All periodic evaluations of lecturers (temporary faculty) will be conducted during the Spring Semester. Annual evaluations shall cover the preceding calendar year (spring and fall). Cumulative evaluations, required for initial, and renewal, three-year appointments will also be conducted during Spring Semester. All faculty evaluations will be completed in eFaculty. For more information on using eFaculty, please visit What Goes Where? Preparing Materials in eFaculty.

Pursuant to Article 15.12 a. of the CSU-CFA Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), faculty members subject to review “shall be responsible for the identification of materials [they] wish to be considered, as well as materials required by campus policy, and for the submission of such materials as may be accessible to [them].” Failure to submit required documentation or otherwise cooperate in the evaluation process may be taken into consideration in the faculty member’s evaluation.

It is important that lecturers receive complete and detailed evaluations, regardless of their reappointment status or the work they performed (i.e., teaching, service, or research). The evaluator’s written comments should support the rating given and should note any changes in the faculty member’s performance since the last evaluation. Evaluations should be based on written evidence, not on informal sources of input such as casual comments.

**Which Faculty Must Be Evaluated?**

**Annual Evaluation**

- All full-time (1.0 FTEF) and part-time (less than 1.0 FTEF) lecturers who have been appointed for two or more semesters, but not holding a three (3) year appointment, must be evaluated annually.
- All full-time and part-time temporary faculty with three (3) year appointments must be evaluated at least once during the three-year appointment term. As a best practice, they may be evaluated more frequently. At minimum, an annual evaluation should occur in the second year of the three-year appointment.

Although not required, a lecturer who has been appointed for only one semester or less may be evaluated at the discretion of the department chair or appropriate administrator. Note: If a faculty member is hired for Fall Semester 2020, and is appointed in Spring Semester 2021, the faculty member is a two-semester appointee and must be evaluated.

**Candidates for Cumulative Evaluation**

Consideration for new or renewed three-year appointments (“entitlement”) can only be made after faculty undergo cumulative evaluation.

- New appointments follow employment during the prior academic year and six consecutive years of prior service in the department, with review occurring in spring of the 6th or subsequent year. The period of review for initial three-year appointments is the entire qualifying period (most likely starting Fall ’15 or Spring ’16).
- Renewals follow three consecutive years of an appointment, with review occurring in spring of the 3rd or subsequent year. The period of review for renewal is the entire three-year appointment (most likely starting Fall ’18). Note: Cumulative Evaluations should be completed even if the faculty member did not teach during the third (or any other) year of a Three-Year appointment.
Appointments to an initial three-year appointment, and reappointment to a subsequent three-year appointment, are contingent upon findings of annual and cumulative evaluations conducted pursuant to provisions of Article 15 of the CBA. The appropriate administrator must determine that the candidate performed the duties of their position in a satisfactory manner and have no documented serious conduct problems.

**Required Documents**

In periodic evaluations, reviewers are to consider, and make recommendations about, faculty performance in all assigned duties during the period of review. Cumulative evaluations include review of the cumulative work performance over the period of review.

The following are requirements for documenting faculty performance for each review type. Faculty whose appointments include non-teaching duties and maintaining qualifications or credentials shall be evaluated on performance in those areas as well.

**Annual Evaluation - eFaculty Placement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Enter or Upload to Activities Tab...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2. All SOTEs for prior calendar year; other evidence of teaching effectiveness | Classes Taught at SJSU and/or Additional Student Evaluations  
*SOTE/SOLATEs from Spring 2013 to present are preloaded to*  
*these sections*                                                                 |
| 3. All Direct Observations of Teaching (peer evaluation) from the prior year | Direct Observations of Teaching                                                                                                                                 |
| 4. Any other department evaluations or evidence of performance in assignment | Choose tab as appropriate to tab description                                                                                                                 |

**Cumulative Evaluation - eFaculty Placement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Enter or Upload to Activities Tab...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. All prior reviews including ASAs and evaluator comments</td>
<td>Prior Evaluations and Reviews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2. All SOTEs from period of review; other evidence of teaching effectiveness | Classes Taught at SJSU and/or Additional Student Evaluations  
*SOTE/SOLATEs from Spring 2013 to present are preloaded to*  
*these sections*                                                                 |
| 3. All Direct Observations of Teaching (peer evaluation) from the period of review | Direct Observations of Teaching                                                                                                                                 |
| 4. Any other department evaluations or evidence of performance in assignment | Choose tab as appropriate to tab description                                                                                                                 |
Faculty may use other Activities tabs in eFaculty to relay achievements. Additional documentation from the period of review may be provided by the faculty member or gathered by the Department and shall be included in the WPAF and considered in the evaluation. Copies of materials not provided by anyone other than the reviewed faculty member must be given to the faculty member at least five (5) days before the review begins.

**Evaluation Steps**

**Department Level**

**Annual Evaluations**

- **Part-time lecturers** may be evaluated by their chair alone during annual evaluations at the department level, but some departments use a faculty committee.
- **Full-time lecturers** must be evaluated by a department committee during annual evaluations.

**Cumulative Evaluations**

- A **Department committee** of tenured faculty elected by the probationary and tenured faculty conducts the evaluations.
- If the **Department Chair** is not part of the committee, the Chair may submit a separate recommendation as part of the evaluation process.

In cumulative evaluations, faculty are rated as “Satisfactory” or “Unsatisfactory.”

Within ten calendar days of the department level evaluation, candidates may submit a response/rebuttal to the department’s evaluation(s). After ten days, the WPAF, department level evaluation(s), and any response/rebuttal will be forwarded to the Dean’s office via eFaculty. *Note: Annual evaluations for those undergoing concurrent cumulative evaluations will be sent directly to the department committee for cumulative review.* The appropriate administrator will conduct both the annual and cumulative reviews concurrently.

**College Level**

All lecturers are evaluated by the appropriate administrator (the dean or designee). In **college level evaluations**, the appropriate administrator reviews all materials in the faculty member’s WPAF, department level evaluation(s), and any response/rebuttal to the department level evaluation(s).

In cumulative evaluations, the dean or designee rates the faculty member as “satisfactory” or “unsatisfactory.” Following review, the faculty member and department will receive a copy of the evaluations. The faculty member has 10 calendar days to respond to and/or rebut the evaluation. Afterward, UP - FA places the evaluations and responses in the faculty PAFs.
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Decisions to re-appoint lecturers who have re-applied for positions in a department must be based on the careful consideration of their evaluations and applications. If a temporary faculty employee applies for a subsequent appointment and does not receive one, the faculty member’s right to file a grievance is limited to allegations of a failure to give careful consideration.

PAFs shall be available for careful consideration review electronically via ShareBase starting April 26, 2021. Chairs may not elect to withhold reappointment without prior review of the faculty member’s PAF. No appointment will be approved by UP-FA unless the lecturer’s annual evaluation has been received by UP - FA. Lecturers who receive “Unsatisfactory” in an evaluation cannot be re-appointed.

In cumulative evaluations, it is the Appropriate Administrator’s responsibility to define what is required to achieve "Satisfactory." Satisfactory ratings must be objective and non-discriminatory; they may include narrative comments including constructive suggestions for development. Ratings of “Unsatisfactory” must include written comments.