Present: Martina Bremer (COS), Robin Love (CoEd), David Parent (COE), Peggy Plato (CASA), Revathi Krishnaswamy (HA), Simon Rodan (COB), Matthew Spangler (COSS), Melinda Jackson (EXO), Stephen Branz (EXO)
Apologies: None
Absent: None

1. Approval of minutes: October 22nd, 2015, November 5th, 2015, and November 19th, 2015
**Action- Approved (7,0,0)**

2. Matters arising
   None

3. New Course Proposals
   a. METR 115 was approved unanimously for area R (7,0,0)
   b. SCI 90 Elaine Collins, explained the proposed changes to the course. The Board felt that in its current form the course was not yet sufficiently aligned with Area E for it to be approved. It will be modified and re-presented in the spring.
   c. NUFS 115i
      The Board did not feel that the course in its current form was suited as an Area R GE course. The Board wanted to see assignments that were more closely focused on the GE learning outcomes so that the results of assessment would be clearer. Relatedly, it asked for a tighter connection between the learning outcomes and the assignments in which they were to be assessed. Finally, the Board did not think that the assignment on pseudo science was appropriate. Lay articles that interpret other primary scientific courses may not be primary source, but they are not pseudo science either; this term is reserved for attempts to dress up unscientific data and present with the trappings of science to give it a false legitimacy. The current assignment does not capture this.
   d. HUM 010
      The Board liked the course and considered it appropriate as an Area C2 course. There was some suggestion that the assignments might be more closely linked to particular GELOs, but in a C2 course, since all three GELOs are relatively closely related, this was not seen as a problem by all. **The Board voted unanimously to approve HUM 10 as a C2 course (7,0,0).**
   e. BUS5 040
      The Board did not feel that the course, in its current form, was suited as an Area E GE course. The Board wanted to see assignments that were more closely focused on
the GE learning outcomes so that the results of assessment would be clearer. Relatedly, it asked for a tighter connection between the learning outcomes and the assignments in which they were to be assessed. The GE learning outcomes were not presented in the syllabus as per the Guidelines.

4. **Continuing Certification**
   
   a. **JS 100W was approved unanimously for continuing certification (7,0,0).**
   
   b. Additional information and minor revisions were requested based on the materials submitted for JS 132 and JS 136. The reviews will be sent to the course coordinator who will be asked to respond to BOGS, at latest by the end of next semester; the Chair will review the updated material and make a recommendation to BOGS regarding continuing certification. *(7,0,0)*
   
   c. **Based on the TA 127, the Board recommended these course be referred back to PPC so that a plan of action could be formulated (7,0,0).**

Meeting was adjourned at 16:15