will consider this.

Q: On line 89 it refers to Associate Vice President for Student Academic Success Services. In Academic Affairs we have an AVP for Faculty and Student Success. My question is whether this is a different AVP, or does that language need updates?

A: I will verify that language.

Q: The second question I have pertains to section three where it states “authorized by International Student and Scholar Services.” Can you verify this is correct?

A: That section is correct.

Q: It seems to me that the beginning could use a statement of principles as to why these things exist and the advantages of the process. Would the committee consider this?

A: Yes.

Q: This has to do with students in RP status. I find the language “stop out” vague. Is this the same as a leave? What constitutes a “stop out?”

A: The committee will clarify this.

Q: We need consistency across students here.

A: The RP status does provide additional association with the university for working with faculty and whatnot.

Q: I think this is a graduate college or graduate school decision. Now that we have a Graduate College Dean, that is the point of consultation. This is something that exists in graduate colleges all over the universe.

A: The committee will consider this.

Q: This would require a student not only to pay for the internship but not to enroll in another class. Was that the intent?

A: I’m not sure that is something that should go in the leaves of absence policy, but

rather should be in an RP policy that establishes RP expectations and what the nuances of that should be. Once they are in RP status they can't have a leave of absence.

Senator Sullivan-Green presented *AS 1731, Policy Recommendation, Rescind and Replace S05-4, Qualifications for Student Office Holders (First Reading)*. I&SA reduced eight pages to three by reducing repetitiveness. In addition, I&SA made it consistent with AA2012-5. Under section 2, the general requirement, all of those requirements were the same for all office holders covered under this policy. The other changes made to this policy were in section 4. The AS Board of Directors was separated from the campus representatives to the California State Student Association. That would then include the Presidents of the Board of Directors, the Vice Presidents and the Controller. Then on the last page of the policy in lines 36 and 37, the inclusion of the Presidents and Treasurers of recognized student organizations is current practice of the university.

Questions:

Q: In Section 2.1.6 where it says maximum allowable units, why would we make an exception when we are being asked to keep programs at 120 units, and say UG students can have 150 units?

A: This language is in the Chancellor's coded memorandum.

Senator Sullivan-Green presented *AS 1732, Policy Recommendation, Rescind and Replace University Policy F10-1, The Use and Abuse of Alcohol and Other Drugs (First Reading)*.

Question:

Q: What is social probation?

A: Student organizations are not permitted to hold social events.

Q: Was this discussed with the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention Committee?

A: That was already done by our subcommittee.

D. Professional Standards Committee (PS):

Senator Peter presented *AS 1726, Policy Recommendation, Amendment C to F12-6, Evaluation in Effectiveness in Teaching for all Faculty (Final Reading)*.

Senator White presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to insert the work "typical" in line 98 before "three unit." Senator White presented an amendment to change line 101 to read, "The decision on determining 15 WTUs shall lie with the Provost or designee." The amendment was seconded. **The Senate voted and the White amendment passed with 4 Nays.** Senator Khan presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to replace "regular faculty" with "tenure/tenure-track faculty" in line 103. Senator Khan presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to change "lecturers" in line 103 to "lecturer faculty." Senator Lee presented an amendment to line 99 to change "of courses" to "of courses evaluated by the SOTE instrument." The amendment was seconded. **The Senate**

voted and the Lee amendment passed with 1 Nay. Senator Van Selst presented an amendment to line 98 to change “typical” to “typical (C1-C6 Course Classification).” The amendment was seconded. The Senate voted and the Van Selst Amendment failed with 1 Yay. **The Senate voted on AS 1726 as amended and it passed unanimously.**

Senator Peter presented *AS 1728, Policy Recommendation, Amendment H to University Policy S15-7, RTP Procedures; Criteria for “Late Add” Materials (First Reading)*. The RTP Process is long and takes a whole year. There are times during the year when something should be added. There is a committee that considers whether something can be added, but we need clarification as to what can and can’t be late added.

Questions:

Q: Is there any reason not to move this to a final reading?

A: PS would prefer to give the campus time to provide input before a final reading next month.

Q: You mentioned cases have arisen lately. Can you comment on how many cases?

A: Eight.

Q: Can the committee approve adding something that isn’t in the guidelines?

A: That is a great question for the committee. The intention was that the guidelines would give the committee something to guide them.

Q: In line 63 you talk about the Provost working in consultation with the Professional Standards. Did you also consider having the Senior Director for Faculty Affairs help in developing these guidelines?

A: PS consulted with her already and it is with her help that we developed the guidelines. I think there is a distinction between the technical aspects of the policy and an Academic Officer. Since the Provost is in the decision tree of determining who should get tenure and promotion, the Provost or the Provost designee should help the committee determine what goes into the dossier.

Q: Did the committee consider the workload issue for small departments? For example, we just had two sets of late add materials approved for one person within three days of each other. We had to convene that committee two additional times since the beginning of the Fall. When you are a small dept. with a small number of tenure/tenure-track faculty this really makes it difficult.

A: We are acutely aware of this and don’t want to make serious committee meetings, on the other hand there are circumstances when materials should be added.

Senator Peter presented *AS 1729, Senate Management Resolution, Membership of the Board of Academic Freedom and Professional Responsibility (BAFPR) (First*

Reading). PS is suggesting the committee stick with one tenured faculty member from each college and the general unit, but change the term to 2-years and allow emeriti faculty to serve. Faculty would not be elected by the college, but be appointed by the Senate. PS would like to get feedback from the Senate on whether we can make this amendment with a Senate Management Resolution or does it need a Policy Amendment.

Questions:

Q: Must the emeriti representatives be tenured?

A: The committee will discuss.

Q: Has the committee considered that this change would allow the Committee on Committees to staff this committee and whether it would be best to use a policy recommendation for this change?

A: Yes the committee did consider this.

Q: Will the emeriti reps be from specific colleges or faculty-at-large?

A: The committee will discuss.

C: The Senate Administrator commented that in the past a policy recommendation was used to amend or rescind another policy. This is due to the fact that a policy recommendation that is signed by the President is University Policy and only the President, or another President down the line, can then amend that policy. Senate Management Resolutions do not get Presidential approval and therefore cannot be used to amend a policy.

Q: Would the committee consider allowing tenured faculty, but not necessarily full tenured faculty members?

A: The committee will consider it.

E. Organization and Government Committee (O&G):

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1727, Policy Recommendation, Amendment B to F07-2, Athletics Policy (Final Reading)*. Senator Shifflett presented an amendment that was friendly to the body to delete “1727” after “None” on line 60. This was a typo made by the committee chair. **The Senate voted and AS 1727 passed as amended.**

Senator Shifflett presented *AS 1734, Amendment A to University Policy S18-5, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention Committee (Final Reading)*. Senator French presented an amendment to change the word “should” to “shall” in lines 25 and 26. There was no second. **The Senate voted and AS 1734 was approved unanimously.**

VII. Special Committee Reports:

VIII. New Business:

IX. State of the University Announcements:

A. Vice President for Student Affairs: Moved to next meeting.

B. Chief Diversity Officer: Moved to next meeting.

C. CSU Faculty Trustee (by standing invitation): The CSU Faculty Trustee submitted his report electronically to the Academic Senate.

D. Statewide Academic Senators: Moved to next meeting.

E. Provost: Moved to next meeting.

F. Associated Students President: Moved to next meeting.

G. Vice President for Administration and Finance: Moved to next meeting.

X. Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 5:02 p.m.